



Type: Book Chapter

---

## The Semitic-kw Contribution into Uto-Aztecan

Author(s): Brian D. Stubbs

Source: *Exploring the Explanatory Power of Semitic and Egyptian in Uto-Aztecan*

Published: Provo, UT; Grover Publications, 2015

Pages: 65-84

---

## 2 The Semitic-kw Contribution into Uto-Aztecan

In the Hebrew and Aramaic forms, the post-vocalic spirantization of Hebrew  $b > v$ ,  $p > f$ ,  $t > \theta$ , and  $k > x$  will not be represented for three reasons: (1) it is not original, but a development in Masoretic Hebrew, a late AD-600 dialect's pronunciation, though Blau (1998, 30) reasons that it likely occurred before 300 BC; (2) it seems not to have applied in the dialects found in UA; and (3) such representations would be unnecessarily confusing to non-Semiticists.

2.1 **Uto-Aztecan** vowels sometimes accord with the archaic vowelings Hebrew/Phoenician or Ugaritic:

	<u>Hebrew</u>	<u>UA</u>
1 plural suffix	-iim	*-ima
2 passive/reflexive/reciprocal prefix	ni-	*na-
3 perfect of yšb 'sit, dwell'	yaašab	*yasipa

The UA morphemes above show some similarity with Masoretic Hebrew, though nothing exact: -iim and \*-ima; ni- and \*na-; yaašab and \*yasipa. However, the facts that (1) Hebrew -iim came from an earlier **\*-iima** (Moscati 1964, 88, 97; Blau 1976, 30 explains loss of final short vowels in pre-Hebrew; and Huehnergard 1987, 296; Gordon 1947; Segert 1984, 51; and Bennett 1998, 79 shows the actual form -iima in Ugaritic for gen and acc masc pl); and that (2) Hebrew ni- (niqṭal or nifʿal prefix) came from an earlier **\*na-** (Blau 1976, 51); and (3) Hebrew yaašab from an earlier **\*yašiba** (Moscati 122), all show a near identity between Pre-Hebrew forms and Proto-Uto-Aztecan (PUA) forms:

	<u>Pre-Hebrew</u>	<u>PUA</u>
1 plural suffix	*-iima	*-ima
2 reflexive/reciprocal prefix	*na-	*na-
3 sit, dwell	*yašiba	*yasipa

**1** Hebrew **-iim** came from an earlier **\*-iima** (Moscati 1964, 88, 97; Blau 1976, 30 explains loss of final short vowels in pre-Hebrew; and Huehnergard 1987, 296; Gordon 1947; Segert 1984, 51; and Bennett 1998, 79 show the actual form -iima in Ugaritic for the Northwest Semitic genitive and accusative masculine plural, from which the Hebrew plural derives):

**UACV-2673 \*-ima (> -im, -m, -mī)** 'plural suffix': Sapir; Langacker, 1977, 80 (\*-mī); KH/M06-ns5: Hp -m/ -mī- 'nonsingular suffix'; Sr -m / -mī-; Ktn -m; Ca -m; Cp -m; Ls -m; Gb -m; CN -me' 'absolutive pl suffix'; -tin 'absolutive pl suffix' (with ns-01); CN -waan 'possessed pl suffix'. Langacker (1977, 80) reconstructs the UA pl suffix as \*-mī, by taking an average of the more conservative forms, many of which indeed are -mī; however, several forms suggest \*-ima. Consider Cp -im; Ca -em; Yq, My, and AYq -im (after C), -m (after V); Ls -(u)m; Hp -m; Sr -m; Tbr -m; Kw -mī; Cr -ma; Wc -ma; Wr -ma (pl verb suffix); Op -m(e) (Shaul 2003, 27). And Dakin (1979) reconstructs an earlier \*-ma for CN -mī. Tep languages show pl -m only on pronouns. Though most UA languages begin the pl suffix with -m, five languages (Cp, Ca, Yq, My, AYq) show a high front vowel (i/e) before -m. Likewise, many show ī or no vowel after the m; yet at least three show -ma, and because ī behaves like the UA schwa, a change from final \*a > ī is natural in an unaccented position. The loss of the first vowel \*-i is also expectable, because most UA words end with a vowel, which creates an environment of two vowels, the second usually giving way to the first; i.e., if a noun ends in -a, then: \*-a- + -ima > -amī. Yet in spite of those two processes, the first vowel is apparent in five languages and the last vowel is in at least three, making a reconstruction of \*-ima quite viable, to which Miller agreed in a personal conversation prior to his untimely death that the case for \*-ima is reasonable. In the Tep branch, this plural suffix is only found on pronouns: e.g., UP hīgam 'those' vs. hīga 'that'; and UP iidam 'these' vs. iida 'this'; Tep api 'you, sg' vs. apim 'you, pl'. At 904 is Hebrew feminine plural suffix -oot / -ooteeʿ. [NUA: Num, Tak, Hp; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**2** Northwest Semitic **\*na-** (Blau 1976, 51) as a passive, reflexive, and reciprocal prefix in Semitic is identical to UA reflexive, reciprocal, passive UA **\*na-**:

**UACV-2675 \*na-** 'reciprocal/reflexive/passive prefix': KH/M06-vp1: Hp naa- 'reflexive prefix on verbs'; TSh na- 'passive prefix on verbs' (Dayley 1989, 50); Sh na- 'passive/reciprocal prefix on verbs' (Crapo 1976, 12, 19-20); Cm na- 'passive/reflexive/reciprocal/plural prefix on verbs' (Charney 1993, 103-4, 126); Ch na- 'reflexive/reciprocal prefix' (Press 1979, 49); SP na- 'reflexive/reciprocal prefix'; CU na- 'reciprocal prefix on verbs' (Givon 1980, 159-60); Eu na- 'reciprocal prefix on verbs' (Lionnet 1986, 29); Tr na- 'reciprocal prefix on verbs'; WTr na- 'reciprocal verbal prefix' (Burgess 1984, 33); CN ne- 'passive prefix' (Sullivan 1988, 75); Cr nya- 'refl prefix' (Casad 1984, 160). [NUA: Num, Hp; SUA: TrC, CrC, Azt]

**3** Hebrew **yšb** ‘sit, dwell’ or earlier Northwest Semitic **\*yašiba** matches UA **\*yasipa** ‘sit, reside’:  
 Hp yésiva (Voegelin 1957, 35); Tr asiba; Yq yesa; TO dahiva; ST daivu. (TO and ST are Tep languages for which \*y > d, \*s > h or zero, and \*p > v). However, some Uto-Aztecanists attribute the final -pa to an old choative suffix; however, ST daivu ‘stop (of bird) and sit’ shows u, not a, which does not align with -pa, but aligns perfectly with the Northwest Semitic plural \*yašibu, while UA \*yasipa aligns with the Northwest Semitic singular \*yašiba. Furthermore, the verbal forms of both Northwest Semitic and UA contain 3 semantic dimensions of \*yasipa: ‘sit’ and ‘dwell/reside’ and ‘jump’ in both language families.  
 UACV-2005a **\*yasa / \*yasi** ‘sit’: VVH76 \*ya<sub>n</sub>sa ‘to sit’; M67-380 \*ya/\*yas ‘sit’; L.Son351 \*yasa/\*yas-i ‘sentarse’; B.Tep17 \*daha ‘be seated’; M88-ya1; AMR \*yansi; KH/M06-ya1: Tb yandzit~’ayanc; Hp yeese ‘sit, reside, v.i.imp/pf. pl’; Hp yeesiwa ‘reside, be in place, vi imp. pl’; Hp yésiva ‘sitting, camping, pl’ (Voegelin 1957, 35); TO ḏaha ‘be sitting, be, be present, reside’; TO ḏahi ‘sit’; Wr yasa/yasi ‘estar sentado [be seated]’; Tr yasa / asá / así ‘sentarse, estar sentado’; My yeesa; Eu dasé ‘sentarse’; Op dasa ‘sit, sg.’; Tbr nesa/neca ‘sentarse’; Wc yáá ‘sentarse’; Cr na-’a-vé’e-yeihša ‘I’m going to get on (the horse)’; Wc yááše ‘empezar a estar sentado’; Tr ayása ‘dwell, inhabit temporarily’. Note \*-ns- > -nc- in Tb.  
 UACV-2005b **\*yasipa** ‘sit’: in connection with this word, note how many languages have a form pointing to a third syllable with \*pa or \*yasipa and \*yasipu: Hp(V) yésiva ‘(they’re) sitting down, camping, pl’; TO(M) dahiva ‘sit, camp’; Tr asiba ‘sentarse’ (asi-ba ‘sit-inchoative’); Wr yasipá ‘sentarse’ (vs. yasa- / yasi-); ST daivu has an entirely different vowel. Compare TO(M) ḏahivup ‘sit/alight repeatedly, vi repet; pl: ḏad(h)aivup’ and TO(M) ḏahivum ‘wish to sit down; pl: ḏadhaivum’. The \*-pa morpheme is often ascribed to a fossilized inchoative suffix, but not all such languages have it (though it could be fossilized then lost), but more problematic is that two show \*yasipu (Hebrew pl) vs. \*yasipa (Hebrew sg). [\*-ns- > -nc-]  
 [NUA: Hp, Tb; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]

The Hebrew Old Testament text as we have it, also known as the Masoretic text, was vowelized by the Masoretes about AD 600-700. Yet that form of Hebrew, known as Biblical Hebrew, is only one of the dialects of ancient Hebrew, and the vowels were added very late, more than a thousand years after the consonants were written. Hebrew, as we know it, lost the short final vowels of proto-Northwest Semitic, but as seen in 1 and 3, those vowels appear in UA. Not all UA forms preserve the phonology so well. More often UA has reduced the Semitic forms; nevertheless, archaic features do turn up occasionally.

Also worth noting is that these three items tie with Hebrew specifically, because only Ugaritic and Hebrew have -iima / -iim for the plural; Arabic has -uuna / -iina; Aramaic -iin; East Semitic (Akkadian) has neither m nor n, only -uu / -ii. Proto Hebrew has \*na-, but not Aramaic or Arabic. Similarly, only Northwest Semitic has yšb, with initial y (< Proto-Sem \*w); Arabic and South Semitic have w, and East Semitic has nothing, but lost that initial consonant. Other matters specify Northwest Semitic, but not necessarily Masoretic/Biblical Hebrew. In fact, the Semitic-p holds several affinities with Aramaic (see section 8).

Three primary sound changes or sound correspondences between kw-Northwest Semitic and UA are  
**Hebrew b > PUA \*kw** (for dageshed b: initial, doubled, clustered);

**Hebrew š > PUA \*c** (ts);

**Hebrew -r- > PUA \*-y-/-i-** (when not at the beginning of a word)

## 2.2 Hebrew/Phoenician b > Uto-Aztecan kw

Uto-Aztecanists figure Proto-UA \*kw > b in Tepiman, Opatan, and some Aztecan dialects, perhaps because Indo-European \*kw > p. However, the opposite direction of change, from bilabials (p/b) to labio-velars (kw/gw), happens also. Consider six examples, the last three from UA. The Celtic branch of Indo-European divided into p-Celtic and q-Celtic. Welsh, a q-Celtic language, pronounced Latin loans beginning with v- as gw-: veneris > gwener ‘Friday’; verus > gwir ‘true’ (Gregor 17, 37). As well, my wife from Argentina reports that certain dialect areas in Western Argentina say gweno (< bueno) and gwevo (< huevo), etc. Bryce Cleghorn (p.c.) reports the same phenomenon in some areas of Central Mexico. Likewise, in UA itself some bilabials (p) become labio-velars (kw). At UACV-995 \*yīpanaC ‘autumn’ are Mn yībano ‘be autumn’; NP yībano; TSh yīpani; Kw yīvana; SP yīvannaC / yīvwannaC; CU yuvwa-na-tti / yīgwa-na. Note that when -w- develops (SP), then -kw- comes next (CU) in the Southern Numic line of dialects. I have also heard native speakers of Yaqui say a slight -gw- for -w- medially. We also have Western Numic showing kw

< \*w in UA. Semitic b > UA \*kw may have happened due to influence from certain Oto-Manguean languages which have no bilabials, but do have various labio-velars, which identities need more research yet.

An intermediate step of -w-, as in b/p > v/w > kw, is often part of this process. For example, Proto-Mayan \*w > Q'eqchi' kw, as in \*warik > kwaark 'sleep' and \*winq > kwiinq 'person' (Purse and Campbell 37-38). Blust (Baldi 252) notes many instances of \*w > gw or \*w > kw in Austronesian and elsewhere. In French loans from Germanic, \*w > gw also: French guêpe < Middle French guespe < Old French wespe < Frankish \*wespa, waspa < Germanic (cf. German Wespe); French guerre < Frankish \*werra < Germanic (cf. Old High German werra 'strife, quarrel' (List of French Words of Germanic Origin). However, as likely, if not more likely, is that once rounding became associated with a bilabial, the next step was switching place of articulation (bw > gw, lips to velum). In pronouncing w, there is near closure at both the lips and the velum (e.g., PUA \*w > g in Tepiman). So when b > bw, then bw > gw/kw, switching place of articulation from the lips to the velum, is a natural enough next step. That would appear to be the case for b<sup>w</sup>eno > g<sup>w</sup>eno in some Spanish dialects, and in SNum SP yivannaC / yivwannaC > CU yuvwa-na-tti / yigwa-na 'autumn', and perhaps in Welsh veneris > gwener 'Friday'; verus > gwir 'true'. Thus, perhaps in UA also. This applies to Semitic/Hebrew dageshed b (initial, doubled, after consonant), while non-dageshed (after a vowel) > p.

**4** Hebrew **baašel** 'boiled' < bšl / baašal 'grow ripe, boil, cook' (perfect baašal; imperfective: yV-bšVI):

UACV-521 \***kwasiC** 'cook (=c), boil (=b), ripe(n) (=r)'

Mn	toqwasikī 'c over coals'	Hp	kwasi 'c'ed'; tikwsi 'r'	Eu	basa/base-n 'c, b, r'
NP	kwasiṗī 'r'	Tb(H)	wiššit, pfv iwwiš 'c, r'	Tbr	kwase/kwasi 'c, b, r'
TSh	kwasiC 'r'	Sr	kwahaan 'c'; akwahi 'r'	Yq	bwasa 'c'; bwase/i 'r'
Sh	kwasiC 'b, c, r'	Ls	kwasi 'c, r'	AYq	bwasa'a 'c'; bwase/i 'r'
Cm	kwasi 'c, r'	Ca	kwasi 'r'	My	bwasse/bwassi 'r'
Kw	kose 'c'	Cp	kwase 'r'	Wr	wasi 'c'; iwa 'r'
Ch	kwasi 'c, r'	TO	baha/bahi/bai 'c,r'	Tr	wasa/wase/wasi 'c,r'
SP	kwašī 'b, c, r'	PB	baida 'c'; bahidaga 'r fruit'	Cr	kwasi 'c, r'
CU	kusi/kwasi 'c'; kusi 'r'	PYp	bahi 'c'ed, r'	Wc	kwašee/kwašii 'r'
		NT	baahyi 'c, r'	CN	yoksi 'c, r'
		ST	baidy 'c, r'		

The above item—UA \*kwasi 'cook, boil, ripe(n)'—appears in all 30 UA languages and demonstrates their respective sound correspondences of PUA \*kw: kw in most languages; b in the Tepiman branch (TO, PYp, PB, NT, ST) and Eu; bw in the Cahitan branch (Yq, AYq, My); w in Tb, Tr, Wr. Not only does the unique semantic combination of 'boil, cook' and 'ripen' exist in both Hebrew and UA, but the sound correspondences match as well. While the third consonant (l) is missing in most, the Numic languages show a final underlying consonant (C) and the AYq glottal stop is a common reflex of previous, but missing liquids in Yq and AYq: \*bašala > bwasa'a. Note also the yo- prefix in CN, similar to the yV- 3<sup>rd</sup> person imperfective prefix of Semitic. That CN often reduces kw-syllables to ok/uk in certain phonological environments is also relevant: \*yV-kwasi > \*yV-kwsi > CN yoksi. The forms at 5 (for UA \*kwasi 'tail) also reflect the various languages' reflexes for PUA \*kw:

UACV-521 \***kwasiC** / \***kwasaC** 'cook(ed), ripe(n)': VVH50 \*kwašī/\*kwašī; M67-152c; BH.Cup \*qwaš; I.Num80 \*kwasi; L.Son117 \*kwasi/kwas-i; M88-kwa1; Munro.Cup30 \*kwašī-š/kwašī-š 'cooked, ripe' (Munro notes the Cupan forms are deverbalized forms); AMR 1993a \*kwasiC; KH.NUA; KH/M06-kwa1 \*kwasiC: Mn ku( )-qwasi 'get/be ripe'; NP kwasi-ppi 'cooked, ripe'; TSh kwasi 'ripen'; Sh kwasiC 'cook'; Cm kwasi/h 'cook'; Kw kosi/kwasi- 'cook, roast, be cooked'; SP kwašī- 'be ripe, done, cooked'; SP kwašī-ppi 'passive participle'; WMU qwahsú-y 'ripen, cook, simmer, vi'; CU kusi / kwasi 'burn, scorch, be ripe, cooked'; Tb wšit/iwš 'ripen, cook'; Cp kwāše 'get ripe'; Ca -kwás- 'ripen'; Ca -kwasi- 'ripen, make ripe, make fruitful'; Ls kwāsi-š 'cooked, ripe'; Ls kwasú-'a 'become cooked, ripen'; Sr kwahyi 'ripen, become cooked'; Sr kwahaan /kwahaanin 'cook, vt'; Sr akwahi 'cooked, ripe'; Ktn kwahan 'cook, vt'; Hp kwasi- 'get cooked, baked'; Hp tikwasi 'bec. mature'; TO bahi/baha 'bec ripe, cooked'; Eu basá-n 'cocker, madurarse'; Wr wasi-pá-ni 'cook, especially with water'; Wr iwasi 'fruit'; Tr wasi 'cocerse'; My bwāssi 'maduro'; My bwāsse 'madurar'; My bwassa 'cook, vt'; My bwasse 'cook, vi'; AYq bwasa 'cook, vt' (past: bwasa'a); AYq bwase 'cook, vi'; AYq bwasi 'cooked, ripe'; Tbr kwase/kwasi 'madurar'; Tbr kwasi-te- 'cocerse, hervir'; Wc kwāsee/kwasi 'ripe'; Cr kwasi 'it is ripe, cooked'; CN (i)kwasi / ikwasi 'ripen, cook'; Pl uksi 'ripen, be cooked/done'. Ken Hill adds Ktn kwah / kwaha 'be cooked'; Ktn kwahan 'cook, v'; Ktn a-kwahi 'cooked, ripe'. Let's add Nv bahida 'sazonar' and Nv bahidaga 'ripe fruit'. Employing different prefixes, CN wkasi 'cook, ripen' and CN yuksi / yoksi 'cook, ripen' also belong. This is one of few sets having reflexes in nearly all UA languages. I like Manaster-Ramer's and Ken Hill's reconstruction with a final consonant as is apparent in the final gemination in some Num languages, -t (vs. -l) in Tb, and AYq's 3<sup>rd</sup> C glottal stop. Note that this stem is the base of many derivatives for fruit; I suspect that Tewa bai/be 'fruit' is tied to the Tepiman form (bahi) of this stem. [kw-reduction in Kw]

[NUA: Num, Hp, Tb, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**5** Hebrew **baášaar** ‘flesh, penis’: UA **\*kwasiC** (AMR) / **\*kwasiy** ‘tail, penis, meat’; the semantic change from ‘penis’ to ‘tail’ is discussed below; unless otherwise specified, the following are the UA terms for ‘tail’:

Mn	kwazi	Hp	sīri ‘tail’; kwasi ‘penis’	Eu	basít
NP	kwasi	Tb	wišii-l	Tbr	bakusí/wakusí-r
TSh	kwasi(cci)	Sr	a-wad	Yq	bwásia
Sh	kwesi	Ca	kwasi	My	bwasia
Cm	kwasi	Ls	piqwsiv	Wr	wahsí
Kw	kwasi-vi	Cp	qwaš	Tr	wasí
Ch	kwasi(i)	TO	bahi; baik	Cr	kwasi
SP	kwasi	PB	vahi/bahi	Wc	kwaašii;
CU	kwasi-çi	PYp	bahi	CN	kwitla-pil-li
		NT	báhi	ST	bai
					‘anus-appendage’

UACV-2271 **\*kwasiC** (AMR) ‘tail, penis’: Sapir; VVH51 **\*kwa<sub>s</sub>i** ‘tail’; M67-430 **\*kwasi**/**\*kwaci**; I.Num81 **\*kwesi** / **\*kwasi**; BH.Cup **\*qwas**; B.Tep2a **\*bahi**; L.Son116 **\*kwasi** ‘cola’; M88-kwa2; KH.NUA; KH/M06-kwa2: this reflex is represented in every UA language except the Aztecan branch; Hp **kwasi** ‘penis’ is cognate with UA **\*kwasi** ‘tail’; in fact, I once heard Miller state that the original meaning of **\*kwasi** was ‘penis’ and changed to ‘tail’ in the other UA languages. Ls **piqwsiv** (< **\*pi-kwasi**) suggests so, as ‘back-penis’—i.e., ‘tail’. NT **baabáidiy** ‘carne [meat]’; NT **baabáidiyuvai** ‘oler a carne, vi’; and NT **baabáiyai** ‘hacer cecina [make jerky]’ are also cognate. Ktn **kwacita-c** ‘tail’ reminds us that c/s difficulties are common in UA. Ktn and NT and Cahitan suggest a final C as AMR’s reconstruction shows. [**\*kw** > **w** in Sr] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tak, Tb; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]

While Hebrew **baásaar** primarily means ‘flesh’, a less frequent secondary meaning is ‘penis’ (cf. Leviticus 15:2, Ezekial 23:20 and 44:7, 9), NT **baabáidiy** ‘meat, flesh’ (reduplication of Tep **\*bahid**) is significant for a couple of reasons: one, it does mean ‘meat, flesh’ and does phonologically match UA **\*kwasiy**, since NT/Tep **b** < **\*kw** (Tep **b** or NT **b** corresponds to PUA **\*kw**) and PUA **\*s** > Tep **h**, but the fragile **h**’s of the Tepiman languages usually disappear in NT and ST: PUA **\*s** > Tep **h** > NT/ST  $\emptyset$  ( $\emptyset$  means zero or no sound); furthermore, it shows the third consonant: Tep **d** < PUA **\*y** < Hebrew **r**.

Regarding a semantic tie between ‘tail’ and ‘penis’, two other Near Eastern words have the same pair of meanings. Egyptian **sd** ‘tail’ yields Coptic **sat/set** ‘tail’ and Coptic **set/se’et** ‘penis’ (Lambdin 1983, 266; Cerny 1976, 163); in addition to that, Egyptian **sd** ‘tail’ very nicely fits Hopi **sīri** ‘tail’ (**d** > **r/V\_V**), which item probably helped Hp retain the original meaning of **\*kwasi** ‘penis’ as Hopi is the only UA language that does not have **\*kwasi** meaning ‘tail.’ In addition, Hebrew **zaanaab** ‘tail’ also came to mean ‘phallus’ in Middle Hebrew (Koehler and Baumgartner, 274).

**6** Hebrew **blf** / **baalaš** ‘swallow, v’; Arabic **balifa** ‘swallow’; Assyrian **belu** ‘swallow’:

UACV-785 **\*kwiluC** ‘swallow’: Eu **béru** ‘u’ ‘swallow’ (Eu **b** < UA **\*kw**); Hopi **kwelo(k)** ‘sample by tasting, v’ (Hp **o** < UA **\*u**); Tb(V) **welēeh** ‘swallow’ (Tb **w** < **\*kw**); Tb(H) **welēehat**. [NUA: Hp, Tb; SUA: TrC]

**7** Hebrew **baamaa** (< **\*bahamat**) ‘back, hill, mountain ridge, high place’; Ugaritic **bmt** ‘back’; Arabic **buhmat** ‘great mass of stone’ (Lane 268) originally ‘a grave’; these Semitic nouns are from the root **\*bhm**, and even the fragile medial **-h-** shows up in two of the three CNum languages below:

UACV-99 **\*kwahama** ‘back’: M88-ko27; KH/M06-ko27: Central Numic **\*kwaham-** ‘back’;

TSh **kwem-pī** ‘back (of body)’; TSh **kwem-pi** ‘back (of something)’; Sh **kwehem-pī** ‘back (of a body)’;

Cm **kwahi** ‘back (of person or animal), n’; Hp **kwīmī(k-)** ‘to bulge upward’. [NUA: CNum, Hp]

**8** Arabic **ḡabba** ‘cleave to the ground, take hold, keep under lock, put in safe keeping, guard carefully’ (would correspond to Hebrew **\*šbb**). Hebrew **š** corresponds to Arabic **ḡ**, and Hebrew **š** and Arabic **ḡ** correspond to UA **c**, in Semitic-kw; and interestingly here we have the consistency of both **š/ḡ** > **c** and **bb** > **kw**, and with the same pair of meanings ‘grasp’ and ‘lizard’ (9) in both Semitic and UA:

UACV-400a **\*cakwa** / **\*cakwi** ‘catch, grasp, close (one’s grasp or close s.th. else), lock’: M88-ca3; KH.NUA; Stubbs1995-9; Stubbs 2003-35: KH/M06-ca3: Ls **čáqwi** ‘to seize, catch’; Cp **čáqwe** ‘catch, grab, cling to’; CN **cakwa** ‘to close, enclose, lock up’; CN **cakwi** ‘close, get closed, vi’; Pl **cakwa** (pret **cak**) ‘close, shut, cover’; Mn **cakwiti** ‘i’ ‘close, lock, bolt’; WMU **čahqqwí** / **čahqqwii** / **čuhkkwí** ‘lock s.th., vt’; WMU **čihkkwí** ‘na-y’ ‘turn, vt’; SP **čugwaa-nḡí** ‘fasten on’; CU **cugwí** ‘adhere to, stick to’; CU **čihkwí** ‘turn, twist’; CU **čihkkwí** ‘napí’ ‘key, n’; Ch **čikwí-čui** ‘turn’; Kw **caagu-bī** ‘glue’. TO **šaakum** ‘catch, grasp’; NT **saakómi** ‘handful’; ST **saakum** ‘handful/fistful (of grain)’. [labials, TO; -a vs. i] [NUA: Tak, Num; SUA: Tep, Azt]

**UACV-400b \*ca'wi** 'take': Mn ca'winoo 'carry (by a handle), vt'; NP caggwi'huk 'carry off'. [WNum]

**UACV-400c \*cappa/\*ca'pi** 'take': L.Son29 \*capi 'coger': Eu zápa-/cápa- 'coger, agarrar'; Tr ča'pi-mea 'coger, agarrar, casarse'; Tr na'cabi 'coger pl objs'; Wr ca'pi-ná 'agarrar, sostener'; Op capi. Note the glottal stop hop or anticipation in Tr \*ca'pi and \*na'capi. TrC \*ca'pa/i may be related to \*cakwa/i as another item showing some evidence of clustered or geminated noninitial p relating to kw, and the glottal stop may suggest a cluster. A division like cold. [Tr glottal stop hop; \*-kw-/\*-p-] [SUA: TrC]

**9** Hebrew **šaab** (< \*šabb) 'lizard'; the Hebrew form is cognate with the Arabic verb above:

Arabic **ḡabba** 'cleave to the ground, take hold, keep under lock' and Arabic **ḡabb-u** 'lizard':

**UACV-1385 \*cakwa** 'lizard': Ca čaxwa-l 'a brown lizard'; CN te-čičikoo-tl 'type of lizard'; maybe Tb šiko-l 'lizard'; thus, Semitic ḡabba 'grasp, lock, lizard' and UA cakwa 'grasp, lock, lizard'.

As in 8 and 9 above, items 10 and 11 also show medial **Hebrew -bb-> UA \*-kw-**:

**10** Hebrew šibber, impfv **-šabber** 'break, break in pieces' (qittel); Hebrew šebber 'grain (as broken or threshed for use): **UA \*sakway** 'break, ruin': Hp sakwi-ta 'break apart, break down, ruin'; Ca sakway 'mess up'; SP čukkwi 'crush'; and Tr si'o-ca-ma 'destroy, break to pieces' since Tr -'w- is Tr's medial reflex of \*kw > -'w- > -'o-.

**11** Hebrew dibber < \*dibbar; impfv **-dabber** < **\*-dabbir** 'to speak' (qittel):

**UACV-1876a \*tikwi** 'say': M67-434 \*te 'to tell'; I.Num234 \*ti(i)(h)kwi(i) 'say, tell'; M88-ti17: Mn tīkwi 'tell, vt'; NP tīkwi(hi) 'tell'; SP tikwinna 'tell a story, v'; TSh teewi 'point, tell, talk about'; TSh teewinna 'talk about'. Tb alaawi 'talking' (Voegelin 1935, 124); Tb(H) allaawat 'to talk, speak'; Tb(H) allaawappī-l 'speaker', because Tb w < \*kw and \*-t- > -l- in Tb, the Tb forms fit a prefixed infinitive: \*ha-dabber. Of pfv \*dibbar: TSh tītiŋwaC 'teach'; Sh(C) tekwaC 'talk'; Cm tekwarī 'speak, talk to'; Cm tekwapī 'word, speech'.

**12** The pronominal prefixes to the impfv stem include y-, t-, n-; thus, UA \*yīkwi as a reduced form of Hebrew yədabber 'he speaks' with 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> syllables after loss of 2<sup>nd</sup>, a common pattern in UA:

**UACV-1876b \*yīkwi** 'say': I.Num82 \*kwi(i) 'say'; M88-kwi12: Sh yekwiC 'say s.th., sg subj'; Cm yīkkwi 'say, vi'. UA \*yīkwi < \*yī-takwi is feasible since the 2<sup>nd</sup> syllable of 3 is often reduced and often eliminated in UA, especially Numic. Perhaps Hebrew nədabber > CNum \*nikwi 'say' > Sh niikwi 'say, tell, vt'; Cm niikkwi 'say to s.o.' The preceding may contain the prefixes (tī-, yī, ni-). [NUA: Num]

**13** Arabic **snw** 'gleam, shine'; Ethiopic **snw** 'be beautiful'; Hebrew šaani 'scarlet'; Assyrian siniitu 'dyed cloth': Hopi **soniwa** 'be beautiful, pleasing, look good, as of s.th. bright, brilliant, or handsome'; Hopi sonwa-y 'beautiful (of women), bright (of colors)'. Interestingly, Hebrew(BDB) above listed Arabic snw and Ethiopic snw as cognate, but inserts 'but' before the Assyrian cognate, perhaps puzzled by the semantic tie, yet Hopi has all three meanings: 1 beautiful, 2 bright, 3 having to do with colors. [1s1,2n,3w]

**14** Hebrew **baazaaq** 'flash of lightning'; Aramaic(S) bzq 'to scatter, sow, shine'; following the prefix \*aNkaC- 'red', notice UA \*kwisak or \*kwicak:

**UACV-1328 \*aNkaC-kwissaka / \*aNkaC-kwicci'i** 'lightning': Mn aqakwiči'i 'lightning, flash (of lightning), v'; also Mn aca-kwicicqa / aca-kwiciki 'be shiny, gleaming, be flashing (like lightning)' with a different prefix; Cm ekakwice'e 'lightning flash, n'; SP aŋqa-qqwišari 'lightning, red-flashing, n'; SP qwišša 'to flash, spark, vi'; Kw 'aga-gwiša 'be sheet lightning' (said to be compound of aga 'red' and kwiži 'pile up' suggested, but the latter morpheme is 'to flash or lightning' in all the other languages); WMU paná-qqwissa-y 'lightning, vi'. WMU has a different first morpheme, but the same second morpheme and also means lightning. CU paná-qosáy 'lightning, vi'. Because Tb w < \*kw, then Tb(V) wašakwašāg 'it is lightning, v'; Tb(M) wasakwasa'gat~ wasakwasāk 'flash (of light, lightning, fire)' also belongs. So this exists in each branch of Num and Tb. Perhaps also Ktn kwačea 'start or stoke fire' and/or Ktn kwačkwačik 'have blisters or be red all over'. Tb, SP, WMU, and CU all show the 2<sup>nd</sup> V as a, Tb has both such, but with many first i vowels, let there be one of each in the reconstruction. It may be that a geminated \*-ss- > -cc-, as \*-tt- does not usually lenite so far as s, and as many languages show s as c. For \*aNka of the compound, see 'red'. [NUA: Num, Tb, Tak]

**15** Arabic **baaz** 'falcon', pl biizaan; Aramaic **baaz-aa** 'falcon-the' (CAL); Syriac baaziiq-aa 'hawk, falcon-the':

**UACV-737a \*kwasá** 'eagle': L.Son115 \*kwasá 'aguililla'; M88-kwa4; KH/M06-kwa4: NP pui kwasá 'blue heron';

Tbr kwasá 'clase de ave pescadora grande [type of large predatory/fishing bird]'; Ca kwasanemčiip 'baldheaded bird'; Wr kusá 'tipo de gavilán [type of hawk]; Tr kusá 'aguililla [little eagle]'.

**UACV-737b \*kwisa** 'eagle': M67-146b \*kwi 'eagle'; Fowler83; M88-kwi5; KH/M06-kwi5: Cr čuihši 'hawk'; Wc kwíšī yī.yári 'aguililla'; CN kwiiš-in 'large bird of prey, hawk'; Pl kwíš-ti 'hawk'; perhaps Kw kisa-vi 'chicken hawk'. These two (a and b) are likely related; whether \*kwisa was original and the 1<sup>st</sup> vowel assimilated to the 2<sup>nd</sup> (\*i-a > a-a) or whether \*kwasá was the proto-form and the first vowel raised and fronted toward the alveolar is hard to say; either is possible, and thus these two are likely variants of the same etymon \*kwVsa.[\*u > i in Kw] [NUA: Num, Tak; SUA: TrC, CrC, Azt]

**16** Aramaic **blm** ‘to silence, muzzle, wrap up, guard, restrain’; Hebrew **blm** ‘to curb, restrain’; Aramaic(S) **blm** ‘to wrap up’; Aramaic(S) **blm** ‘guard, protection, n’; Syrian **blm** ‘to muzzle, check, bridle’; Syriac **baalm-aa** ‘halter, bridle’:

UACV-383 \***kwalma** ‘put arm around, carry under the arm’: BH.Cup \*kwal- ‘armpit’; M88-kwa14; KH/M06-kwa14: Cp kwál’a ‘side, armpit’; Cp kwalma ‘carry under the arm’; Ca kwálma ‘hold under armpit, put arm around s.o.’s neck’; Ls qwálma ‘armpit’; Gb kwár ‘armpit’. While possible that \*kwalma is a compound, none of the authors of the works on the three Cupan languages show it hyphenated, so Cp kwál’a ‘side, armpit’ (vs. Cp kwalma ‘carry under the arm’) may have shortened or lost the final syllable. [idddua] [NUA: Tak]

**17** Hebrew zəbuub ‘flies’ (collective); Arabic đubaab, pl: dibbaan ‘flies’; Akkadian zubbu / zumbu ‘flies’: Aramaic(J) diibbaa; Aramaic zbwr ‘hornet’; Aramaic(J) ziibuur ‘bee, wasp’; Arabic zunbuur ‘hornet’; relative to Semitic \*dVbb (Hebrew zbb) ‘fly, flies’ and UA \***sikwoti** / \***sikwori** ‘fly’, the UA form looks like a feminine plural (< \*zabboot) or from a general form of \*dVbbV(t) ‘fly’ as found in various Semitic languages; in any case, the consonants (\*d/z > s, \*bb > kw) agree with Semitic-kw:

UACV-913 \***sakwoti** > \***sikwoti**, or \***sakwoti** > Cah \***sabori** > \***saipori** ‘fly, bee’: M67-181 ‘fly, n’; M67-33 \*sek/\*cek ‘bee’; L.Son227 \*saiwori ‘mosca’; M88-sī5 ‘fly’; M88-sī18; Stubbs 1995-13; Stubbs2000b-42; KH/M06-sī5; KH/M06-sī18: the following forms divide themselves into those that show \*kw as the medial consonant and those that show a bilabial (\*p, b, bw) or were borrowed from UA languages showing bilabials:

UACV-913a \***sīkwo-** (< \*sakkwo-?) ‘fly, n’: CN šiiko’-tli ‘bumblebee’; Ca kuṣ-sexwet ‘bumblebee (husband-bee)’; Eu sébor ‘fly’; My sé’ebori ‘fly’; My kuku-sebo’ori ‘bumblebee’; Yq sé’ébo’i ‘fly’; Wr se’wá ‘fly’; Wr se’óri ‘honey, kind of honey bee’; Wr so’óri ‘kind of fly bigger than se’wá, possibly same as se’óri’; Tr se’ori ‘fly, bee’; Wc šéekii ‘gnat’ (Wc i < \*u) also appears to belong. What of Ls kúpṣax-la ‘type of bumblebee’ (with Ca kuṣ-sexwet)? Eu b corresponds to PUA \*kw (Eu basit ‘tail’) and CN šiiko’ - certainly shows medial \*kw rather than \*p. Eu and Cahitan -bo- could feasibly be either, but best fit \*kwo > bo. Tr w and Wr w normally reflect PUA \*kw in initial position, and -’w- often medially. Here Tr -’o- and Wr -’w- are medial variants of PUA \*kw, and not from \*p, because Tr and Wr show p/b for \*p. So CN, Tr, Wr, Yq, My, and Eu all show \*-kw-, being consistent with the kwo-phenomenon medially, while some other UA forms suggest \*saipoli (< \*sayapoli ?), perhaps borrowed from languages with medial bilabials:

UACV-913b \***saypori** ‘fly’: Nv saivori ‘abeja’; NT sáivuli ‘fly’; Op saivori ‘mosca’; Tbr sayvól ‘abeja’; Tbr haya-vól ‘mosca’; Wc šáipī; Cr šáihru/sa’ihiru ‘fly’; CN saayool-in ‘fly’. Some of these forms may be borrowed from Tep b or Cahitan -bo- (<\*kwo); either would be taken as \*p in other UA languages. Nv and NT seem to have borrowed from TrC, perhaps Tbr, since \*s > Tep h, not s. CN saayool-in, on the other hand, is identical to Tbr except for the missing bilabial v/p, and CN typically lost \*p. In fact, the similarity of Tbr sayvól, Op, NT, and Nv \*saivoli/saywoli to CN saayool-in is quite identical in all five remaining segments: s-a-y/i-(v)-o-l/r. Thus, this set b seems suspect for meshing or diffusions of Cah \*sībori into Azt, Tep, and other TrC languages.

Of considerable interest is that in Semitic, especially Assyrian, the root zbb carries two sets of meanings: ‘fly’ and ‘be in a frenzy, be an ecstatic’, that is, under the influence of spirits or bewitching power. Uto-Aztecán also has two sets of words meaning ‘fly’ and ‘curse/bewitch’ which not only have the same two sets of meanings, but also both correspond with \*sVkwot, which correspond with Semitic \*zVbbot.

**18** Assyrian zubbu / zumbu ‘fly’; Assyrian zabaabu ‘be in a frenzy, act crazily’; zabbu ‘type of ecstatic’; UACV-203 \***sakwo** > \***sikwo/sikwi** ‘witch, bewitch: M88-sa27; KH.NUA; KH/M06-sa27: Cp sekwíte / sakwíte ‘curse, whip’; Cp sekwítxe-l ‘whip, n.’; Sr šakwi’ ‘whip, vt’; Sr šakwitkin(a) ‘whip, swat, vt sg.obj.’; Gb sakwít ‘castigar’; Ls šiqwi ‘to punish, whip’ (1<sup>st</sup> vowel is wrong, Miller notes). The ‘curse’ semantic dimension of Cp, with \*kwo > bwo / bo in Cah, likely ties these to My sisibo ‘hechizar [to curse (of a witch)]; My sibori ‘hechizado [bewitched]’; Tr siku- ‘hechizar [to curse, witch]’; Tbr sigu-l ‘hechicero [a male witch]’. Interesting is Ls -qw- rather than -kw-, suggesting a non-high 2<sup>nd</sup> vowel, i.e., a 2nd vowel of \*o instead of \*i originally (Langacker 1970), which agrees with SUA TrC. As for the first V, \*a likely went to the schwa options—i and ĩ—suggesting it may have been unaccented previously, with Sr and Gb maintaining the original a. Note Tak -kwo- and My -bo-. Perhaps Tr and Tbr ku < kw after loss of V. Ktn kwitea ‘bewitch, kill by witchcraft’ with loss of initial syllable. [labials, kwo, u/o; t >’ in Sr] [NUA: Tak; SUA: TrC]

**19** Arabic **barr-** ‘land (as opposed to sea)’; Hebrew baar ‘open field’; Aramaic(J) bar-aa ‘uncultivated ground, forest, prairie-the’; perhaps from an Aramaic form resembling \***barr-aa** ‘field-the’:

UACV-753 \***kwiya** / \***kwira** ‘earth’: VVH112 \*kwiya ‘dirt, earth’; B.Tep6 \*bidai ‘clay’; M67-151 \*kwi/\*kwiya ‘earth’; L.Son126 \*kwiya ‘tierra’; M88-kwi2 ‘land, earth, dirt’ KH/M06-kwi2 \*kwiya = \*kwini: TO biđ ‘adobe, mud, clay, plaster’ (TO b = UA \*kw, and TO đ < \*y); My bwiya ‘tierra, suelo, piso’; AYq bwia; Yq bwía, pl: bwiam/bwiram; Tbr kwirá-t ‘tierra, mundo’; Wr we’é; Tr weé/we-/wi’yé; Cr čwéh; Cr čuáa-ta’a ‘on the ground’; Wc kwí(y)e. Note the r instead of y in both Tbr and the Yq pl, which liquid also aligns with the NUA n in the Takic forms and NP that KH/M06-kwi2 adds to Miller’s list: Sr pääkwiñit ‘mud’ (water-dirt) and Gb kwenár ‘mud’. Sr and

NP pakkwinapa ‘clay’ may be ‘water-earth’ as Ktn pakwinit ‘clay, mud’. What of SP kwaranjavi ‘rolling country’? I agree with Hill’s moving Ls kwiláli ‘to soil, make dirty’ away from \*kwiya to \*kwiCtaC ‘defecate’. [-rr-/-r- > y, > -n- in Tak/NP] [NUA: Tak, Num; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]

**20** Hebrew(BDB) **brr** ‘to select, choose’:

CN **kwia** / **kwiya** ‘to consider s.th. one’s own, to keep’; CN **kwi-lia** ‘to take s.th.’; Ls **čikwáyi-** ‘to choose, select’ may align with the impfv which has a \*ti- prefix: \*ti-bar > čikwáyi-, vs. prfv \*barra > kwiya.

In 19 and 20, we see both the verb (20) and a noun (19) of very different meanings, but of the same root and the same correspondences. Similar to Semitic **brr** > UA \*kwiya, are (64) Semitic **krr** > UA \*kiya and (65) Semitic **mrr** > UA \*miya further below.

**21** Semitic/Arabic **ganaba** ‘set aside, keep away, steal’; Arabic \***ganb-** ‘side, n’; Arabic \***ganba** ‘beside, next to, near, at, preposition’; Arabic \***baina ganbaihi** ‘inside (it), within’; to be thoroughly demonstrated later, Semitic **g** > Semitic-kw **ŋ**, and \*-nb- > \*-bb- > -kw-, so \***ganba** > \***gabba** > **ŋakwa**, as expected:

UACV-1980 \***-ŋakwa** / \***-ŋako** / \*(**mana**)-**ŋakwa** ‘side’: M67-376 \***nakw** ‘side’; I.Num110 \***naŋkwVh** ‘direction,side’; I.Num89 \***ma(a)na(a)ŋkwa(h)** ‘far’; M88-na16 ‘side’; KH/M06-na16: Hp -**ŋaqw**, -**ŋaqö** (pausal) ‘from, away from, inside of’; Ca **máŋax** ‘on/by the side of, near’; Cp -**ŋax** ‘from, because of’; Ls -**ŋax** ‘from, because of’; in shortened forms Cp -**ŋa** ‘at, in’; Gb **ŋa** ‘locative suffix’; Ca **ŋa** ‘location’; but Ca -**ŋa-x** ‘from’ (Seiler 1977, 201-2). More fully treated later after 917. Both the **ŋ** (< **g**) and the -kw- < -bb- < -nb- suggest Semitic-kw. Whether Seiler’s morpheme break is correct or not, **ŋa** could be shortened from **ŋakw**. [initial \***ŋ** > SNum **ŋ**, > C/WNum **n**, as in sycamore] [NUA: Tak, Hp, Num]

**22** Hebrew **bll** ‘to moisten, to mix up (flour, cakes, etc)’, pl: \***ball-uu**; Arabic **balla** ‘to moisten’:

UACV-2079 \***kwál** ‘soft’: M67-401 \***kwa** ‘soft’; M88-kwa8 ‘soft’; KH/M06-kwa8: Yq **bwal** ‘soft’; Yq **sí’ibwal** ‘very soft’; and AYq **bwalko** ‘soft, smooth’; Eu **barínari** ‘blando [soft], lo que fue ablandado por otro [what was softened]’; Eu **baroré’e** ‘está blando [is soft]’; Eu **baroré** ‘blandamente, suavemente [softly]’; My **bwalko** ‘blando’; first two syllables of Cr **kwa’ačira’a** ‘está suave, blando, tierno, débil’ (\*1 > ’ in Cr). Cr fits well because intervocalic \*-l- > Cr -’-. [’/l] [SUA: TrC, CrC]

UACV-1448c \***kwannu** / \***kwiNtu** ‘stir’: SP **kwan’nu** ‘to stir (mush)’ (< \***ball-uu** Semitic pl, as \*1 > NUA **n**); SP **ci-kwan’nu-i** ‘stir (mush) with a stick’; Sh(C) **kwintuiC** ‘mix, stir, vt’ (with CNum \***tuiC** ‘melt’).

Wc **kwamáá** ‘mix, stir’ has **kwaN**, perhaps with a different 2<sup>nd</sup> morpheme and thus a different cluster.

UACV-1448a \***kwat** ‘stir’: Sh(M) **kwatoi** ‘stir’; AYq **bwaata** ‘stir, mix together’.

UACV-1448b \*(**ci**)-**kwi-(tu)** ‘stick-stir’: Mn **ci’wido** ‘stir’; NP **cikwiduiwini** ‘stir’; Sh **cikkwiC** ‘mix, sift’. The **ci-** prefix in SP and Numic is a separate morpheme. [-ll- > -n-] [NUA: Num; SUA: TrC, CrC]

**23** Syriac **bilṭii-taa** ‘boring worm-the, teredo xylophagus’; Syriac **blṭ / bəlaṭ** ‘to be worm-eaten’:

UACV-2592a \***kwici** ‘worm, feces-snake’: M67-475 \***kwic** ‘worm’; L.Son120 \***kwici**; M88-kwi11; Stubbs 1995; Stubbs2000a-8; KH/M03-kwi11: Yq **bwicia**; My **bwícia** ‘gusano [worm]’; Tbr **hi-kwici-t** ‘oruga’; Wc **kwísi/kwíci** ‘gusano’; Cr **čú’ihnu** ‘caterpillar’; NT **obi-bisi** (Lionnet); Wr **ihkuciwa** ‘gusano’ (ih- is a moribund noun prefix, notes Miller); Tr **kučiwa-ri** ‘gusano’; CN **kwitkooaa-tl** ‘tapeworm’. Miller also includes Pl **kwil-in** ‘worm’ and Eu **hícira** ‘gusano’; the Eu initial consonant is unexpected and Lionnet wonders whether it is an error for *bici-ra*.

UACV-2592b \***koci** (<\*kwici): Note the similarity between CN **i’koč-in** ‘type of earthworm’ and Wr **ihkuciwa** ‘worm’ and Nv **kosiburi** ‘worm sp’. Because Tep **s** < \***c**, Tep \***kosi-** reflects \***koci** of CN and Wr. [SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt; NUA: Num]

**24** Hebrew **bky/ bakaa** ‘cry, weep’ [Semitic-kw has Semitic **bakaa** > UA \***kwikī** / \***o’kī** ‘cry’]:

UA \***kw** > Tr **w** and Wr **w**, so Tr **weke/oke** ‘weep, shed tears’ < UA \***kwikī**:

UACV-604 \***kwikī** / \***o’kī** (shed) tears’: M88-’o6 ‘tears’: AMR1993; Stubbs1995-28; KH/M06-’o6:

Tr **weke/oke** ‘[shed tears]’; Wr **o’kéwa** ‘lágrimas [tears]’; Tr **oke-wá** ‘lágrimas’; Wc **úkai** ‘lágrimas’ corresponds to Tr/Wr **oke**. [SUA: TrC, CrC; NUA: Tak]

**25** Hebrew **bky / bakaa** ‘cry’; this likely involves a meaning change from ‘crying’ to ‘crying one, baby’ much like Syriac **bk’ / bakaa** ‘cry’ underlies Syriac **bak-aa** ‘cock/rooster-the’ as the ‘crier’:

UACV-147 \***kwakiC** ‘baby’: Sr **kwakii-t** ‘young one, youngest one’; Ktn **kwaki-t** ‘baby’. [iddddua] [NUA: Tak]

**26** Hebrew **bən** ‘son’; plural noun when possessed by another noun is Hebrew **bənee** ‘children (of)’; so from Semitic-kw UA \***kwVnii** ‘child(ren)’ > Azt \***konee** ‘child, offspring’:

UACV-142a \***konī** ‘child, offspring’: CL.Azt26 \***konee** ‘child, baby’; M88-ko24; KH/M06-ko24: Pl **kunee-t**, **kunee-w** (poss’d) ‘baby, child’; CN **konee-tl** ‘child, offspring of female’. Semantic changes from pl to sg and sg to pl are frequent. UA **kwVnee** > **konee** is expected, as **kw** plus short vowel often loses the vowel to the rounding of **kwV** > **ko/ku**, and also the possessed form Azt **konee-w** < Hebrew **bənaa-w** ‘children-his’ fits. I like Hill’s association of these with Numic \***kono** ‘cradle board’ (UACV-142b), as a tie seems probable, especially in light of Tb **hono-** ‘fetus’.



**27** Syriac **brm**: et-barram ‘be consumed, worn out’; Arabic **brm**<sup>1</sup> / barima ‘be weary, tired of, fed up, bored with’ (verbal noun is Arabic **baram**):

UA **\*kwiyam** / \*kwiām ‘be lazy, do lackadaisically’: Sh **kwiam**-pīh ‘lazy’; Hp kweemo ‘fool around with, fiddle with, check out in an unserious manner’. [iddddua]

## 2.3 Hebrew **š** > **c** (ts) in Uto-Aztecan

Above at 8 and 9 are Semitic **šbb** ‘grasp’ > UA **\*cakwa** ‘grab’ and Semitic **šabb** ‘lizard’ > UA **\*cakwa** ‘lizard’, the first examples of Semitic **š** > **c** (ts). Hebrew **š** becoming Uto-Aztecan **c** (ts) is what Hebrew **š** changed to in some Jewish dialects, as also the Hebrew **š** (šade) is pronounced c/ts in modern Hebrew in Israel today as well. Further below (at **ḥ**), are more examples of Semitic **š** > **c** (ts):

83 Hebrew **šrh** ‘cry, roar’ > UA **\*cayaw** ‘yell’

84 Hebrew **šmh**, impfv: **yīšmah** (< \*ya-šmah) ‘sprout’ > UA **\*icmo** ‘sprout’

85 Hebrew **šlh** ‘rush, v’ > UA **\*coloa** ‘flee, run’

Immediately below are additional examples of Semitic **š** > **c** (ts) in Semitic **šuršur** ‘cricket’ > UA **\*corcor** ‘cricket’ and Hebrew **šavii** ‘gazelle’ > Hopi **cövi**- ‘antelope’.

**28** Arabic **šuršur** / **šuršuur** ‘cricket’; Aramaic(J) **šaršuur** ‘cricket’; Akkadian **šaršaar-u** ‘cricket’;

Syriac **šišr-aa** / **šišr-aa** ‘cricket’:

UACV-588 **\*corcor** ‘cricket’: Ktn **corcor** ‘cricket’; Cr **su’usuí** (-r- > -’- in Cr); Wc **šuušúí**. The Ktn form (from NUA) essentially equates to Arabic **šuršur**, and both mean ‘cricket’. Cr and Wc do also, with the usual **\*-r- > -’-** in CrC. Cp **selyimselyim** ‘cricket’ shows pl -m with each half, while Ca **sé’lyem** (pl) shows only one half. One syllable (instead of two) of Semitic **\*šur** (> UA **\*curu**) is compounded with s.th. else in Eu **bawisoróc**; Hp -coro of Hp **laqan-coro** / **naqan-coro** / **yaqan-coro** ‘cricket’ (Hp **laqana** ‘squirrel’); ST **kaalyi soi**; HN **cicikame-tl**; and the -son portion of Sh **maison** ‘cricket’. Specifically compounded with **\*tuku** ‘black, dark’ are Tbr **toko-sol** / **tuko-súl** ‘cricket’; NT **tuukúsuli**; Wr **tuhkucúrumi**; Wr(MM) **tukučurumi**; Tr **fukúčari**; and probably Yq **kiičul** and My **kiičul**, pl: **kučúlim** with a vowel change and loss of the first syllable: **\*tuku-curi** > **\*kucuri** > **\*kiculi**. This may be a Semitic-p term due to **-r- > -r-**, vs. Semitic-kw **-y-** (< **-r-**); the cluster **-rš- > -c-** is natural though **-š- > -s-** when not clustered; then consonant harmony affected the first C: **šuršur** > **šurcur** > **curcur**. The four Tepiman forms—TO **cukugšuađ**; Nv **tukag’sabarha**; LP(EF) **tuksáawer**; PYp **tuksarvar**—also compound with **\*tuku**, but show an enigmatic bilabial (b, w, v). Thses cognates are in 6 of 8 branches and in no less than 18 UA languages. [**\*-rC- > -u’uC** in Cr as in **\*wr** and **\*xli**] [NUA: Tak, Hp; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**29** Hebrew **šavii** / **šavii** ‘gazelle’; Arabic **žaby-u** ‘gazelle’; Aramaic(J) **žaby-aa** ‘deer, gazelle’:

Hp **cövi-wī** ‘antelope’.

**30** Hebrew **šippoor** ‘bird, small bird’:

UA **\*cipuri** ‘bird’: Tr **ciburi** / **čuri** / **čuri** ‘pollo, pollito [chicken, baby chick(s)]’; TO **sipug** ‘bird, cardinal’ (TO **s** < UA **\*c**, and the **-g** is likely of another morpheme); Wr **cu’ru** ‘kind of bird’.

**31** Hebrew **šll** ‘to tingle, quiver’; Hebrew **ššl** ‘to whirr, buzz (of insects)’; Hebrew **mašillaa** ‘bell, n’;

Hebrew **šeššaliim** ‘cymbals, percussion instrument’; Arabic **šll** ‘to ring, clink, clank, clatter, rattle’;

Arabic **šaliil** ‘rattle, clatter, n’; UA terms mean ‘rattle’ and ‘chili’ as a plant that rattles in the breeze when ripe:

UACV-429 **\*čil** ‘chile’: CL.Azt27 **\*čiiil** ‘chile’; M88-ci10; KH/M06-ci10: CN **čiiil-li** ‘chile’; Hp **ciili** ‘chili pepper’. As Miller and Kenneth Hill suggest, the Hp term is likely borrowed from CN; but Mn **ciini** ‘chili’ does show the expected NUA sound change **\*l > n**, though other NUA terms may also be borrowed from CN, especially Cp **čiiilyi**. Cp and Hp fit a later loan pattern; however, Tb and other Num forms match **\*cira/cita**, with a final **a**, instead of **i**, and Azt originally had **\*-ta** as the absolute suffix: TSh **cita** ‘chili pepper’; Cm **ciira**; CU **čirī**; Tb **čiiira/čiiida**. It is curious, however, that so much of NUA has s.th. similar to the CN form, while all of SUA, CN’s closest neighbors, have a different word **\*ko’koli**. Due to the hollow rattling sound of ripe chili in the wind, CN **čiiil-** could be from verbs like CN **ciiliin(i)** ‘to sound, of a bell’. See below **\*cili** ‘shake’ and M88-ci9. [liquids] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb; SUA: Azt]

UACV-1929a **\*čil** ‘shake’: CL.Azt143 **\*cəlowa** ‘shake’; M88-ci9; KH/M06- ci9: CN **cecelwaa** ‘shake out, beat s.th. for s.o.’; CN **ceceloaa**; Pl **cecelua**, etc. [SUA: Azt, TrC]

UACV-1929b **\*čilili** / **\*silala** ‘shake, rattle’: Mn **sīnīnīgi** ‘quiver’; NP **sīnīnīggiwīni** ‘scared and shaking’;

TSh **sīnīnīniki** ‘shake, shiver’; Cm **sīi-cīniti** ‘have chills, tremble with cold, vi’; Kw **sīnīn’a** ‘shake, shiver’;

Hp **silala-** ‘clack, jingle, rattle’; Tb **ciinīi** ~ **’icīnīni** ‘shake in fright’; Ca **čéleley** ‘shake (of body)’;

CU **sinīgay** ‘shake, shiver, tremble, be nervous’. Though most of these have the 2<sup>nd</sup> syllable reduplicated, CN **cecelwaa** ‘shake out, beat for s.o.’ and CN **ceceloaa** ‘shake, save s.th., vt’ reduplicated the first.

UACV-1929c **\*cili** ‘jingle, rattle (when moved, shaken)’: CL.Azt156 **\*čiliinV** ‘to sound, ring’; M88-ci12; KH/M06-ci12: CN **čilini**; Pl **ciilini**; Hp **silala-ta** ‘be jingling or clinking’; Ca **čilčil** ‘to sound (of a rattle)’. [c/s] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb, Tak; SUA: Azt]

**32** Syriac **bšr** ‘to lessen, fail, diminish, dwindle’, participial adj ‘wanting, poor, thin, inferior’;  
 Syriac **bišr-aa** ‘a little, a small portion’; in a cluster of \*-šr- > -ʾr-/-ʾl-, the š > ʾ in a cluster; PUA \*kw > Wr w and Tr w:  
 UACV-2505 \***kwīlawi** / \***kwīCtawi** ‘weak’: Wr(MM) wiʾló / wiʾró ‘estar doblado [folded/wrinkled], lacio [withered], flojo [loose, slack], no tener fuerza [not have strength]’ and wiʾró ‘estar doblado [folded / wrinkled], lacio [withered], estar débil [be weak]’; Tr weʾro / wiʾro- ‘estar débil [be weak], desforzado [weakened], desmazelado’;  
 CN kwetlawi ‘weaken, wither, crumple’. [SUA: TrC, Azt]

Semitic š became UA c in initial position, as shown in examples 28-31. In contrast, Semitic š in medial position also become c in SUA, but behaved differently in clusters and inNUA. In Nomic, Semitic š > ʾ as in 33 below and eye (532) and (44) in other examples.

**33** Hebrew **bšr** ‘cut off, make inaccessible, enclose’:

SP qwiʾa-ppī (< \*kwiʾaC-pī) ‘fence’; Hebrew pharyngealized š regularly goes to Nomic (or SP) ʾ (glottal stop) as in Hebrew biššar ‘make inaccessible’:

UACV-452 \***kwīʾay** / \***kwīʾaC** ‘surround, fence’: SP kwiʾa-ppī ‘fence’; CU kwiʾáy ‘surround as fence, fence, encircle, v’; CU kwiʾa-pī ‘fence’; WMU qwiʾ(y)é ‘build fence’; WMU qwiʾ(y)á-qa-ttū ‘fence, n’; Sh kwīa-ppī / koa-ppī ‘corral, fence, antelope surround’; Ch takwi-ntui ‘encircle’. The preceding are all SNum forms and likely relates to other forms \*kwiC-taa compounded with s.th. else: Mn kwitaa ‘surround, go around, v’ (this contrasts in final vowel length with Mn kwita ‘defecate’); NP kwidīʾa ‘fence corral’ and NP \*kwitīʾa in NP bbuggu ggwidia ‘horse corral’ (bbuggu ‘horse’) and NP naʾunaggwai kwidiadu ‘enclose with fence’. Jane Hill (p.c.) adds Ktn kwituʾmik ‘turn, v’. The NP forms are noteworthy in that final \*-tiʾa > -tia when later in a phrase. Perhaps the glottal stop hopped forward (transposed) to create a cluster (> \*kwiʾta), which then became variously \*kwiʾa and \*kwita in other Num languages. [cluster \*ttʾ] [NUA: Num]

**34** Hebrew **bdl** ‘divide, separate’; \*hibbadel ‘be separated’; Arabic batala ‘separate’:

UACV-1580 \***kwatta** ‘open’: Ls hiqwáta ‘be an opening’; Ca kwéteḷ ‘stick out, perk up, vi, pry open, vt’. [iddddua] [Tak]

**35** Aramaic(J) **birkaa** ‘blessing’; Hebrew brk ‘to bless, praise’; praises are often sung; and Syriac zmr also means both ‘sing’ and ‘praise’, the denominalized verb’s change from ‘bless’ to ‘sing/song’ is reasonable:

UACV-1982 \***kwika** ‘sing, song’: M67-379 \*kwika; L.Son123 \*kwika ‘cantar’; CL.Azt147/315 \*kwiika; M88-kwi3 ‘sing’; KH/M06-kwi3: Eu bíke ‘sing’; Eu bikát ‘song’; Tbr kwik ‘sing’; Wr wigatá ‘sing’; Wr wiká ‘song’; Tr wíkará ‘sing’; My bwiika; Yq bwíka; AYq bwiika; Wc kwika; Cr čuíka-ri ‘song, n’; CN kwiika ‘sing’; Pl takwiika ‘sing’. This is a denominalized verb from the noun birkaa and is in most SUA languages, but hardly found in NUA, except -ʾwexe of Cp pínaʾwexe ‘sing enemy songs, v’. [iddddua] [SUA: Cah, Opn, TrWr, Tbr, CrC, Azt; NUA: Tak]

**36** Hebrew bšy / bašaa<sup>1</sup> ‘enquire, search’; Ug bgy ‘wish’; Arabic bgy ‘search’:

UACV-1493 \***kwawa/i** ‘invite, call’: Stubbs 1995-11: Cp kwawe ‘call, invite’; Tr oʾwí ‘invite’; Wr oí ‘invite to work’ (borrowed from Tr; otherwise, woí); Eu bowá (= UA \*kwowa) ‘convidar [invite]’; perhaps the baa- of TO baamud ‘plead, invite’ (lack of TO g < \*w is frequent enough). [iddddua] [kwV > ku] [NUA: Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC]

**37** Hebrew bšy / bašaa<sup>2</sup> ‘bring to a boil, bulge out’; Arabic bgy ‘swell up’:

UA \*kwawa ‘boil’: Hopi kwala-(k-) ‘boil, come to a boil’. Semitic š > UA \*w > Hopi l between low vowels.

**38** Arabic **bahiya** ‘to become empty, pierced with holes’ (Lane, KB), III to vie, compete with s.o.’;

Hebrew bohū ‘emptiness, wasteness’:

Hp kwahi / kwāyya ‘suffer the loss of s.th. of value’; Hp kwaha-na ‘deprive of, take at the expense of s.o. or to the loss of (s.o.)’. [iddddua]

**39** Syriac bhl / bəhel ‘cease, become quiet, tranquil, calm, serene, gentle’:

\*kwaha reduplicated > Hp kwakwha ‘1. tamed, 2. peaceful, tranquil, gentle, easygoing’. No final -l in (4) bašal > kwasī either.

**40** Hebrew sbl ‘carry’; Hebrew sabbaal ‘burden carriers’; unattested Hebrew \*hisbiil:

Hp iikwil-ta ‘put on the back to carry’.

**41** Hebrew **bəʾor** ‘pit, cistern, well’: SP qwiʾoqqi (< \*kwiʾoC-ki) ‘be hollow and round’; SP qwiʾoqqi-čī ‘round and hollow, solid high ring, hollow ball, circular valley’.

**42** Syriac **bdr** ‘scatter, put in disorder, sprinkle, shed’:

Hp kwīri(k-) ‘get in a heap, collapse to a disordered pile, fall to disarray’. [iddddua]

**43** Hebrew **baḥūraa** (< baxūraa / bxr) ‘young woman’:

Sh kwīhī ‘wife’. \*u > ī often in Num, and no final -r consistent with no final -r in Hebrew bášar > \*kwasi.

**44** Arabic qbd (i) ‘seize, take, grab’, impfv ya-**qbid**(V); Hebrew qbš ‘collect’: UA \*kwisV ‘take, carry, grasp’; Sem š > ’ in Num, not in Tb, Hp:

**UACV-396a \*kwisiC (AMR) / \*kwisa/i** (< \*kwisa?) ‘take, carry’: Sapir; VVH52 \*kwī(sī) ‘to take, get’; M67-76 \*kwe ‘carry’; I.Num88 \*kwiha ‘catch, take’; M88-kwi2; AMR (1990) \*kwisiC; KH/M06-kwi2 \*kwisiC ‘carry’; Jane Hill 2008: NP kwihi ‘carry’; TSh kwīC / kwīin ‘catch’; Cm kwihi ‘catch, capture’; SP kwī ‘take sg obj’; Tb wīi(at) ~’iwiš ‘catch, rope, vt’; Hp kwīsi ‘receive, take, pick up’; TO bihi ‘acquire, get’; Yq bwīse; My bwīsse; Ktn kwick ‘wring (clothes), milk (cow), vt’; Cr -čue- in Cr rá’-a-čue-nyi ‘he is going to take it away’; Wc kwe ‘llevar algo largo y sólido’; Pl kwi grab, take’; CN kwi ‘take, vt’. Num appears to have lost intervocalic -š- (as usual) or \*-s- > -’/-h-. Miller’s inclusion of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Tb form, Tb wīkīt ‘get, catch, grab’, with a very different medial consonant is possible if from a compound something like \*kwis-kV, but see \*wik ‘take by hand’ below. Be that is it may, we must add PYP behe ‘carry, get, grasp, seize’; ST bīiya’ (pret. bīi) ‘adquirir, obtener, conseguir’. The Cahitan vowel (i) may be original. Sapir, VVH, and Miller have all included the Azt forms, with loss of final syllable. The forms in b also belong after reduction of kwV > ku:

**UACV-396b \*kus** ‘take’: BH.Cup \*kuš ‘take’; M88-ku18; Stubbs 1995-6; KH/M06-ku18: Ca -kús- ‘take’; Cp kuša- / kušáanə- / kúšanə- / kuši- ‘get, fetch, take’; Ls kušáani ‘take, grasp sg. inan.obj’. These are related to the above by \*kwīs > kus. [labials \*kwV > ku, Tb w < \*kw; V problem; \*s > h in Num] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**UACV-396c \*kwisa > \*kwiha** ‘carrying net’: at KH/M06-ku11 ‘bag’ Hill lists Sr kwiih-t ‘carrying net’ and Ktn kwiha-t ‘net, carrying net’ as maybe with the \*kusa ‘bag’ forms, with which I agree. Be that as it may, an interesting side note is Ktn kwiha-t ‘net, carrying net’ may derive from \*kwisa-ka ‘carrying-net-haver’, the one who does the carrying. [NUA: Tak]

**UACV-396d \*kusa** ‘bag, sack’: M88-ku11; KH/M06-ku11: Mn kussa/kúsa; Sh kussa; WSh kusa (acc. -i) ‘pants’; TSh kusa ‘pants’. Add Wc kisiuri ‘talega, bolsa’ whose vowel agrees (Wc i < \*u). Miller includes \*kusa with the \*kuna ‘bag’ forms, but unless the 2<sup>nd</sup> syllables are separate morphemes, the differing 2<sup>nd</sup> consonant suggests a different etymon, and Wc agrees. [NUA: Num; SUA: CrC]

**45** Hebrew qbl, -**qbiil** ‘confront aggressively’; Arabic qabbala ‘go southward (i.e., forward)’; Arabic aqbal ‘turn forward’; the basic meaning of the Semitic verbs is ‘to be in front, go front-ward’ from which other meanings derive such as ‘meet, be face to face, receive’, but this aligns with a hi-qtiil form \*hi-qbiil with the original Semitic meaning of ‘go forward’: Hopi **kwila**-(k-) ‘take a step, to step forward’.

**46** Hebrew bry, impfv: -bre ‘consume food’; this root bry is related to or a variant of br’; Hebrew (qittel) bire’/birey ‘eat’; Hebrew (hiqtil) -bree’ / -brii’ ‘provide food’; Hebrew biryaa ‘patient’s diet, food’; Arabic bari’a, impfv: ya-bra’-u ‘recover, be free of illness’:

**UACV-775 \*kwa’a** ‘swallow, eat’: Sapir; VVH48 \*kwa(‘a) ‘eat, swallow’; M67-152a \*kwa ‘eat’; BH.Cup \*qwa- ‘eat’; L.Son113 \*kwa/\*ko’a ‘comer’; M88-kwa5 ‘eat’; AMR 1993a \*kwa’aC ‘eat’; KH.NUA; KH/M06-kwa5: Cp kwá ‘eat’; Cp qwe’i-š ‘food’; Ls kwá/qwá ‘eat’; Gb kwa’á; Sr kwa’-i; Eu hibá’a- ‘comer [eat]’; Eu bawá ‘dar de comer [give to eat]’; Yq bwá’a; My bwá’a; Tbr ko-; Cr kwa’á; Pl kwa; CN kwaa. Miller includes Tr go’á/ko- and Wr ko’á, though Tr wa’á / a’wa ‘swallow’ exhibits the expected sound correspondences of \*kwa’a. Tr go’á/ko- and Wr ko’á better fit the forms of \*ko’a below, where is also Tep \*ko’a. However, let’s do add Tep \*ba’a/ba’i (<\*kwa’a/kwa’i) ‘swallow’: TO ba’a/ba’i ‘swallow’; Nv ba’a; PYP ba’i’ia; NT báayi; ST baya. What of TO bibid ‘serve s.o. food’? [NUA: Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**UACV-776 \*ko’a** ‘eat’: VVH131 \*ko’a ‘eat’; M67-84 \*ko ‘chew’; B.Tep115 \*ko’ai ‘eat’; M88-ko4; KH/M06-ko4: Ls qé’ni ‘feed animal’; TO ko’á; Wr ko’á; Tr go’-mea / ko’mea / go’á / go’yá / ko-; Tbr koa. In M88-ko4 Miller combines the \*ko’a and \*kwa’a forms, which in the kw-languages can easily alternate (thus some forms are in both lists here as well), but they are clearly distinguished in the Tepiman and Cahitan branches where ko’a and ba’a/bwa’a forms sometimes exist in the same language: e.g., TO ko’a ‘eat’ and TO ba’a ‘swallow’, though an early \*kwo > ko in Tep/Cah would make the set even more complex than the mere complexity that we presently think we are dealing with. Ktn kwa’ ‘eat’ and Ktn ko’ ‘eat’ hardly help. [NUA: Num, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC]

**47** Hebrew (hi-/ya-/ta-)-brii(’/y) ‘provide food, i.e., feed’; Hebrew biryaa ‘patient’s diet, food’:

**UACV-780 \*kwi** ‘food, feed, give food’: VVH53 \*kwi ‘food’; M67-152b \*kwi ‘food’; M88-kwi6; KH/M06-kwi6: TO bia/bi ‘dish out (food)’; Miller (M67-152b) shows Sr kwi’a-t, -kwi’a’ ‘food’ but Hill (1994) has only Sr kwa’i’aať ‘food’, whose first vowel better agrees with \*kwa’a above; NT biááhai ‘serve (food)’; NT bíidyi ‘give to eat’; ST biidyia ‘serve (food)’; first syllable of Hp kwiiwi ‘boiled or stewed food’; Hp kwiiva ‘cook by boiling’. Semitic-kw often shows the 1<sup>st</sup> C of a cluster rather than the 2<sup>nd</sup> as in Semitic-p, thus -br- > -kw-. [NUA: Tak, Hp; SUA: Tep]

**48** Hebrew bwš / buuš, pfv: baas ‘be white’; Arabic byđ, perfv **baada** ‘be white’; Hebrew beešaa ‘egg’; Arabic bayda(t) ‘egg’; Hebrew buuš ‘byssus, a costly white fabric’; Syriac buuš-aa ‘fine white linen-the’. Semiti š > UA \*c, and UA \*c > NUA y, and y is what we see in the NUA languages of Ls, Cp, and Hopi: **UACV-2545 \*kwaya** ‘white’ (< \*kwaca?): Ls xwáya ‘be white’; Cp xwáye ‘be white’; Hp qöya ‘a bound form meaning white, pure, used especially in ceremonial contexts’; perhaps Cr kwaina. \*kwV reduction in Hp, of \*kwaya > \*koya. Is Hp qööca ‘white’ a loan from SUA? [NUA: Tak, Hp; SUA: CrC]

Like 44-47, the next two (49-50) show the Semitic verb stem that clusters the first two consonants, such that \*-CbaC > \*-bbaC > UA \*kwaC. Interestingly, most Semitic verbs show a stem vowel -u- in -CCuC, but a small percentage have the stem vowel -a-, and the following are two of them and both show -a- in UA also:

**49** Hebrew *yi-gbar* ‘be superior, achieve’; Hebrew(BDB) *yi-gbar* ‘be strong, prevail’; Aramaic(S) *gbr* ‘prevail’: UACV-2556 *\*kwaC(-ku)* ‘win’: TSh *kwaaC* ‘win, beat’; Sh *kwakkuC* ‘to win a game’; Cm *kwakurī* ‘defeat, win over someone’; Kw *kwaha* ‘win’; SP *kwaa* ‘win, beat’; CU *kwa’á-y* ‘win, beat, earn’; CU *kwá-’ni* ‘win, beat, earn’. Only *\*-kwaC-* aligns with *-gbar-*; final *-ku* perhaps < Hebrew *bo* ‘in, often verb’s object’. [NUA: Num]

**50** Hebrew *-lbaš-* ‘put on (garment), clothe (oneself)’: impfv stem vowel is *-a-*, as in UA: *-lbaš* > *kwasV*; in fact the plural would be *-lbašu*, reflected in most Numic languages also; and again *-lb-* > *-bb-* > *-kw-*: UACV-484 *\*kwasu* ‘dress, shirt’: M88-*kwa12* ‘dress, shirt’: I.Num79 *\*kwasu/\*kwasī* ‘dress, shirt’; KH/M06-*kwa12*: NP *kwasī* ‘clothing, shirt’; TSh *kwasu* ‘dress’; Sh *kwasun* ‘dress’; Cm *kwasu’u* ‘dress, coat, shirt’; Kw *kwasu-pīci* ‘dress, skirt’; Hp *kwasa* ‘dress’; My *bwáhhi* ‘sapeta’. Ken Hill adds Ch *kwasu* ‘woman’s dress’; Ch *kwasú-ntu* ‘dress, put on dress, v’; TSh *kwasu’un* ‘dress, n’. Add Yq *bwahim* ‘calzones’; AYq *bwahim* ‘diaper, loincloth, breechclout’; and NP *kwasīiya* ‘put on clothes, v’. Note Cah (Yq, AYq) loses *-s-* both here and in *\*(a)ṭisa*. [Num *ī* < *\*u*] [*kw11, kw2b, kw3s1*] [NUA: Num, Hp; SUA: TrC]

After 42 examples of *b* > *kw* or medial *-Cb-/-bb-* > *-kw-* (4-12, 14-27, 32-50), consider other sound changes:

## 2.4 Many Sounds—such as *h, k, t, p, m, n*—Remain Such in Uto-Aztecans

**51** Hebrew *\*kaatep* ‘shoulder, shoulder blade, upper arm’; Arabic *katip/kitp-* ‘shoulder, shoulder blade’; Syriac *kətep / katp-aa* ‘shoulder-the, shoulder blade-the’:

UACV-1966 *\*kotapa / \*kotapo* ‘shoulder’: B.Tep112 *\*kotava/o* ‘shoulder’; M88-ko29 ‘shoulder’; KH/M06-ko29: TO *kotwa / kotīwa* (TO *w* < PUA *\*p*); LP *kotov*; PYp *kotev* ‘shoulder blade’; NT *kotáva/kotááva* ‘hombro’; NT *kotbo* ‘hombro’; ST *kotvo*. Other words are interesting, but not without their difficulties. If the initial ‘a- could be isolated, note the *-kol-* of CN *a’kol-li* ‘shoulder’. Note that the latter portion of Tr *na-’tapu* ‘push with the shoulder’ is quite identical to Tep *\*kotapo* (> ‘*tapu*’); perhaps a reduction of the first syllable caused *k* > ‘ in a cluster (*\*na-ktapu* > *\*na-ktapu* > *na’tapu*), for *na-* as the reflexive prefix (exert self, shoulder oneself to s.th.) is a likely morpheme break. Likewise, Mn *téébi* ‘shoulder’ may tie in with first syllable lost. SP *antñwíawu* ‘shoulder’ might align with Mn if nasalization before both of SP’s consonants (*-nt-* and *-Nb-* > *-ñw-*) were explainable. Hebrew *qameš* (long *aa*) is sometimes pronounced *o*, if something triggered such. [NUA: Num; SUA: Tep, TrC, Azt]

**52** Hebrew *mukke* ‘smitten’ (passive *hoqṭal* participle *\*mu-nkay* > *mukke*, from the root *nky*):

UACV-655a *\*mukki* ‘die, be sick, smitten’: Sapir; VVH86 *\*muuki/\*muuku* die; M67-126a *\*muk / \*muki*; BH.Cup *\*mukii* ‘a sore’; B.Tep155 *\*muuki*; L.Son155 *\*muku/\*muk-i*; M88-mu2; KH.NUA; KH/M06-mu2: Tb *muugīt~’umuuk* ‘die’; Tb *mugiinat~’umugiin* ‘hurt’; Tb *muugut* ‘spirit of a dead person’; Ls *múúki-l* ‘sore, boil, knot in wood’; Ls *múúki-* ‘fester, v’; Ls *múú-* ‘be in eclipse, of sun, moon’; Ca *-múk-* ‘get sick, weak, die’; Ca *múk’il* ‘sore, n’; Ca *múki-š* ‘sick person, dead person’; Hp *mooki* ‘die, faint, be numb, suffer from or be afflicted by’; Ktn *muk* ‘be sick, die’; Ktn *mukic* ‘disease’; Ktn *mukim* ‘dead people’; Hp *mokpī* ‘corpse’; TO *muuki* ‘die, corpse’; Eu *mukún* ‘morirse [die]’; Wr *mugu-ná/mugi-má* ‘morir, sg’; Wr *muguré* ‘corpse’; Tr *mukú-mea*; My *múúke*; Yq *múúke*; Cr *mī’iči* ‘dead person, he is dead; etc.’; Cr *wamī’i* ‘se *murió*’; Wc *mīki* ‘dead, adj/n’; CN *miki* ‘die, suffer from’. PUA *\*u* > CN *i*, CrC *ī*. Sapir includes SNum terms SP *čañwīqqa*, *čañwīkki*, *čawukki* (< *\*ca-mukki*) ‘die off, disappear’. It and Tak *-k-* (vs. *-x-*) suggest *\*-kk-*, but SP *moǵoa* does not; thus, Ken Hill rightly separates those.

UACV-655b *\*mukki* ‘sore’: Munro.Cup121 *\*múúki-l* ‘sore’; M67-128a; KH.NUA: Ls *múúki* ‘to fester, v’; Ls *múúki-l* ‘a boil, knot in wood’; Cp *múki-ly* ‘sore’; Cp *múkilya’a-š* ‘sore, pl’; Ca *múk’i-ly*; Sr *mukṭ* ‘a sore, n’; Sr *moki* ‘be getting sore, vi’. Cp *muhī’i-š* ‘suppurating, sore, adj’ a variant with softened medial consonant? Though the semantics vary—e.g., ‘spirit’ in Numic—this is one of the few etymons found in all eight branches of UA. Note Tb *g* < *\*kk* rather than Tb *h* (< *\*k*) due to the underlying geminated *\*-kk-*. [medial *\*-kk-* > Tb *g*, Wr *g*, Tak *k*, not *x*] [Num, Hp, Tb, Tak, Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**53** Hebrew *hukke* ‘was smitten’ is 3<sup>rd</sup> sg *huqṭal* perfective (vs. *mukke*, *huqṭal* participle above) and is in Tb: Tb(H) *hookii* ‘deceased grand-relative (grandfather, grandson) after death’.

**54** Hebrew *taapel* ‘whitewash’; Aramaic(J) *təpel-aa* ‘paste, plaster, coating-the’:

UACV-758 *\*tīpi-c* ‘white clay’: M88-tī52; KH/M06-tī52: Ls *tóovi-š* ‘white clay’ (synonymous with *tóova-l*); Sr *tīvi-c* ‘white clay, cement’; Gb *tóviy* ‘white clay’. While these ‘clay’ forms are close to *\*tīpaC* ‘land’ (see 75), these 3 languages have separate terms with a different final vowel and different absolute suffixes. The Semitic semantic retention of ‘whitewash, plaster’ to ‘white clay’ is impressive. Ktn *towi-c* ‘white paint’ may be a loan from Gb. [NUA: Tak]

**55** Hebrew *mayim / meem-* ‘water’:

UACV-2499 *\*mīma / \*mīmī-* ‘ocean’; M88-mī10 ‘ocean’; Munro.Cup84 *\*məəma-t* ‘ocean’: KH.NUA; KH/M06-mī10: Cp *méme-t* ‘ocean’; Cp *mémṇaxwi-š* ‘white man’; Ls *móoma-t* ‘sea, ocean’; Gb *mómot* ‘mar, lake’; Ca *móoma-t / múuma-t* ‘ocean’ (Ls loan?); Sr *mīm-t* ‘ocean, lake’; Ktn *mīmī-t* ‘lake, sea’; perhaps Cr *mwaihete* ‘mar [sea]’. Jane Hill (2014, 197) points to Wintuan *\*meem* ‘water’ and similar in other California languages as a possible loan source for this UA term. [Gb V] [NUA: Tak; SUA: CrC]

## 2.5 Hebrew s and š Merged to s

Instances of Uto-Aztecan š are usually more recent palatalizations of Proto-Uto-Aztecan \*s > š adjacent to high vowels. Both Hebrew s and š merged and correspond to Uto-Aztecan \*s.

**56** Hebrew **šekem** ‘shoulder, nape of neck, back, ridge of mountain’; Samaritan **šekam** ‘shoulder’; Hebrew **šikm-** (possessed); the third consonant m or general nasal N is apparent in the 2<sup>nd</sup> group of words (CV-1967b) while the first group (CV-1967a) lost it:

UACV-1967a \***sika** ‘shoulder, arm, armpit’: M67-7 \*seka ‘arm’; M67-375 \*seka ‘shoulder’; L.Son249 \*sika ‘brazo, mano’; M88-sīl ‘armpit’; KH.NUA; KH/M06- sīl ‘armpit’: Hopi sīkyakci ‘shoulder, shoulder blade’; Hopi(Seaman) sīkyakci / sīkyakci / sōkya ‘shoulder’; Cp -šék’a ‘shoulder (poss’d n.)’; Ca -sék’a / -sék- ‘shoulder (poss’d)’; Ls sóoka ‘shoulder’; Gb sok(in) ‘shoulder’; Sr šīka ‘shoulder, upper arm’; Ktn šīka-c ‘shoulder blade’; Tb šiki-t ‘upper arm, arm’ shows a final C; Tbr saká-r / haká-r ‘sobaco [armpit], agalla de pez [fish gill]’; Yq séeka ‘armpit’; My séeka-m ‘armpit’; Wr seká ‘hand, arm’; Tr seká ‘mano, brazo’; Cr ‘iskwa’a-ri / ‘iskwe’i-ri ‘armpit’; CN siyaka-tl / siaka-tl ‘armpit’; TO hīk ‘armpit’; PYp he’ekado ‘armpit’; NT ikáádi ‘arm, hand’ (remember \*s > Tep h/ø; Tep final syllables are other morphemes).

UACV-1967b \***sikuN** / \***sikkuN** (Num) ‘shoulder’: Mn sikkupī ‘shoulder blade’; Sh sikkumpī ‘shoulder blade’. TSh sikkum-pī ‘shoulder blade’; Kw sīgu-pi ‘shoulder meat (of an animal)’; WMU skumpī ‘shoulder’; CU siku-pi ‘scapula bone’. So we have Num \*sikuN-pī ‘shoulder’; Tak \*sik(‘)a ‘shoulder’; Hp; Tb; Tep \*hika ‘arm, armpit’; TrC \*sika ‘armpit’ in Cah, ‘arm, hand’ in Tr/Wr; Cr ‘armpit’; CN si(y)aka-tl ‘armpit’; and -cikora in Eu macikora ‘shoulder blade’—a reflex in every branch and in most languages. Note also the clear nasal in WMU, TSh, and Sh. [CN iya; Gb o] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**57** A Hebrew word for ‘squirrel’ does not occur in the Hebrew Old Testament text; nonetheless, Arabic **singaab** ‘squirrel’ would correspond to Hebrew \***siggoob** ‘squirrel’ to which UA \***sikkuC** ‘squirrel’ corresponds perfectly (C means the doubling effect of an underlying consonant). All is as expected: the doubled consonant devoiced (-gg- > -kk-), the vowel rose from o > u, with final gemination: SP sikkuC- ‘squirrel’; Ch siku-ci ‘squirrel’; Sr hikaau-t ‘chipmunk’ (Sr h < \*s); other forms in SUA show a semantic change to ‘mouse’ as squirrels, chipmunks, and mice are all fast, darting little animals:

UACV-2144b \***sikkuC** ‘squirrel’: Ch sikú-ci ‘squirrel’; SP sikkuC-(cci), sikkuN- ‘squirrel’; WMU aqqá-skuči ‘squirrel’ is a fairly nice preservation of PNum \*aNka-sikkuC-ci (< red-squirrel). [NUA: Num]

UACV-2143b \***ciku** ‘mouse’: Eu zikúr/cikúr; Yq čikul; My čikkul; Tr čikuri; Wr ci’kuri. Are these affrications of the above? [SUA: TrC] UACV-2144a \***sikka(-wV)** ‘chipmunk’: BH.Cup \*sVká ‘chipmunk’; HH.Cup sVkáawət ‘chipmunk’; M88-si20; KH.NUA; KH/M06-si20; Jane Hill 2007-46: Cp sekáwet; Ca sikáwet ‘tree squirrel’; Ls šukáa-wu-t ‘tree squirrel’; Sr hikaawt ‘chipmunk’; Ktn hika-t ‘flying squirrel’. Miller includes Hp sakina ‘brown squirrel’ with a question mark. Matching fairly well, however, is Tb ‘išī’iga-l ‘blue squirrel’. The non-descript V in HH.Cup’s reconstruction is a good choice for an unaccented V becoming the schwa-like possibilities, but in Ca í is accented and is found in two of four, so let it be our best guess. Jane Hill (2007) notes Rio Grande Tewa sá’wǎ ‘squirrel’. [Tak V’s; i-a > Ls u-a] [NUA: Tak, Tb, Hp]

**58** Hebrew **škr** ‘be/become drunk’; Hebrew **šikkoor** ‘drunken’; Ethiopic sakkaar ‘addicted to alcohol’; Hebrew šekaar ‘intoxicating drink’; Arabic sakira ‘be drunk’; Arabic sikkiir ‘drunkard’, and other Semitic forms, but note that UA \*sikuri < Hebrew **šikkoor**, pl: **šikkoor-iim** ‘drunken’:

UACV-11 \***sikuri** (> Tep \*hikuri) ‘peyote, intoxicat-ed/ing’: Fowler83: PUA \***sikuri** ‘peyote’ (an intoxicant): NT ikuli ‘peyote’; PYp hikeri ‘peyote.’ The Tep forms point to PUA \*sikuli, because PUA \*s > Tep h/ø. Therefore, Tr hikuri, Cr ikuri, and Wr ihiguri, all meaning ‘peyote’, may be borrowed from Tepiman. Eu ba-hiskor ‘drinker’ contains hi-skor, and Tr sugí ‘tesgüino, bebida fermentada hecha de maíz [fermented drink made of corn]’ also belongs with a vowel shift, which is common in Tr. Keeping in mind \*s > TO h, note Fowler’s inclusion TO hikugdam ‘saguaro cactus button’; TO hikug ‘for a tree to drop its blossoms’; TO hikug-t ‘to form fruit’.

Some NUA reflexes may belong as well: Tb(V) šo’ogonhn-(it)~ošogonh ‘be drunk’; Tb(M) so’goonit~oso’goon ‘be high on Indian tobacco, drunk’. Also note the same three consonants (s-k-l) in CN meškal-li ‘mezcal, distilled alcoholic drink’, though other etymologies for the CN term have been proposed. Note also AYq sankora ‘drunk, n’ with nasalisation of the velar and a vowel change; and PYp suusekar ‘drunkard’—borrowed from a non-Tep language, since \*s > h in Tep. [loans; NUA o vs SUA u; \*L > NUA n; Tr V shift] [NUA: Tb; Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]

**59** Hebrew **šakuur** ‘drunk’ or Hebrew **šikkoor** ‘drunk’ from Semitic škr ‘drunk, intoxicating drink’; the UA forms either lost the first syllable (\*šikur > \*kuru) or are from the infinitive škor; Nahuatl mescal is an alcoholic drink made from agave and such cacti juices, and so some UA terms mean the plant vs the drink:

UACV-5 \***kuru** ‘mezcal, agave’: Fowler83-3:8; L.Son109 \*kuru ‘clase de mezcal’; M88-ku25; KH/M06-ku25: Wr kuru; Tr guurú-(bari) ‘palmilla’; Tbr kurú-t ‘sotol’. Cahitan(Cah) ku’u fits \*kuru well, since intervocalic liquids > -’- in Cah: My kuú’u ‘mezcal, maguey’; Yq kúu’u ‘mezcal plant for making alcohol’; Eu kuút/ku’út ‘cierto mezcal grande’. Fowler includes Wc kiveri ‘lechuguilla, agave sp.’, of which the first syllable may belong, and lists NT, which form I cannot find in Bascom’s NT dictionary. Add Tb(M) kuuk-t ‘mezcal’; perhaps Tb(V) kuya-t ‘yucca whipplei’. [r > y in Tb, r > ’ in Cah, > ø in Eu] [NUA: Tb; SUA: TrC, CrC]

**60** Arabic **muskir** ‘alcoholic beverage’; Hebrew nouns are frequently formed by prefixing *ma-* or *mi-* to roots; in this case for an unattested *\*ma-škar* or *\*mi-škar*:

PUA **\*maskal** ‘mezcal, an alcoholic drink’; CN *meškal-li* ‘mezcal, distilled alcoholic drink made by cooking the heart of the maguey plant’.

**61** The following SUA forms could easily derive from reductions of *\*maskal* in *-sk-* reducing to *-h-* or to *-k-* > *-h-*, and then the 2<sup>nd</sup> vowel rising in anticipation of the alveolar (high front) consonant *-l-*:

UACV-4 **\*maC(C)i** / **\*mahi** ‘agave, mescal’: M67-3 *\*ma* ‘agave’; Fowler83; L.Son133 *\*mahi* ‘mezcal’; M88-ma25 ‘agave, mescal’; KH/M06-ma25: Eu *meit* ‘mezcal ya tatemado’ (see ‘bury, cook underground’); Wr *mahí* ‘agave, mezcal’; Tr *mé/ma-/mi-*, *méke* ‘maguey, mezcal’; Tbr *mañi-t* ‘maguey’; TO *ma’i* ‘a pit roast’; Wc *mái* ‘mezcal’; Cr *mwáih* / *mwéih* ‘agave’; CN *me-tl* ‘century plant, maguey, member of agave family’; NT *mai* ‘maguey, mescal’; PYP *mai* ‘corn, maguey, mescal’. From CN *meškal-li* ‘mezcal, distilled alcoholic drink made by cooking the heart of the maguey plant’, then *\*maskal* > *\*maki/meke/mahi* is a typical kind of reduction in UA, with rising vowels before a liquid; and where does the *\*-ke* come from in Tr *meke* ‘agave, various species’? In any case, the variety of 2<sup>nd</sup> consonants—*h’/ø/x/k/* Tbr *ñ* (< *\*y*)—suggests a medial cluster. [clusters; medial *h/ø/x/k/*; Tr *k* vs. *k* > *h/ø* elsewhere] [SUA: TrC, CrC, Azt, Tep]

## 2.6 Semitic-kw intervocalic *-r-* became *-y-/i-* in non-initial positions

Similarly, Proto-Mayan *\*r* > *y* in most of Q’anjobalan, Tzeltalan, Cholan, and Yucatecan (Campbell 1977, 97-100). Besides examples above (5 *baašaar*, 19 *brr*, 27 *brm*), additional examples of *-r-* > *y/i* follow:

**62** Hebrew *šrq* / *srq* / *šaraq* ‘to comb, v’; Syriac *srq* / *səraq* ‘to comb’:

UACV-518a **\*siyuk** / **\*ciyuk** ‘to comb, v’: Tb *siuk* ‘comb, v’; WMU *čiyu’wa-y* / *čii’wa-y* ‘comb (hair), vt/vrefl’; CU *čiyu’wey* ‘comb, vt’; Hp *sööqa* ‘card (wool), v’; Ca *suyavis* ‘comb, n’; Tb(V) *’iišiug-* ~ *šiuuk* ‘comb one’s hair’; Tb(M) *’išyuugat* ~ *’išyuuk* ‘comb one’s hair, v’; Tb(M) *šiuugišt* ‘comb’; Tb(H) *šiwk* ‘comb, v’; Ktn *šeahk* ‘to part hair, vt’. As for CU *č*, sometimes *š / š / s* > *c*, especially in SNum; see SP at 10 above (Hebrew *šabber*) and SNum at 93 ‘head’ (Hebrew *roš*). Note also the nasal V in WMU relating to Sem-kw *q* > *ŋ*. [NUA: Tb, Hp, Num]

**63** Syriac **sirq-aa** ‘comb-the, n’; UA shows a denominalized verb from the noun, as it often does:

UACV-518b **\*cika** ‘to comb, sweep’: CL.Azt30 *\*cikaawaas* ‘comb’; L.Son31 *\*cika* ‘peinarse’; M88-ci9; KH/M06-ci9: Yq *čike* ‘peinarse’; Yq *hičike* ‘sweep’; Yq *hičikia* ‘broom’; My *čikke* ‘peinarse’; Eu *atecika* ‘peinarse’; Wr *ci’ihká* ‘comb, n (Lionett), note *-’-* where *-r-* is; Wr *ci’iká* ‘type of cactus (Miller)’; Tr(S) *tiči* ‘peinar’; Tr(S) *tičikari* ‘comb’; Tr *tiči*, *čiká*, *ti-čik*; Tbr *cikát*; CN *cikawaas-tli* ‘comb, n’; CN *cika-waas-wiaa* ‘comb hair, v’; Pl *ciikuwas* ‘comb’; Pl *ciikwastia* ‘to comb’; HN *cihwaas-tli* ‘comb’. To Miller’s collection, add the latter part of Cr *muacikī* ‘comb, n’ and possibly the *-cih-* segment of Cm *nacihtu’ye* ‘comb, hairbrush’; but most interesting is NT *šikiúumai* ‘peinar con el chino’—a reflex among the Tep languages to match the rest, since NT *š* < *\*c*; NT *ikiúumai* ‘peinar, vt’ appears to be an alternate form. UACV-518c **\*hi-ciki** ‘sweep’; **\*hi-ciki-ta** ‘broom’: Yq *hičike* ‘sweep’; AYq *hičike* ‘sweep’; AYq *hičikia* ‘broom’; My *hičike* ‘sweep, v’; My *hičikia* ‘broom’; and Wr *icikila* ‘broom’. These have a *hi-* prefix. [reduction] [NUA: Num, Tb; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**64** Semitic **krr** / **krkr** ‘go in circles, dance’ (see variety of Semitic forms in Hebrew(KG) 2001, 300; and in Brown et al 1975, 502-3): SP *kiya* ‘have a round dance’. [NUA: Num]

**65** Arabic **mrr** ‘pass, go, walk’:

UACV-1009 **\*miya** ‘go’: M67-197 *\*miya*/*\*mi*; I.Num101 *\*mi’a* ‘go, walk’; KH.NUA; M88-mi6 ‘go’; KH/M06-mi6 *\*miyaC* (AMR): Mn *miya* ‘go’; NP *mia* ‘go’; Sh *mia* ‘go’; Kw *miya* ‘come, go, walk, pl’; SP *mia* ‘travel, journey, vi pl’; CU *miyá-y* ‘move away from, be far from’; Cm *mia/mi’a*; TSh *mia/mi’a*; Gb *mya*; Sr *mi/miaa*; Ktn *mi*; Tb *miyat-iimiy* ‘go’; Tb(H) *miyyat* ‘go, take leave’. Add WMU *-mi* ‘while going/moving, do s.th. while going, v’; Kw *mi* ‘move while V-ing’; Kw *miya* ‘go, walk’. [NUA: Num, Tb, Tak]

Besides *krr* > *\*kiya* (64) and *mrr* > *\*miya* (65) and *brr* > *\*kwiya* (19, 20), other examples of *-r-* > *y/i* follow.

**66** Hebrew *’mr* / *’amar*, impfv: **yoo-mar** / **yoo-mer** ‘say’

UACV-1880 **\*umay** / **\*may** ‘say’: Kw *mee* ‘say’; Ch *mai* ‘say’; SP *mai* / *mwai* / *umai* / *imai* ‘say’; WMU *may* / *umway* ‘tell, say’ (past: *may-kye*); CU *may-ka* ‘say, tell, order’; Sh *me* ‘quotative particle’. WMU past tense suffix *-kye* (vs. *-qa*) shows that there is a final *-y* in the stem. [NUA: SNum]

**67** Hebrew *šaaráfat* ‘skin disease’; Hebrew(BDB) *šaaráfat* ‘leprosy’:

CN *siyo-tl* ‘rash, scab, leprosy’ shows both *-r-* > *-y-*, and *š* > *o*.

Other examples of Hebrew *\*-r-* > UA *-y-* / *-i-* abound throughout.

## 2.7 Hebrew/Semitic non-dageshed b, d, and g generally devoiced to p, t, k:

Three Hebrew forms for ‘locust’ derive from the Semitic root gb’/gby: Hebrew **goob** ‘locust’;

Hebrew **gebiim** ‘locust’ (BDB) occurs only in the plural, ‘swarm (of locusts)’ (KB);

Hebrew **gobay** ‘locusts (a collective, swarm, multitude) (BDB)’, ‘swarm of locusts (KB):

**68** Hebrew **gebiim** ‘locust’: SP qīvi ‘grasshopper’;

**69** Hebrew **goob** ‘locust’ and Hebrew **gobay** ‘locust’: Eu okoboi ‘grasshopper’; Kw haakapayni-ži ‘grasshopper’; and ST kavak soi ‘grasshopper’. Eu and Kw both have an initial prefix much like the definite article ha- ‘the’ and assimilated in the Eu form. Semitic b and g devoiced to p and k. [NUA: Num; SUA: TrC]

**70** Hebrew **degel** ‘standard, banner’; Aramaic(J) digl-aa ‘carrying pole in the shape of a banner’:

Wr **tekela** ‘stripe, hat band, pole at the bottom edge of the roof’. Hebrew d and g are devoiced to t and k. [idddua]

**71** Hebrew **daayeq** ‘bulwark, siege-wall’; Assyrian dayyiqu ‘bulwark’; Syriac dawq-aa ‘watch-tower, look-out, wooden tower (for besieging a city)’; Syriac dwq ‘gaze (from far)’:

Hopi **tīyīqa-** ‘wall’ in Hp tīyīqa-va ‘along the front of the wall’ (Seaman); Hp tīyīqa-nawit ‘along the front of the wall’ (Voegelin); Hopi tīyqa ‘projecting point of a mesa, external corner of a structure’ (Hill). The latter Hopi dialect lost a vowel, but the idea of a wall or high barrier / overlook is in both Semitic and UA.

**72** Hebrew **dqr / daaqar** ‘pierce, v’; Hebrew **dəqer** ‘sharp tool or weapon, pick, mattock’;

Syriac dqr / dəqar ‘dig, break, pierce through’:

UACV-615 **\*tīka / \*tīkī / \*tīkiy** ‘cut, stick in’: Sapir; VVH113 **\*tī,ki/\*tī,ka** ‘to cut’; M67-117 **\*tek** ‘cut’; I.Num240 **\*tek** ‘cut’; L.Son289 **\*tīk-so** ‘picar’; CL.Azt218 **\*\*tīk-** ‘cut’; M88-ti23; KH/M06-ti23 **\*tīkat**: TO -čk/-čik ‘pointed object’; TO cikid ‘vaccinate, put down a stake’ (< **\*tīkiy**); Hp tīkī ‘cut’; CN teki ‘to cut s.th.’; Tb tīdiha, perfective: ’itīdiha ‘be cut up’; SP tīxánni ‘to cut up meat’; Mn tīhee’na ‘scissors’; Sh tīkoa ‘scissors’; latter part of NT ikīitīkīi ‘cortar [cut]’; Eu mé-teka ‘cut with an axe’ (Eu mé-teki pret); Eu síteka ‘cortar’ (Eu sí-teki pret); Wr & Tr me’te-. Sr tīhtīi ‘to work’ and Ktn tīk ‘break ground with a stick’ and CN teki-panoaa ‘work’ show this stem (tīkiy ‘cut’) also as work, tilling, or agriculturally digging/cutting the ground. TO cikpan ‘work, v/n’ may be a Nahuatl loan. SP forms differ in SP tīkka ‘eat’ vs. SP tīganni ‘cut up meat’; Kw tīhani ‘dry meat, jerky, butcher’; WMU tīánni ‘butcher animal, cut up meat, skin (an animal), vt’; CU tīáni ‘skin, vt’. [**\*-k-** > Tb -h-] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**73** Akkadian(KB) **dašuu** > **dīišu** ‘grass, spring’; Hebrew **dešē** ‘grass, vegetation’:

UA **\*tīsi** ‘grass, weeds, meadow’: Hp tīsi ‘weeds in a cultivated field’; Hp tīsi-ti ‘become weedy’; Ch tīsi-vi ‘grass’; Kw pa-rasii-vī ‘meadow, grass’.

In the next two items, the 2<sup>nd</sup> consonant Hebrew -b- devoices to PUA **\*-p-**, then to -v- or -b- between vowels.

**74** Hebrew **təbuu’at** ‘produce, yield from the land, literally: what comes in (of harvest, to be stored)’:

UACV-1630 **\*tīp’at / \*tīpaC / \*tīpat** (AMR) ‘pinion nut, conifer sp.’: BH.Cup **\*tevat** ‘conifer sp.’; M67-319 **\*tepa** ‘pine nut’; HH.Cup **təvat** ‘conifer sp.’; I.Num245 **\*tīpah** ‘pine nut’; Fowler 83; KH.NUA; M88-ti29 ‘pine nut’; M88-ti30 ‘conifer sp.’; AMR1993a **\*tīpat**; KH/M06-ti29 **\*tīpat** (AMR): Munro.Cup29 **\*tāvá-t / tāvé-t / tāvá-t** ‘conifer sp.’: Ls **tóóva-t / tuvá-t** ‘pinion’; Cp **təvə-t**; Ca **téva-t** ‘pinion’. Gb **tová’at** piñon; Mn **tībá’**; NP **tība** ddabbui; NP **tīpape** ‘pinenut tree’; TSh **tīpaC** ‘pine nut’; Sh **tīpa/tīpaC**; Kw **tīva-ci**; Kw **tīva-pī** ‘single-leaf pinion’; SP **tīv<sup>w</sup>aC-ppī** ‘pinion’; SP **tīva-ci** ‘pine nut’; CU **tīvīá-ci** ‘nut, kernel’; Hp **tīva** ‘pinion nut’; Hp **tīve’e** ‘pinion pine’; Tb **tība-t**; Tb(H) **tīpat-t** ‘pine nuts’; Sr **tīvat** ‘pinion’; Ktn **tīva-t**; Kw **tīpa-ppī** ‘single-leaf pinion’. Miller lists HN **tepeewa** ‘to broadcast seeds’; HN **tepeewi** ‘to fall (seeds, leaves, etc.)’. Note the glottal stop in the same position for Mn **tībá’**; Gb **tová’at**; and Hp **tīve’e**. Also the final gemination in Num and final -t in Tak and Tb, both align with that glottal stop. The CU vowel **tīvīá** (< **\*tīvu’a**) since often Num **i** < **\*u**. All those facts lead to the first reconstruction **\*tīp’at / \*tīpu’at**, though the latter two fit many also. [**\*i** > Ls o/u; Gb V] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb, Tak; SUA: Azt]

**75** Hebrew **teebeel** ‘firm (dry) land’; Assyrian **taabal** ‘land’:

UACV-757a **\*tīpaC / \*tīpal** ‘earth’: Sapir; I.Num247 **\*tīpi(h)** ‘earth, land, ground’; M88-ti36; KH.NUA; KH/M06-ti36: Mn **tīpi**; NP **tīpī** ‘earth, land’ (vs. NP **tībī** ‘rock, stone’); Sh **tīpia** ‘home country, land, property’; Kw **tīi-pī** (< **\*tīip-pī**) ‘dirt, earth, world, year’ (vs. Kw **tī-bi/tī(m)bi / tī-bi-ci** ‘stone, rock, earth’); SP **tīviC-/tīvi-ppī** ‘earth, ground, country’ (vs. SP **tīmpīC** ‘stone, rock’); CU **tīvi-pī** ‘earth, world, soil, dirt, ground, country, land’ (vs. CU **tīpiy-ci / tīpī** (< **\*tīppī**) ‘stone’); Gb **tová-r** ‘tierra’; Ls **tóóva-l** ‘white clay’; Ls **tóvki-š** ‘storage cave’ (earth-house?); Sr **tīva-ṭ** ‘earth, ground, land, world, country, floor, dirt, dust’; Ktn **tīva-č** ‘dirt’. Add Op **teve** ‘earth’ (Shaul 2007) and Ch(L) **tīvi-pī** ‘earth, land, territory’. Though Miller often brought some of both together, Numic words for ‘earth’ vs. ‘rock’ (603) differ in both the middle consonant and the final consonant, so some are included for contrast.

For example, \*tīmī-pī ‘rock’ > tī(N)pī has SNum showing nasalization (at times medial -m-) or gemination (a definite medial cluster), while \*tīviC- (< \*tīpaC) ‘earth’ shows no nasalization and no medial cluster and thus the usual spirantization. In SUA, the distinction is less discernible. Miller includes CN tepee-tl ‘hill, mountain, precipice’ which is listed at \*tīpī ‘long, tall’ in this work. Cf. rock and tall. Sapir also ties the above \*tīpaC ‘earth’ with \*tīpī ‘mountain’, but Ls tavu- ‘long’ (97) vs. the above Ls term and differing semantics (earth vs. long) and a final consonant in \*tīpaC all suggest differing stems. That the 2<sup>nd</sup> V is *a* in Ls, Gb, Ktn is strength enough to reconstruct it, as any V > ī/i is common in UA unstressed syllables. This may be Sem-p as -l raises not the V. UACV-757b \*tal (< \*tīpal) ‘land, earth’: CL.Azt 96 \*tlaal ‘land, earth’; 130 tlaalia ‘put, place’; M88-ta39; KH/M06-ta39: CN tlaal-li; Pl taal; Po tal; T tlloli; Z taal. The frequent loss of \*-p- in Azt and Azt’s anticipation of following vowels ties \*tīpaC ‘earth’ with Azt \*taal ‘earth’: \*tVpal > tapal > taal (Azt). [NUA: Num, Tak; SUA: TrC, Azt] UACV-773 \*tīpoN ‘flat land’: Mn tibóopi ‘countryside’; TSh tupoompi/tupoon ‘desert, flatland’. [NUA: Num]

## 76 Hebrew ’aadaam ‘man’:

UACV-1419 \*otami (< \*wVtam?) ‘man, person’: B.Tep325 \*’o’odahami ‘person, Indian’; KH/M06-’o29: TO o’odham ‘person, tribesman’; NT óódami ‘person, people’; ST odam/o’dam ‘Tepehuano, indigenous person’. Add TSh otammani / otamma ‘old man’. Whether borrowed from Otomi is hard to say, but if we start with s.th. like \*otami, then intervocalic voicing (\*t > d) would yield the Tep forms and agree with TSh. In Bascom’s reconstruction of Tep \*’o’odahami ‘person, Indian’, the extra syllable seems solely based on TO dh, while all others show only d, and even TO shows no vowel between and may simply be a devoicing mechanism. Note also -wetam in Cp mulu-’wetam ‘first people’ and the first half of Ch(L) ’ontokwavi ‘male cousin’. These may belong to Semitic-p rather than Semitic-kw. Gb woróyt, pl: worórom ‘man’. However, note both here and at ‘believe’ the loss of intervocalic m in Gb and clear rounding for initial glottal stop. What of Tb(H) waattam ‘soldiers’ and Hopi wátamri ‘good-for-nothing, stupid one’? Likely of a separate set are Sr wīṭī’lṣṭ ‘man’ pl: wīṭī’ham; Sr wīṭī’vīṭ ‘old man’ pl: wīhwṭī’vī’m and Ktn wīṭīha-č ‘old man’, listed at \*wīṭī of UACV-1420, as M88 and KH/M06-wī10 have the Sr and Gb forms. [NUA: Tak, Num; SUA: Tep]

77 Hebrew ’dm ‘be red’; Hebrew ’adom ‘reddish-(brown)’; Arabic ’aduma / ’adima ‘be tawny’; Samaritan ’adem ‘red’; Hebrew ’odem ‘precious stone, redness’:

UACV-312 \*oNtam / \*o(N)ta(N/C) ‘brown’: NP otī-ggwidadi ‘sorrel colored, brown’; TSh ontimpi(tin) ‘brown’; Sh(M) ontīn ‘brown’; Sh(C) onton ‘brown, orange’; Kw odo- / ondo- ‘brown’; Ch ontó-ka ‘brown’; Ch(L) ontokwarīmī ‘woman’s name referring to brownish color of hair’; SP ontoC ‘reddish brown’; WMU attoC- in attó-qgwa-rī / attóqgwarī ‘brown’; CU ’ótó-qwa-rī ‘brown’; TO o’am ‘brown, orange, yellow’. The -t- (vs. r/d) of CU and WMU, Kw, NP, and SP suggest a cluster, besides all the other forms showing a cluster \*-Nt-. Nasalizations or nasal anticipation, such as ’adam > ’andam, occurs in some Semitic dialects as well. [-(N)t- > ’ in TO] [NUA: Num; SUA: Tep]

## 2.8 Semitic Voiceless Pharyngeal ḥ > \*hu/ho in Uto-Aztecan in initial position

Hebrew’s voiceless pharyngeal fricative ḥ is reflected by PUA \*hu/ho in initial position. Sometimes it lacks the h, and only an initial round vowel (o/u/w) is apparent. Similarly, in non-initial positions, ḥ is regularly reflected by the round vowels o/u or the semi-vowel w.

78 Hebrew ḥeṣ / ḥeṣī ‘arrow’; Arabic ḥazwat / ḥuzwat ‘arrow’; Aramaic ḥeṭy-aa / ḥeṭ-aa ‘arrow-the’:

UACV-63 \*huc(a) > \*huC ‘arrow’: Sapir; VVH78 \*hu ‘arrow’; BH.Cup \*hu ‘arrow’; B.Tep334 \*’u’ui ‘arrow’; M67-9 \*hu ‘arrow’ and 474 \*hu ‘wood’; I.Num35 \*huuh ‘arrow’; L.Son64; M88-hu3 \*hu; Munro.Cup6 \*huu-la ‘arrow’; M88-hu3; KH.NUA; KH/M06-hu3 (\*hu AMR) and hu22: Ls húu-la; Sr hooṭ; Hopi hoo-hī; Hopi hooṅavi ‘arrow material’; Tb paa-huu-l ‘war arrow’; Kw huuwa-zi; Ch húu; SP uu / u; WMU uu / úu / huu; CU ’úu; Yq hú’iwa; My hú’iwa; Wr úa; Tr wa. Ken Hill (KH/M06) includes several other viable forms at hu3: NP huwa /howama; WSh hua ‘bow’; WSh huukkuna ‘quiver, lit. arrow bag’; WSh hua’aiti / hoa’aiti/huu’aiti ‘bow and arrow’; Gb hur; Tb uut ‘stick, pole’; Eu humát ‘quiver’; and others yet at hu22: NT úúši ‘tree’; ST uuš ‘tree’; NP huuppi ‘stick’; Sh huuC ‘wood’; Sh huuppin ‘stick, wood, log’. Add Ktn hu-č ‘arrow’; and Tepiman: Nv ’u’u; PYP u’u; NT úyi / ui / úúyi; ST ’u’uu. A few forms (like TO uuš; NT úúši ‘tree’; ST uuš ‘tree’) show \*c as a second consonant, not likely a residual absolutive suffix in Tepiman. Munro and Hill both note Ca húya-l ‘arrow’ and Cp húya-l ‘arrow’ in contrast to Cp hú-l ‘arrowhead’ and Ca hú-l ‘bow and arrow’. The \*huya- forms fit \*huca (like TO uuš), since \*-c- > -y- in NUA and \*-c- > -s- in TO. However, several UA languages have an initial \*hu... form for ‘arrow’ and another initial \*hu... form for ‘wood, stick’. But the two lists show \*hu and \*huc forms on both sides, again suggesting a need for more work. Where do Yq húya ‘árbol, monte’ and My huyya ‘árbol, monte’ fit? CNum \*huuppi ‘tree’ (< \*huuC-pi) may also derive from this stem. The strength of the initial pharyngeal overpowers the adjacent vowel—ḥeṣ > hu—which is usual in UA; and though some UA languages do not show the final c well, some do, i.e., the expected reflexes for c do appear in TO, Ca, Cp. Cr and Wc ī < \*u, so they also show \*u. Reflexes of UA \*huc appear in every branch except Azt. [\*c > s in Tep] [NUA: Num, Hp, Tb, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]



**79** Hebrew **ḥmr** ‘to pitch’ [i.e., cover with pitch]’; Hebrew(BDB) **ḥmr** ‘to cover or smear’ (with s.th.); Arabic ḥammar ‘to color or dye red’:

UACV-2381a \***humay** / \***humar** ‘smear, spread, rub, paint’: Ca húmay ‘smear, paint, vt’; Cp hume- / hum-ine ‘spread a liquid or s.th. fine like sugar’; Cp hume-yaxe ‘be spread out’; Tr na’oma ‘erase, cloud up’ (with na- prefix); PYP huhul ‘rub, paint’ (if \*humal > huml > hul); and perhaps Wc -maa in šúurí.maa ‘smear blood’ (Wc šuure ‘red’). The Cah languages compound \*pa- ‘water’ with this for ‘swim’ perhaps in ‘water-spread/be prone’: My bahume ‘nadar’; AYq vahume ‘swim’. [r > y] [NUA: Tak; SUA: TrC, CrC, Tep]

**80** Hebrew ḥpp ‘to rub off, wash’; Arabic ḥaffa (< \*ḥappa) ‘to remove hair’:

UACV-2494 \***up(p)a** ‘bathe, wash, rub’: M67-27 \*u-pa; L.Son25 \*’upa; M88-’u2; KH/M06-’u2: Op uva; Eu úva/huba; Yq úba; My úbba; Wr u’upá; Tr úba; Cr -i’iwá; Wc -’iiva/’iia. As ‘rub’ and ‘wash’ often relate, Ktn ḥip̄pk ‘rub buckskin between hands to soften it’ may belong, and Tb hip ‘rub, massage’. The -wpa of Hp màwpa ‘rub along the length of, stroke with the palm of the hands’ < ma ‘hand’ + \*huppa ‘rub’. [\*-p- > -w/v- in CrC] [1h2,2pp] [SUA: TrC, CrC; NUA: Hp, Tak, Tb]

**81** Hebrew ḥaaber ‘companion’; Hebrew ḥabéret ‘marriage companion (feminine), wife’:

UACV-2572a \***hupi** ‘woman, wife’: VVH79 \*hu<sub>pi</sub>; B.Tep332 \*’uvi ‘girl, female’; M67-471 \*hupi; I.Num45 \*ḥipi ‘woman’; M88-hu4 ‘woman’; L.Son68 \*hupi ‘to marry’; KH/M03-hu4: TO uwi ‘female, woman’; Nv ubbi; NT úvi ‘female, girl’; ST ’uvii ‘female, girl’; Eu hoít ‘mujer de edad, aunque no muy vieja [mature woman]’; Eu huhwa ‘mujer [wife], esposa [woman]’; My húubi ‘esposa’; Yq húubi ‘woman, wife’; Wr upí ‘wife’; Tr upí ‘wife’; Cr iita ‘a woman’; Cr nya-’ih ‘my wife’; Wc ’iya ‘woman, wife’; Tb hu’ubanaḥ ‘widow, widower’. Usual in Cr iita ‘a woman’ are PUA \*u > Cr i and loss of \*-p-: \*hupi > (h)ii-, and similarly for Wc. Numic often changes \*u > i, so Numic \*ḥipi ‘woman’ is cognate also: UACV-2572b Numic \***ḥipi** ‘woman’: I.Num45 \*ḥipi ‘woman’; M88-hi8; KH/M03- hi8: Mn hīpī; TSh ḥippicci(cci); Sh ḥipi; Cm ḥibi. [Cr, Num \*u > i; p > ø in CrC] [NUA: Num, Tb; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]

**82** Syriac ḥz’ / ḥzy ‘see, perceive, notice’; Hebrew ḥzy / ḥzaa ‘see, behold (originally ‘look’ says Baudissin in KB); all Aramaic dialects have this most common word for ‘see’:

UACV-1915 \***husi** / \***h<sup>w</sup>asi** ‘look, peek at’: Kw huzi’a ‘look, peek’ and NP wazipunni ‘peek at’; Kw variants –Kw wazi’a / huzi’a / huziya (< \*huci’a/\*huciya) ‘look, peek’—are interesting on a number of levels. First, why Kw z? (< PUA \*s or \*c?), yet interestingly Kw z matches exactly Semitic z, but neither UA \*s or \*c exactly. Second, Aramaic dialects have both forms ḥz’ / ḥzy, varying in the 3<sup>rd</sup> consonant, and Kw shows both variants in the 3<sup>rd</sup> consonant. Third, while this verb generally came to mean ‘see’, some authorities suggest it originally meant ‘look’, which is its meaning in Kw. [1h2,2z,3’,3y]

**83** Hebrew **srḥ** ‘cry, roar’ > UA \***cayaw** ‘yell’: Tb cayau ‘yell’; Tb(H) caayaaw ‘yell’. [Tb]

**84** Hebrew **šmḥ**, impfv: **yi-šmaḥ** (< \*ya-ḏmaḥ) ‘sprout’ > UA \***icmo** ‘sprout’: CN icmo-liini ‘sprout, grow’.

**85** Hebrew **šlh** ‘rush, v’ > UA \***coloa** ‘flee, run’: CN coloa ‘flee, run swiftly’. [Azt]

Many other examples of pharyngeal ḥ are in part 5, the sorting of Semitic-p from Semitic-kw.

## 2.9 The Semitic Voiced Pharyngeal Fricative ʕ (ʕayn) Reflects Rounding w/o/u

The voiced pharyngeal fricative, the Semitic ʕ (**ʕayn**), emerges as a round vowel or semi-vowel—w/o/u—or as a diphthong—oa. I have heard native speakers of Arabic pronounce the pharyngeal ʕ with enough rounding to sound like w, while the back or root of the tongue is doing its pharyngeal at the pharynx. Also relevant to this sound change is that when the Greek alphabet was being developed from the Phoenician / Hebrew alphabet, the Semitic consonants seemingly nearest the vowel were used for the Greek vowels: glottal stop or ’aleph > a, h or he > e, y > i, and ʕ > o (Goldenberg, 35). So the symbol for the Semitic consonant pharyngeal ʕ (ʕayn) became the Greek vowel o, which suggests there was rounding associated with the ancient Semitic ʕ. Round vowels also share low tonality with the pharyngeal ʕ.

**86** Hebrew šq / šaašeq ‘shout, call out, cry (out)’; Hebrew \*šašaq ‘scream, n’; Hebrew **šəšaaqaa** ‘yelling, screaming, call for help, n’; Arabic šq ‘thunder, bellow (of bull)’; UA again shows a denominalized verb: UACV-605 \***coaka** (< \***cuwaka**) ‘cry’: M67-114 \*coak; B.Tep204a \*suakai ‘to cry, sg’; B.Tep205a \*suaha’ni ‘to cry, pl’; CL.Azt40 \*čooka; CL.Azt304 \*coaka; M88-co10 ‘to cry’; KH/M06-co10: TO šoak; LP šoakī; PYP soakim; NT súakai; ST suak; Wc cua-/cuaka; CN čooka; Pl čuuka; HN čooka ‘weep’; HN čook-ilia ‘weep for s.o.’ Ls čááqa ‘weep, cry’ assimilated the first o to the following a’s (\*coak(a) > \*caaka), while the Aztec languages (CN, Pl, HN) assimilated the 2<sup>nd</sup> V to the 1<sup>st</sup>: \*coaka > cooka. [\*oa > oo/aa; no w in Tep] [NUA: Tak; SUA: Tep, Azt]

### 87 Arabic ʕgz / ʕagaza ‘to age, grow old (of women)’:

Tr **wegaca-** ‘grow old (of women)’. Identical! Not only grow old, but specifically grow old of women in both Arabic and Tarahumara: ʕ > w, g > g, and z > c; initial wV > o occurred the following noun:

UACV-2571 \***okaci** ‘(old) woman’: Sapir; B.Tep319 \*okisi ‘woman, little girl!’; CL.Azt104 \*okic ‘male’; M67-473 \*ok ‘woman’; M88-’o8 ‘woman’ and o14; KH/M06-’o8 and ’o14: TO oks ‘adult female, lady, woman’; LP(B) ’okš; Nv oksi; PYP okasi; NT okiši; ST(B) ’o’okiš ST(W) o’kiš ‘aunt, mos’; Eu hokici ‘muchachita’; Op (’)oki ‘woman’; Cr úuka ‘women’; Wc ’úukáá ‘woman’. Note NT oóki ‘woman’; NT ookímuturui ‘hacerse anciana [become old (of a woman)]’; NT ookiši ‘niña’. CN okič-tli and other Azt forms also belong. Tepiman \*okisi ‘woman’ and CN okič- ‘man’ both < PUA \*okic; and if we consider the Tr form whose 2<sup>nd</sup> vowel (a) matches the PYP, Cr, and Wc forms \*oka ‘woman’, then Tr wegaca- ‘grow old (of women)’ provides the semantic key to these similar forms for men and women, such that \*okac originally meant ‘old woman’ then ‘old one, old man’ in some languages. English ‘guy’ is now changing from masculine to genderless and ‘girl’ went from genderless to feminine (Stewart and Vaillette 2001, 410), so semantic gender changes happen too and cost nothing. I’ve heard men called ‘woman!’ at politically incorrect construction sites where attempts to highlight ineptitude at the male-dominated occupation revealed a lack of sensitivity that surely permeates all construction crews by now, though perhaps not all of UA prehistory aligned with such sensitivities. Note 2<sup>nd</sup> V (a vs. i) in PYP okasi ‘father’s older sister’, Cr, Wc, and NT ookáli ‘father’s older sister’ (-li is non-stem) and Tr wegaca, in three branches, no less, all of which suggest a as the 2<sup>nd</sup> vowel: \*okaci > okVci ‘woman’. Assimilation \*a-i > i-i is natural, especially with an alveopalatal between the two. No chance of \*i-i > a-i for the 5 languages showing a. [\*a-i > i-i in CN, most Tep, Opatan] [SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

### 88 Hebrew ʕaluqaa ‘leech’; Arabic ʕalaq ‘leeches’; Arabic ʕalaqat ‘leech’;

Syriac ʕalqaa, ʕilaq-**taa** ‘leech, anything clammy or sticky, n.f.’ from the root ʕlq ‘stick, adhere’;

UA \*walaka ‘snail’ is a perfect phonological match, and leeches resemble snails in slimy adhering texture:

UACV-2057 \***walaka** ‘snail’: CN wilaka ‘caracol de monte [snail sp.]’; Tr warákoara ‘caracol [snail]’;

Ls muvílaqa ‘snail’; Wr nalágeloci ‘snail’; Tr narákuri ‘snail’. NUA liquids (Ls) and SUA liquids; Ls and Wr add prefixes eliminating initial w-. Wr alágaloci ‘snail’; and Tr narakuri show V transposition. [iddddua] [NUA: Tak; SUA: TrC, Azt]

### 89 Hebrew ʕeʕfaar ‘hair’; Arabic ʕaʕr / ʕaʕar ‘hair’; Arabic ʕaʕira ‘be hairy’:

UACV-1106a \***suwi** ‘body hair’: B.Tep70 \*hogi ‘hide’; M67-211 \*suwi ‘hair’; M88-su18 ‘hair’; KH/M06-su18: LP hog ‘hide’; NT ógi ‘hide’; ST ho ‘fur, leather’; PYP hogi ‘hide, skin, leather’; Tb šuuwi-l ‘pubic hair’;

Hp sowícmi ‘facial hair’; NP musui ‘beard’ (< \*mu-suwi ‘mouth/face hair’); Ls suuwi-l ‘pubic hair, body hair’; TSh suwii ‘pubic hair’. Tepiman \*hogi ‘hide’ matches NUA \*suwi ‘hair’ consonant-wise, whether u or o; I side with \*u, like Miller and Hill. The close but not perfect match in o vs. u may be due to the influence of \*-w-. [NUA u; SUA o] UACV-1106b \***suhi**: Mn suhi ‘body hair’ and Ktn suhi-c ‘genital hair’ show \*suhi.

UACV-1106c \***soho** > \***soo** ‘armpit (hair)’ (in SNum): Kw soo-rokwa ‘armpit’; Ch(L) sohorah ‘post with U-shaped fork, notched post’; SP soor’oaa ‘armpit’; WMU kiyæ-söö-vü (lit: armpit hair); aá-söö-vü ‘underarm, armpit (lit: arm hair), n’. Note that Ch(L) sohorah, Mn suhi ‘body hair’, and Ktn suhi-c ‘genital hair’ all show medial -h-. What of Tb šuu’itt ‘jackrabbit’ and Tb šuuwi-l ‘pubic hair’? [NUA: Tak, Tb, Hp, Num; SUA: Tep]

### 90 Hebrew naʕar ‘boy’:

UACV-1426 \***nowa** ‘son’: M67-389 \*no ‘small’; L.Son177 \*no ‘hijo del padre’; M88-no5; KH/M06-no5: Eu nówat;

Tr no/nowa ‘hijo [son]’, pl: hinowa; Tr nowi ‘have a son’; Wr nolá /noló ‘son’; the two Wr forms align with fossilized vowel suffixes: **naʕar-á** ‘son-her, her son’ and **naʕar-ó** ‘son-his, his son.’ [Sem-p] [SUA: TrC]

### 91 Hebrew naʕaʕra(t) (< \*naʕrat) ‘girl’:

UACV-2586a \***nawic** ‘girl’: M67-389 \*no ‘small’; BH.Cup \*nawí girl; HH.Cup nawii girl; Munro.Cup49 \*nawí/\*nawii-l ‘girl, young woman’; M88-na21; KH.NUA; KH/M03-na21: TSh nawí ‘girl’; Tb ’aanaawiš-t ‘girl’; Cp nawí-l’ ‘young lady’; Cp nawíšma-l ‘girl’; Cp nawíka-t ‘woman’; Ca náwišmal ‘girl’; Ls nawíi-l ‘young woman’; Ls nawí-t-ma-l ‘girl’; Sr naašt ‘girl’; Wr nu’iti /nu’inti ‘little, child’. Some terms suggest a final -C (Tb, Cp, Ca). [r > š adjacent to voiceless C; Fem -aa/-at > -i, as at ‘back’ (7)] [NUA: Tak, Tb, Num]

### 92 Hebrew yaʕar ‘wood, forest, thicket, wooded heights with trees to be felled’ (BDB); Hebrew yaʕar ‘thicket, undergrowth, wood’ (KB); Arabic waʕr ‘rock debris; rugged, roadless terrain’:

UACV-1627a \***yuyi** ‘evergreen sp.’: BH.Cup \*yúyila ‘spruce’; M88-yu16; Fowler83; Munro.Cup29 \*yúyí-la ‘conifer sp.’; KH.NUA; KH/M06-yu16: Cp yúyí-ly ‘fir’; Ca yúyí-ly ‘California juniper’; Ls yúy-la ‘spruce’; Sr yuhaat ‘pine’.

UACV-1627b \***yuwíN** (> \***yuvíN**) ‘ponderosa pine’: KHM/06-yu16: Kw yívi-bí ‘ponderosa or yellow pine’; Ch yuvimpí ‘pine sp.’; CU yívi-pí ‘pine tree’. I agree with M88 and KH/M06 that Tak \*yuy/\*yuwí(l) and SNum \*yuvíN are related, perhaps both deriving from s.th. like \*yuwíN, for \*w would be quite hidden in the environments of Tak, and if so, then w > v happens enough in Num. In addition, both show a final consonant. Ls absolutive suffix -la suggests a final liquid or nasal and Numic suffixes also suggest a final nasal or liquid. [w > v; Kw í < u] [NUA: Tak, Num]

Note three terms—šřr (89), nřr (91), yřr (92)—all have 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> consonants (-řr), and in UA are reflected as -řr > -uwi/-uy, while 90 may be of Sem-p in which final -ar > -a, instead of Sem-kw's -ar > -i.

## 2.10 Hebrew r- > UA \*t- in Initial Position

**Hebrew r- > UA \*t-** in **initial position** (at beginning of word), but in Tr it remained Tr ř. This change is similar to changes in other language families as well. Proto-Mayan initial \*r became t in four Mamean languages: Ixil, Awakateko, Mam, and Teco (Purse and Campbell, 181). Wr(MM) re'te as a reduplication of re'- is similar to r > t, whether initial position or after a stop consonant.

**93** Hebrew **rooš** 'head' (< \*ra'sš); Arabic **ra's-** 'head':

UACV-1157 SNum **\*toCci** 'head': Kw toci-vü; Ch toci; SP tocci-vi; WMU čihčči-vi 'head'; CU tüči-vi. As in Kw pika-roci 'bald', the -rusi of Tr po-rusi 'bald' likely belongs also. Notice \*o > ĩ in CU's unaccented syllable and \*o > i with palatalization of \*t > č in WMU. All show an underlying doubled consonant; otherwise, we would see a lone \*-t- > -r-, or \*-c- > -y-. For \*s > UA \*c: an affricate (c / ts) is a stop (t) plus fricative (s); in UA a glottal stop (thus, a stop) plus s (a fricative) often yields the affricate c: thus \*-š- > -cc-. [NUA: SNum; SUA: TrC]

**94** Hebrew **ršř** 'act wickedly, be guilty':

UACV-101 **\*tasawa** 'be/do bad': Tb tīsī 'be bad'; Tb tīsawiin 'cause s.o. evil'; Tb(H) tīššawiinat 'cause one evil'; Tb(H) tīšwan 'bad'; Tb(H) tīššit 'be bad, ill'; Tr rasewa 'fornicate'; Tr rasewa-me 'permissive person'; SP -rīssu'ai-na'ai 'not heeding, paying no attention'. Tr is the only UA language that retains initial r as r (SP -r- is non-initial). [SUA: TrC; NUA: Tb, Num]

**95** Hebrew **rbb** / **\*rabba** 'shoot (an arrow)':

SP tokwa 'snap (of bow)'; the following 'throw/hit' verbs derive from hurl/hit with or shoot (an arrow):

UACV-2310 **\*tikwa** 'hit by striking or throwing, shoot (arrow)': TSh tīkwan 'hit, strike, vi'; Sh tīkwa 'hit, knock down, vt'; Cm tīkwīrī 'shoot, propel (arrow)'; Cm tahtīkwarī 'throw at, vt'.

UA **\*tīkwī** 'throw (away)': Ls tokwī 'throw away' (Ls o < \*ī, and Cp/Ca e < \*ī); Cp tekwe 'throw away'; Cp tekwe-le 'brush off'; Ca tekwe 'be shaken off/down'. [NUA: CNum, Tak]

**96** Hebrew **rby** / **raabaa** 'shoot (bow and arrow)'; Aramaic(J) rāba' / rābee(y) 'to stretch the bow string, shoot'; Hebrew participle robe 'archer'; the difference between 95 rbb/rabba and 96 rby/rabaa is that the \*-bb- > -kw- in 95, but a single non-dageshed \*-b- > -p/v- in 96:

UACV-2309a **\*tapa** / **\*tapi** 'throw, hit': Mn tabi 'strike'; Mn tabipa 'i strike repeatedly'; NP tabi 'throw'; NP tītabi'hu 'throw, vi'; Kw tavi 'throw, hit'; Kw ta-tavi 'throw, hit, redupl'; Ch tīrāvi 'throw down'; SP tīravi 'throw'; SP tavi 'hit by throwing'; CU tīrāvi 'throw at, vt'; Eu mūtava 'hit'; CN tepiiniaa 'punch, hit, strike, vt'. Below \*tapa > \*tīpa due to stress, and in SUA, consonants harmonize \*tīpa to \*pīpa / papa:

UACV-2309b **\*tīpa** 'throw, hit': Hp tīva 'throw'; Hp tahtīva 'hit with thrown obj'; Hp tata-tīpna 'throw stone';

UACV-2309c **\*pīpa** / **\*papa** 'throw' (< \*tīpa): Yq hibéeba 'hit, throw'; AYq veeva 'hit, strike'; AYq hiveva 'hit, strike it'; My béeba-k 'throw out'; Wr paba-ní 'throw pl objs'; Wr ihpāba-ni 'throw, drop pl objs'; Wr ihpa-ní 'throw, drop sg obj'; Tr pa, apa, iba; Tr ne-pabá 'throw rocks'; NP pibu'a 'throw pl objs'; Ls pīva(n) 'throw stones'; NT vūúpai 'throw'; NT vūúpakaroi 'sling'. This stem is a consonant harmony of \*tīpa/tapa 'throw'. M88-pi22 and KH/M06-pi22 list Tak forms of \*pī'a 'throw, bewitch' (see at bewitch) which may be a different stem or perhaps a sort of reduction of a harmonization: \*tVpa > pīpa > pī'a 'throw' (Sr pii' 'throw sg obj'; Sr pii'vi' 'throw pl objs'). [NUA: Num, Hp, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, Azt]

**97** Hebrew rab, rabbaa (f.) 'great, large, many'; Hebrew rby / raabaa 'be(come) numerous, powerful, grow up'; Syriac rab 'great, loud, large, long, strong': Aramaic rab/rabbaa 'large, great, numerous, senior':

UACV-1386 **\*tīpī** / **\*tapu** 'long, tall': B.Tep248 \*tīvī 'long'; M67- 268 \*tep/\*te 'long'; L.Son294 \*tīpī 'largo'; M88-tī11 'long'; KH/M06-tī11: My teebe 'long, tall'; AYq teeve 'tall'; Yq téebe 'long, tall'; Wr tepihkúma / tehpekúma 'long' (Hebrew qoomaa 'height'); Eu tevéi 'long'; TO cew 'tall, long'; UP čiwī; LP tīv; NT tīvī; NT tīvīidu 'be long, tall'; ST tæv; Wc tévī / téwī 'long'; Cr áh-tyee 'he is tall'. Add Nv tubu/tubutu 'eminent' (u for ĩ); Tbr tepe 'tall, hill' and CN tepee-tl 'hill, mountain, precipice'. Add Ls tavú-lvu-š 'long' whose vowels are more original, in fact, agree with Semitic, while the others did a typical leveling, as a > ĩ, and u > ĩ both occur in UA. Jane Hill (p.c.) adds Ktn tīpuck 'thick (like a board)' as a cognate, with the same 2<sup>nd</sup> V. This may be of Sem-p. [NUA: Tak, Tb; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC]

**98** Hebrew **raqš** ‘beat, stamp, beat out, spread out’; Hebrew **raqiif** ‘extended surface, expanse, firmament, sky’ is the source for UA \*tukuN- in \*tukuN-pa ‘sky’. Consider UA terms for ‘SKY’:

Mn	--	Hp	tokpela	Eu	tewika / tevika
NP	kumiba (pidaggwabaati)	Tb	tuguumba-l	Tbr	tamwa-kali-t / tamokalit
TSh	tukumpana(pin)	Sr	tukuhpt	Yq	téeka
Sh	tukum-pin; tukumpana	Ca	túkva-š / túkwi-š / túki-š	My	téeka/ téweka
Cm	tomo(ba’atí)	Cp	túkva’a-š	Wr	teweká
Kw	tugu-bayaa-vi-dí	Ls	nááxuyni-t; túupa-š	Tr	fé’paní ‘sky, up’
	tugu-na-paya=aka	TO	daam kaačim ‘over-lie lifeless’		se’pótare ‘starry sky’
Ch	tugúmpa	PYp	tevagi	Cr	tahapuá
SP	tukuN	Nv	damakatuma	Wc	múuyúavi
WMU	tuku(m)paya	LP	tívig/tívgi/tívig (B.Tep)		
	tugúppaya	NT	tíváagi		
CU	tugú-payá	ST	tívaa’; hiš dyaam	CN	ilwi-ka-tl

In short, UA terms for ‘sky’ are NUA \*tukuN(-pa); SUA \*tukuN-pa > SUA \*tikopa or \*tVkpá after V syncope. SUA \*tawá-kali ‘sun-house’ mostly in TrC, but in Azt \*ilwi-ka, as well. UACV-2032a \***tukuN-pa** ‘sky, up, above’: Sapir; M67-383 \*tuku ‘sky’; I.Num229 \*tukuN ‘sky’; M88-tu16 ‘sky’; KH.NUA; KH/M06-tu16: NUA \***tukuN-pa(ya)** ‘sky’ (in Num); Tb tuguumba-l; Hp tokpela; Tak \*tuk(u)pa-. The NUA unity is clear and a compound of \*tukuN- + \*-pa ‘sky-in it’. UA \*tukuN- ‘sky’ < Hebrew \***raqiif** ‘sky’, all vowels assimilating to the two rounding influences: the uvular and the pharyngeal. The Tak forms lost the 2<sup>nd</sup> vowel, and in Ls the C also: \*tukuN-pa > tukpa > tupa (Ls). Yet in spite of Luiseño’s loss of -ku-, the \*p remains a stop, due to an underlying -kp- cluster—\*tukupa > \*tukpa > \*tuupa—otherwise, we would expect intervocalic -v- or tuva. Of interest is that Hebrew \***raqiif** literally means ‘beat broad or flat’, used in beating metal flat, but also means sky, as a broad expanse, and the Ca, Cp, Sr, and Ls forms all mean both ‘sky’ and ‘iron/knife’: e.g., Cp tukva’a-š ‘sky, iron’ (see b). Note also Sr tukuhp|t ‘sky’ (dative: Sr tukuhpakya ‘up, above’; ablative: Sr tukuhpanu ‘from above’); Cp túkuči ‘high’; Gb tokúpar; Ls túupaš ‘sky’; Hp tokpela ‘sky’; Mn tógupaa ‘above’; NP; TSh; Sh; Kw; Ch; SP; CU; Tb; Cp; Ca; Ls; Sr; Hp. Sapir lists Gb tuku-pa-r ‘sky’. Other forms show only \*tukuN: TSh tukun ‘straight up, directly above’ (vs. TSh tukumpin/tukun- ‘sky’); Sh tukun ‘straight up, straight down’ (vs. Sh tukum-pin ‘sky’); Cm tukuhputí ‘upward’. Add Ktn tukuhpa-č ‘sky’. Perhaps PYp tuuk ‘uphill’. This may be a Semitic-p term.

UACV-2032b \***tík(V)pa** (< \***tukuCpa**) ‘cutting tool: obsidian, knife, flint, metal’: KH.NUA notes the dual meanings in most Tak languages of both ‘iron/knife’ and ‘sky’: Cp túkva’aš ‘iron, sky’; Ca túkvaš / túkwiš / túkiš ‘sky’; Ca túkvaš / túkwaš / túkiš ‘iron, knife’; Sr tukuhp|t ‘sky, iron’; Ktn tukuhpa-č ‘bead, metal, sky’. Relative to the metal beat flat as tool dimension, note Kw paha-ríka-dí ‘pounded metal’; Cr tehka ‘obsidian’; Tr ríkibara ‘knife’; CN tekpa-tl ‘flint’. Ktn’s vowel could suggest original \*-u-, with which Kw (\*u > i in Num) may agree. In Azt, \*u > CN i, then \*i-a > e-a, and some others may be Aztec loans. Though Yq has another term for ‘sky’, Yq tepohim ‘fierro, hierro [iron]’ is cognate (teph- < \*tíkph < \*tukuNpa) with only the one meaning ‘metal’. While above reflexes for ‘sky’ are in all 8 branches, those with ‘flint, knife, metal’ meanings remain in 5, with loan or dialect recycling. Perhaps Ktn toq-šiva-t ‘flint, flint tip of arrow’ and Ls tiqé-t ‘arrowhead’ as recycled loans. [NUA: Num, Tak; SUA: TrC, CrC, Azt] UACV-2032c \***tíkpa-wa** ‘up, above, sky, on’: B.Tep246 \*tívagi ‘sky, cloud’: SUA \***tí’pa** ‘sky’ < NUA \*tukuN-pa. The non-Numic reductions \*tu(k)pa approximate \***tí’pa** with a slight vowel change (u > i) and k > /ø in a cluster, as the k disappears in Ls also. So Tr fé’pa and similar TrC forms, and the Tep forms \*tívagi (< UA \*típawi < \***tí’pawi**) are cognate: Tr fé’pá; Tr fé’paní ‘sky, up’; Eu téva(n) / téwa ‘(por) arriba’; Cr tahapuá ‘sky’; and Tep \*tívagi (< \*típawi) likely belongs too, from \*tíkpa-wa, and note Hp tokpela (with Hp l < \*w). [k > h in Cr; -kp- > -’p-] [NUA: Num, Tb, Hp, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**99** Hebrew **rakb-uu** ‘they mounted, climbed’ or rokbi-im/-in ‘mount, climb up’ (pl participle); Hebrew **rakb-o** ‘mounted it’; K&B note that “the most prominent meaning of the root rakb in other Semitic languages (Ugaritic and Akkadian) is to mount, to climb up”; Syriac pl participle: **raakb-iin** ‘climbing/ers’; Syriac **rakb-uu-hi** ‘they climbed it’; Syriac rakbaa ‘upper millstone’; Aramaic(J) rikbaa ‘upper millstone’ (what rides on the lower grinding stone); -p- (instead of -kw-) suggests these are of Semitic-p instead of Semitic-kw:

UACV-461a \***tí’pu** ‘climb up’ (< **rakb-uu**): NP tǐbbu’ya ‘climb up’; Wr mo’tepú-na ‘climb up s.th.’.

UACV-461b \***ciCpuhi** ‘climb’ (< **rakb-uu-hi**): Mn cibuhi ‘climb with arms and legs’; NP cibui ‘climb up on s.th.’ These Western Numic forms align perfectly with Semitic rakb-uu-hi/ha ‘climb up on it’ (rakb-uu-ha/hi ‘ride-pl-it), considering initial r > t, then t > c with palatalization before the high-front vowel.

UACV-461c \***tíCpiN** > \***cippiN** ‘climb or come out or onto’ (< **raakb-iin** ‘climbing/ers): Kw čipii- ‘climb’; Ch cipí- ‘come out’; SP cippiN ‘come out, appear, ride’; WMU čihppi-y ‘come out, bubble out (like a spring), climb into (car), onto (horse)’; CU čipí ‘mount, climb on, get on top’. Also related are Ca čipi ‘get covered (hole), vi’ and Ca čipi-n ‘cover, vt (causative)’ which also show geminated \*-pp-, and covering (a hole)

is causing s.th. to get on top of, and a hole getting covered is as a spring bubbling out, its hole being covered by water' or 'surfacing to the top'. SNum -p- instead of -v- means a cluster, and these are a palatalization of the above \*tī'pu > ciCpu. CN tlakpa-k 'above, on top' fits the Semitic f sg verb rakbaa or a mecial vowel loss; CN -ikpa-k 'on or at the head of, above'. [SNum -p- vs. -v-; redtn] UACV-2032d \*tīko / \*tīku: CL.Azt131 \*tāhko 'raise, ascend'; M88-tī45; KH/M06- tī45: ST tī'kov 'alto, arriba'; CN tle'koo 'ascend'; HN tle'ko 'climb, ascend'; Pl tehku; PYp teik 'upriver, above'; Wc téiki 'allá arriba' (Wc i < \*u). These perhaps with loss of -p- (tVkp > tVku), since the three branches it appears in (Azt, CrC, and Tep) all lose -p- readily. Differing PYp teik 'upriver, above' vs. PYp tuuk 'uphill', and differing Nahuatl forms may mean recycled loans. [1r,2k,3b] [NUA: Num, Tak; SUA: Tep, TrC, CrC, Azt]

**100** Hebrew \*ra'oot(-aa) 'seeing (it), to see (it), infinitive/ verbal noun':

UACV-1912 \*ta'uta 'find': TSh utaa 'find'; TSh ta'ota 'find'; Sh ta'uta 'find'; Cm urarī 'find';

Cm to'urarī 'meet someone, find something being looked for'. [\*-t- > -c-, \*uta > uci; \*hu > wV?] [NUA: CNum]

### 3 Pronouns of Uto-Aztecan

In comparative work, pronouns are always an important consideration. Most UA pronouns align with Semitic, and two 3<sup>rd</sup> person singular pronouns align with Egyptian. All basic pronominal slots (sg: 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>; pl: 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>) are well represented in this tie; and a good 1<sup>st</sup> pl (we/us) possibility is last at 1528.

**101** Hebrew -i 'my' is a possessive suffix pronoun, and like other Semitic suffix pronouns came to serve as prefix pronouns in UA, and so Hopi i- 'my' is identical to the Semitic 1<sup>st</sup> sg possessive, with adjusted syntax.

	1 <sup>st</sup> sg: independent pronouns (I)	suffix (object and possessive: me, my)
	Aramaic 'anáá' Hebrew 'anii, 'anoki	-nii, -iy
Ch	nīī	
SP	nī	
WMU	nīī'	
Tb	nik	
Hp	nī	i-
Ca	ne'	
Tr	ne	
TO	a-ni	-ni
CN	ne'	

**102** Hebrew 'anii 'I'; Arabic 'anaa 'I'; Aramaic 'anaa' 'I'; Syriac 'inaa' / naa' 'I':

Uto-Aztecan \*nī' 'I' does not align with Hebrew (except possibly TO aañi), because final -i is Uto-Aztecan's favorite final vowel, so if Hebrew 'anii 'I' were the source, there would not be a change in the final vowel. However, Uto-Aztecan \*nī' 'I' aligns well with Arabic / Aramaic / Syriac 'anáá, and the 2<sup>nd</sup> vowel, long and stressed, was retained. Relaxation of the vowel a > ī is common in the Semitic-to-UA data and loss of an unstressed vowel is also common; thus, 'anáá > nīī is expectable, doing like Syriac 'inaa' / naa' 'I' in its schwa-like behavior of 1<sup>st</sup> vowel (a > i) or complete loss of it (as in UA) for lack of stress:

UACV-2658 \*nī' 'I, me, my': Sapir; B.Tep 295 \*á:nī' / á:nī; BH.Cup \*nā; I.Num 118 \*nī; CL.Azt 89 \*nāh' CL.Azt 247 \*nī'; M88-pr1; KH/M06-pr1: WSh nī (acc. nīī); TSh nī (acc. nīā); Hp nī' (acc. nīy); Sr nī: (acc. nī:i); Ktn nī' (acc. nīy); Ca ne'; Cp nā' (acc. nā'iy); Ls no: (acc. ney); Gb nóma'; TO aañi('i); NT aáni; ST aañi'; Nv ani; Eu nee (pospuesto ne, gen. no, acc. nečt); Tr nihé (Ht); My ne (clítico) (acc. ne:); Wc né; CN ne' / ne'wa(tl), acc v pref: neeč; Pl naha. [NUA: Num, Tak, Hp, Tb; SUA: TrC, Tep, CrC, Azt]

**103** While Hebrew -i is the 1<sup>st</sup> sg suffix possessive pronoun 'my' as in Hopi (101) but changed to a prefix, Hebrew -ni is the object 1<sup>st</sup> sg pronoun 'me' and UA \*-ni 'me' is also in several UA languages and remains a suffix: Tb -ni 'me' (Voegelin 1935a, 37); Ch -ni 'me (1 sg pronoun postfix)' (Press 1979, 48); -ni 'me' (Langacker 1977a, 37); Tr -ni 'I'; Sh -nia 'me' has the -a 'accusative suffix' added to -ni 'me'.

**Second person pronouns**, Semitic \*-ka 'you/your, masc sg' and Semitic \*-ki 'you/your, fem sg' and Hebrew \*-kem 'you/your, pl' (Arabic -kum) parallel UA \*-i 'you, your, sg' and UA \*-im 'you, your, pl' respectively (also Egyptian -k 'you/your). These Semitic pronouns were originally suffixed, so -k was usually in a cluster, thus loss of k, or \*-k > -' or ø in a cluster, as in English: him > -əm when suffixed (feed-im, love-im). Then they changed from suffix to independent and subject pronouns, for even in Hebrew the possessive pronoun can be subject of a verb: ra'ot-ka 'seeing-you (obj)' or 'your seeing (as subj)'. Yet given \*-k > -'/ø, some UA languages show a similar sg and pl distinction as in Semitic/Hebrew.