

# SCRIPTURE CENTRAL

https://scripturecentral.org/

Type: Magazine Article

# The "Isaiah Problem" in the Book of Mormon

Author(s): Sidney B. Sperry Source: Improvement Era, Vol. 42, No. 9 (September 1939) Published by: The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints Page(s): 525-525, 564-569

Abstract: The Book of Mormon quotes twenty-one whole chapters and parts of other chapters of Isaiah. The authorship of Isaiah has been questioned by prominent scholars, and this "higher criticism" brought about the disintegration of belief in the unity of Isaiah. Some have faithfully held to the belief of unity and Sperry gives their reasoning.

# Jhe "Isaiah Problem"

By DR. SIDNEY B. SPERRY Of Brigham Young University

DEALING WITH SOME CLAIMS OF "HIGHER CRITICISM" AND EVIDENCES FOR THE ACCEPTED VIEWS.



THE Book of Mormon quotes twenty-one whole chapters of Isaiah and parts of others. In the light of modern Biblical criticism these quotations raise problems that have a serious bearing on the integrity of the Nephite record as a whole. It is believed, therefore, that a presentation of the literary problem of Isaiah and its bearing on the Book of Mormon will be of general interest.

As Professor A. B. Davidson pointed out many years ago, for nearly twenty-five centuries no one dreamt of doubting that Isaiah, the son of Amoz, who lived in the eighth century B. C., was the author of the whole book that goes under his name. That is to say, the literary unity of Isaiah was not doubted until comparatively recent times. There is no evidence that the ancients who lived a few hundred years after Isaiah's time knew of any problem in connection with the great prophet's writings. The Greek translator of Isaiah whose work is part of the Greek Bible (Septuagint) probably made his translation about 200 B. C., but betrays no sign that the sixty-six chapters of the book are not all Isaiah's work.

Jesus Ben-Sirach (See the Apocrypha, Ecclesiasticus 48:20-25), who wrote about 180 B. C., cited Isaiah as one of the great characters of Hebrew antiquity and quoted enough from the prophecy to indicate that by the beginning of the second century B. C., it had reached the form in which we now know it.

The first doubt concerning Isaiah's unity seems to have been expressed by Ibn Ezra, who lived in the twelfth century A. D., and not again until the eighteenth century, when the critical disintegration of the book began. Koppe in the year 1780 expressed doubt as to the genuineness of chapter 50. In 1789, Doderlein threw suspicion on the Isaianic or-igin of chapters 40-66. Then Justi, and after him Eichhorn, Paulus, and Bertholdt enhanced the suspicion that it was not genuine.

The result attained by these scholars could not help reacting upon the first part of Isaiah. Rosenmuller, who, as Professor Franz Delitzsch points out, is everywhere very much dependent on his predecessors, was the first to deny to Isaiah the prophecy against Babylon in chapters 13:1 to 14:23. In this judgment Justi and Paulus concurred.

At the beginning of the last century Eichhorn denied the genuineness of the prophecy against Tyre in chapter 23, and, together with the great Hebraists, Gesenius and Ewald, denied the Isaianic authorship of chapters 24-27. Eichhorn's excuse for denying the genuineness of the latter four chapters was that they contained plays upon words unworthy of Isaiah: Gesenius found in them an allegorical proclamation of the fall of Babel. Ewald transferred them to the time of Cambyses (c. 525 B. C.).

Gesenius also ascribed chapters 15 and 16 to some unknown prophet. Rosenmuller then quickly disposed of chapters 34 and 35 because of their relationship to chapters 40-66. In 1840 Ewald questioned chapters 12 and 33. It will thus be seen that by the middle of the nineteenth century some thirty-seven or thirtyeight chapters of Isaiah were rejected as being no part of that great prophet's actual writings.

In 1879-80 the famous Leipzig professor, Franz Delitzsch, who for many years had vigorously defended the Isaianic origin of the whole book, yielded to the modern critical position. But he did so "with many hesitations and reserves" in a manner unsatisfactory to the divisionists, 'unbiased, and indeed unaffected, by critical considerations."

SHORTLY after this time (1888-90), Canon S. R. Driver and Dr. George Adam Smith did much to popularize the new critical position in Great Britain.

Since the year 1890 the devisive criticism has become more vigorous and microscopic than ever. The work of such prominent scholars as Cornill, Marti, Stade, Guthe, Hackmann, and Duhm on the continent, and of Cheyne, Gray, and others in Great Britain and America, has still further served to throw doubt in some quarters on the unity of Isaiah. Fifty years ago chapters 40-66 were admitted to be a unity, though not from Isaiah. They were designated as "Deutero-Isaiah" or better, "Second Isaiah," the unique product of some wise but anonymous sage who lived in Babylonia.

But in the hands of the critics the

<sup>1</sup>See translator's statement in the third edition of Delitzsch's Biblical Commentary on the Prophecies of Isaiah, Rev. James Denney, B. D.

524

unity of "Second Isaiah" was also doomed to vanish. Deutero-Isaiah was limited to chapters 40-55 and a new division, "Trito-Isaiah," com-prising chapters 56-66 was invented.

More recently Dr. Charles C. Torrey has written of the partition of Deutero-Isaiah (chapters 40-66) in the following words:

The result has been to make a great change, in successive stages, in the critical view of the Second Isaiah, affecting the extent and form, and therefore of necessity the general estimate, of the prophecy. In the hands of those scholars who now hold the foremost place in the interpretation of Isaiah, the series of chapters beginning with 40 and ending with 66 has become an indescribable chaos. The once great 'Prophet of the Exile' has dwindled to a very small figure, and is all but buried in a mass of jumbled fragments. The valuation of his prophecy has fallen accord-ingly; partly because a brief outburst, with a narrow range of themes, can never make a like impression with a sustained effort covering a variety of subjects; and partly because the same considerations which governed the analysis of the book have necessitated a lower estimate of each of its parts.<sup>2</sup>

After giving a brief history of the disintegration of Isaiah 40-66 in his book, The Second Isaiah, which all interested in the subject should read, Dr. Torrey continues:

The necessity of making the division into "Deutero-Isaiah" (chapters 40-55) and "Trito-Isaiah" (56-66), with all that it involves, would of itself be a sufficiently great misfortune. That it is not possible to take this step without going still farther, the recent history of exegesis has clearly shown. The subsequent dissection of "III Isaiah" is a certainty, while that of the curtailed II Isaiah is not likely to be long delayed. We have here a good ex-ample of that which has happened not a few times, in the history of literary criticism, where scholars have felt obliged to pare down a writing to make it fit a mistaken theory. The paring process, begun with a penknife, is continued with a hatchet, until the book has been chopped into hopeless chunks.\*

Torrey accordingly proceeds to show in a very scholarly way that chapters 34, 35, 40-66 of Isaiah are a unity."

Those scholars who in times past have denied the unity of the book of Isaiah may be divided into two groups, moderates and radicals. For convenience, as well as for its in-herent interest, I present herewith a list of chapters and verses in Isaiah rejected by the moderates as coming from the pen of that prophet. The scholars represented in this group are Driver, G. A. Smith, Skinner, Kirkpatrick, Konig, A. B.

Davidson, and Whitehouse. They throw out 11:10-16; 12:1-6; 13:1 to 14:23; 15:1 to 16:12; 21:1-10; 24-27; 34-35; 36-39; 40-66. Of a total of 66 chapters they believe some 44 were not written by Isaiah. If we look over the results of the radical wing of the critical school we find it more convenient to list the verses they believe were genuinely Isaiah's. The radicals are represented by such men as Drs. Cheyne, Duhm, Hackmann, Guthe, and Marti. They accept 1:2-26, 29-31; 2:6-19; 3:1, 5, 8, 9, 12-17, 24; 4:1; 5:1-14, 17-29; 6:1-13; 7:1 to 8:22; 9:8 to 10:9; 10:13, 14, 27-32; 14:24-32; 17:1-14; 18:1-6; 20:1-6; 22:1-22; 28:1-4, 7-22; 29:1-6, 9, 10, 13-15; 30:1-17; 31:1-4. Only about 262 verses of a total of 1292 in Isaiah are considered to be



the genuine product of Isaiah. The above named scholars were by no means the only ones who helped to dismember Isaiah, but they were probably the most influential.

HAVING now indicated the course and amount of the dissection of Isaiah it will be well to point out some of the reasons why the critics have dismembered the work of the great prophet.

No attempt will be made to be exhaustive because the literature is too vast.

1. A two-fold postulate is made to the effect that a prophet always spoke out of a definite historical situation to the present needs of the people among whom he lived; and that a definite historical situation shall be pointed out for each prophecy.

One scholar has said: "It is a first principle that the historical horizon of a prophet belongs to his

own time. He takes his stand in his own generation and looks onward from it." Put into plain English, this scholar meant that a prophet cannot see beyond the horizon of his own times. With some exceptions the critics who dismember Isaiah openly or tacitly deny the predictive element in prophecy. In the third edition of his commentary mentioned above, Professor Delitzsch says:

The newer criticism bans all who still venture to maintain Isaiah's authorship as devoid of science, and indeed of conscience as well. To it, that authorship is as impossible as any miracle in the domain of nature, history, and spirit. In its eyes only those prophecies find favor, of which a naturalistic explanation can be given. It knows exactly how far a prophet can see, and where he must stand in order to see so far.

According to such views it would be impossible for Isaiah, living about 700 B. C., to speak of Cyrus by name, who lived about 540 B. C. Consequently those sections of Isaiah connected in any way with Cyrus (44:28; 45:1) are dated late, i.e., during or after the Persian king's lifetime. And in general, since chapters 40-66 appear to the critics to have the exile as their standpoint with a change in place, time, and situation, they cannot possibly have come from the pen of Isaiah. Therefore "The Great Unknown" is invented to take his place. As we have already pointed out, even he has subsequently to share his glory with other unknowns as ingenious and plausible theories were invented to explain the Biblical text.

2. The literary style of those chapters held not to be from Isaiah is very different from those which are admitted to be that prophet's.

Professor S. R. Driver explains the significance of this point as follows:

Isaiah shows strongly marked individu-alities of style: he is fond of particular im-ages and phrases, many of which are used by no other writer of the Old Testament. Now, in the chapters which contain evident allusions to the age of Isaiah himself, these expressions occur repeatedly; in the chapters which are without such allusions, and which thus authorize prima facie the inference that they belong to a different age, they are absent, and new images and phrases appear instead. This coincidence cannot be accidental. The subject of chap-ters 40-66 is not so different from that of Isaiah's prophecies (e.g.) against the Assyrians, as to necessitate a new phraseology and rhetorical form: the differences can only be reasonably explained by the supposition of a change of author.<sup>(1)</sup> (Continued on page 564)

<sup>6</sup>Franz Delitzsch. Biblical Commentary on the Prophecies of Isaiah, (translated from third German edition), Vol. 11, p. 62. <sup>6</sup>S. R. Driver, An Introduction to the Literature of the Old Testament. (New Edition, 1923), p. 238.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>C. C. Torrey, The Second Isaiah, pp. 4f. <sup>a</sup>lbid., p. 13. <sup>4</sup>Torrey is one of the greatest scholars of our day. There is food for thought in the fact that his views are so out of harmony with other radical critics who partition "Second" Isaiah.



## -What are your plans for those bright-eyed Children?

Discovering and developing talent is only one of the functions of the McCune School of Music and Art. Whether your child is destined for "stardom" or not, training in one or more of the arts is priceless cultural education.



Send for catalog today-there is no charge. It gives full details about the McCune School-its courses, its faculty, and the varied opportunity it offers for group or individual training in Music, Dramatic Art and Dancing. This School invites the enrollment of students of all ages and degrees of advancement. Training here costs no more than training outside of a conservatory.

Your Catalog is ready for you!



SCHOOL OF MUSIC & ART Salt Lake City

#### THE PROTESTORS OF CHRISTENDOM

(Concluded from page 563)

teachings of the Holy Ghost as found in the divine scriptures and on scholarship for their interpretation. In the scriptures, they chose Paul and yet, not Paul, but Paul as interpreted by St. Augustine.

Had the true Gospel been revealed anew, it probably would not have found acceptance. And it is just as doubtful that Protestantism, as it was, could have survived in Scotland, had it not been for the mixture of patriotic, political, and religious motives.

At the time of Bothwell's assassination, Knox was in England. He returned to Edinburgh and preached the sermon at James VI's coronation. Moray became regent and during his regency, Knox was all-powerful. During the two succeeding regencies, his political, but not his religious, influence was greatly diminished. His work was about over.

In 1570, Knox suffered a slight stroke of apoplexy, but still continued to preach. Enmities induced him to leave Edinburgh in 1571. He returned in 1572 and died November 24 of the same year. He lies buried in the churchyard of St. Giles church.

THE END

### THE "ISAIAH PROBLEM"

(Continued from page 525)

3. The theological ideas of the non-Isaianic portions of the prophecy differ from those of Isaiah. To quote Driver again:

The theological ideas of chapters 40-66 (in so far as they are not of that fundamental kind common to the prophets generally) differ remarkably from those which appear, from chapters 1-39, to be distinctive of Isaiah. Thus, on the nature of God generally, the ideas expressed are much larger and fuller. Isaiah, for instance, depicts the majesty of Jehovah: in chapters 40-66 the prophet emphasizes His infinitude; He is the Creator, the Sustainer of the universe, the Life-Giver, the Author of his-tory (41:4), the First and the Last, the Incomparable One. This is a real differ-ence... Again, the doctrine of the preservation from judgment of a faithful rem-nant is characteristic of Isaiah. It appears nant is characteristic of Isaian. It appears both in his first prophecy and in his last (6:13: 37:31f.); in chapters 40-66, if it is present once or twice by implication (59:20; 65:8f.), it is no *distinctive* element in the author's teaching. . . . The relation of Israel to Jehovah—its choice by Him, its destiny, the purpose of its call—is developed in different terms and under different conceptions from those used by Isaiah....?

4. Some other governing criteria which lead certain critics to reject various portions of Isaiah as subsequent to the prophet's own age are summed up by Dr. G. L. Robinson<sup>a</sup> as follows:

(1) To one critic "the conversion of the heathen" lay quite beyond the horizon of any eighth century prophet and consequently Isaiah 2:2-4 and all similar passages

should be relegated to a subsequent age. (2) To another "the picture of universal peace" in Isaiah 11:1-9 is a symptom of a late date, and therefore the section must be deleted.

(3) To another the thought of universal judgment upon "the whole earth" in chapter 14:26 quite transcends Isaiah's range of thought.
(4) To still another the apocalyptic character of chapters 24-27 represents a

<sup>7</sup>Ibid., p. 242. \*G. L. Robinson, The Book of Isaiah, (1910), pp.

phase of Hebrew thought which prevailed in Israel only after Ezekiel.

(5) Even to those who are considered moderate the poetic character of a passage like chapter 12 and the reference to a return from captivity as in 11:11-16, and the promises and consolations such as are found in chapter 33, are cited as grounds for assigning these and kindred passages to a much later date. Radicals deny in toto the existence of Messianic passages in Isaiah's own prophecies.

Now how do the above "critical" views of the authorship of the book of Isaiah create a problem in connection with the Book of Mormon? This we shall briefly point out.

The Book of Mormon quotes from the following chapters of Isaiah: 2-14 (2 Nephi 12-24); 29 (2 Nephi 27); 48, 49 (1 Nephi 20, 21); 50, 51 (2 Nephi 7, 8); 52 (3 Nephi 20); 53 (Mosiah 14); 54 (3 Nephi 22); 55 (2 Nephi 26:25). If the reader will take the trouble to compare this list with the tables given above which indicate the portions of the book of Isaiah not generally accepted by the critics as being the genuine work of the great eighth century prophet he will at once discover a sharp conflict. The Book of Mormon not only quotes extensively from those chapters (40-55) called "Deutero-Isaiah," but also from portions of "First" Isaiah which are regarded by the critics as late and not the genuine product of the son of Amoz. The Nephite record accepts all of its Isaiah chapters as the authentic words of that great prophet.' If the critics are right the Book of Mormon quotes extensive portions of the sayings of unknown prophets who lived sixty years or more after

•Note especially the words of Christ in 3 Nephi 23:1-3.

#### THE "ISAIAH PROBLEM"

the Nephites were supposed to have left Jerusalem, and mistakenly attributes them to Isaiah. This is the intellectual jam students of the Book of Mormon are supposed to find themselves in and constitutes the main problem of Isaiah in that record. A lesser problem, but one that should be thoughtfully considered, is that of explaining why most of the text of Isaiah in the Nephite scripture is in the language of the Authorized version.<sup>36</sup>

Is it possible for a sincere and honest believer in the Book of Mormon to give a satisfactory answer to the problems centering around its text of Isaiah? The writer believes a satisfactory answer can be given. The Germans have a very convenient word that I may use at this point. It is Weltanschauung which means conception of the world or world-philosophy. If one's Weltanschauung rigidly embraces the ideas that there are no men who under divine inspiration can foretell the future, and that purely naturalistic explanations of phenomena in this world are the only acceptable ones—then my attempts to solve the problem of Isaiah in the Book of Mormon will not be wholly satisfactory. If (and this is stating the conditions positively), on the other hand, one's Weltanschauung is such that he may concede the possibility of "the supernatural reality of prophecy," and acknowledges the possibility of the Book of Mormon being a true record translated by divine aid—then I can give a rea-sonable answer to the Isaiah problem as stated above. On this basis let us proceed to the task.

In the first part of this article I confined myself to the problems of tracing the history of the critical dismemberment of Isaiah and of indicating the degree thereof. No attempt was made to present at length the views of scholars who opposed the critical dissection of the book of Isaiah. Now the first part of my answer to the Isaiah problem in the Book of Mormon is this: Many great scholars through the years have held that the book of Isaiah is a unity, and have shown that the "critical" hypothesis is far from being proved. Unless criticism can prove beyond reasonable doubt that Isaiah is not a unity, Latter-day Saints are justified in assuming that the traditional views held by

<sup>10</sup>This problem will be considered in another article to appear in *The Improvement Era*. the Book of Mormon with respect to its authorship are on the whole correct.

The Isaianic authorship of the book has been maintained by Hengstenberg, Havernick, Stier, Keil, Lohr, Himpel, Strachey, W. Ur-wick, Nagelsbach, Barnes, Douglas, W. H. Green, W. H. Cobb, F. Delitzsch (who half-heartedly departed from his original convictions late in life), Vos, Thirtle, W. Kaye, M. Rosenthal, Lias, R. R. Ottley, G. L. Robinson, and Mrs. L. D. Jeffreys. Klostermann and Bredenkamp took a middle course in the criticism. These scholars held that Isaiah 40-66 arose in exilic times, but consisted in a considerable measure of ancient prophecies of Isaiah, which were reproduced by an author of Isaiah's school living in the exilian period, because the events of the day were bringing the fulfillment of the prophecies.

The above named scholars form impressive opposition to the devisive criticism of Isaiah. Many other names might be added to the list.

It may be of interest to quote two or three representative conclusions of these scholars in relation to the problem, before proceeding to specify in detail reasons why their school of thought holds to the unity of Isaiah.

Dr. W. H. Green, one of the finest Hebraists America ever produced, observed that a noted critic, Dr. H. E. Ryle, had concluded chapters 1-39 of Isaiah were compiled a short time before the period of Nehemiah (B. C. 444), but that chapters 40-66, though not of so late a date as some of the preceding chapters, could only have been added a century and a half later, "when the recollection of the authorship of this section having been forgotten, it could, not unnaturally, be appended to the writings of Isaiah." Dr. Green in answer said:

So the critics first dissect Isaiah, and then find it impossible to get the disjointed pieces together again without putting the collection of the canon at a date at variance with historical testimony and every reliable indication bearing on the subject. It is, indeed, a puzzling question which the critics have to solve, and to which no satisfactory answer can be given, how it came to pass that this prince of prophets, living, as we are told, near the end of the exile, whose predictions of the coming deliverance and the rebuilding of Jerusalem and the temple were so strikingly fulfilled, and who must have stirred the souls of the exiles to an unwonted degree with his own glowing enthusiasm, could be so utterly unknown, and not only his name, but his very existence so entirely forgotten, that his prophecies were attributed to another, who lived at a different period of time, and under entirely different circumstances. But if the exigencies of the critical hypothesis demand a long interval to account for this complete oblivion, does it follow that the recognition of the divine authority of this magnificent prophecy was delayed?<sup>11</sup>

Dr. R. R. Ottley, the famous English biblical critic, in the notes of his valuable work, Isaiah According (Continued on page 566)

<sup>11</sup>William H. Green, General Introduction to the Old Testament. (The Canon), p. 104.



#### Train for LEADERSHIP

Your career requires the finest training obtain-able. Woodbury, the largest business training institution in America, offers scientifically di-rected training which enables graduates to start at higher salaries and win quicker advancement.

Courses in Business Administration, Account-ing. Secretarial Science, Foreign Trade, Journal-ism. Merchandising, Movie and Radio Secretari-al, with Bachelor Degree in two years. Shorter Commercial Courses; also Commercial Art. Cos-tume Design, Interior Decoration, Home Eco-nomics

Athletics, Social Activities, Deseret Club for Mormon students. Supervised student homes. More than 1,500 positions filled annually. Part-time work provided. Free catalog. State course desired.

#### WOODBURY COLLEGE 1023 WILSHIRE BLVD. LOS ANGELES CALIF.

#### THE ADVERTISERS and Where You Will Find Their Messages

Beneficial Life Ins. CoBack Cover
Brigham Young University 571
Brigham Young University
Cantinental Oil Co. 516
Corn Products
Cortina Academy570 Deseret Book Co540
Deseret Dook Co
Deseret Gymnasium
Deseret News Press
Deseret News Press
Ghirardelli Chocolate Co
Globe Grain & Milling Co
Intermountain Beet Sugar In-
dustry
International Harvester
Inside Front Cover
Interstate Brick
KSLInside Back Cover
Internountain       Beet       Sugar       Sugar         International       Harvester       Sugar       Sugar         Internating       Harvester       Sugar
L. D. S. Business College
Levi Strauss
McCune School of Music
Mountain Fuel Supply
Mountain Fuel Supply
Ogden School of Beauty Culture570
Provo School of Beauty Culture566
Quish School of Beauty Culture562 Royal Bread
Royal Bread
Shell Oil Co
Union Pacific Stages
Utah Home Fire Insurance Co573
Utah Bengraving Co
Utah Oil Co
Utah Poultry Prod. Cooperative
Association
Utah Power & Light Co
Washburn Condon
Western Royal Vacuum
Woodbury College
-

#### ATTEND **Provo School of Beauty Culture** The School Where the Promise is Kept

11 East 1st No., Provo, Utah A Life's income for a meager investment

mmmm	Fill in coupon for furth	er
Name	information of	or
Address	Fill in coupon for furth information o Phone No.	2
-08	······	

### THE "ISAIAH PROBLEM"

(Continued from page 565) to the Septuagint, briefly reviews the critical position in reference to Isaiah and then continues:

These views are probably held, in one form or another, by a majority of the au-thorities and students of the present day. It is perhaps therefore proper for the writer of these notes to state that he is not convinced by them, but holds that, sub-stantially, the whole of the "Book of Isaiah" is the work of that prophet, and that the work of the modern "critics," while of immense value as a contribution to knowledge of details, is a failure as to the broad issues involved.19

Dr. George L. Robinson, one of the greatest of living American Hebraists, sums up his attitude toward the critical problem as follows:

More and more the writer is persuaded that broad facts must decide the unity or collective character of Isaiah's book. Verbal exegesis may do more harm than good. Greater regard must be paid to the structure of the book, which is no mere anthology, or collection of independent discourses by different writers belonging to different periods. There is an obvious, though it may be to some extent an editorial, unity to Isaiah's prophecies. To regard them as a heterogeneous mass of miscellaneous oracles which were written at widely separated times and under varied circumstances from Isaiah's times down to the Maccabean age, and revised and freely interpolated throughout the intervening centuries, is to lose sight of the great historic realities and perspective of the prophet.

Not in the spirit of an antiquated apologist, therefore, but rather as a contribu-tion to historical criticism, the writer feels constrained to say, that to him chapter 2:2-4 is the key to Isaiah's horizon; that chapters 40-66 are in germ wrapped up in the vision and commission of the prophet's inaugural call (chapter 6); and that the whole problem of how much or how little Isaiah wrote would become immensely simplified if critics would only divest themselves of a mass of unwarranted presuppositions and arbitrary restrictions which fix hard and fast what each century

can think and say. Accordingly, the writer's attitude is that of those who, while welcoming all ascertained results of investigation, decline to accept any mere conjecture or theories as final conclusions. And while he acknowledges his very great debt to critics of all latitudes, he nevertheless believes that the book of Isaiah, practically as we have it, may have been, and probably was, all written by Isaiah, the son of Amoz, in the later half of the eighth century B. C. To what extent the editors revised and supplemented the prophet's discourses can never be definitely determined.18

<sup>12</sup>See Vol. II, p. 297. <sup>13</sup>Op. cit., pp. 62f.



ET us now proceed to indicate in greater detail the reasons why so many scholars have held that the book as we have it is essentially Isaiah's.

1. The Jewish and the Christian Churches (apart from the gently-hinted doubts of Ibn Ezra in the twelfth century A.D.) have until the last one hundred and fifty years. unhesitatingly assigned the whole to Isaiah the son of Amoz. Such a strong and persistent tradition cannot honestly be set aside without positive and compelling historical evidence. Such is missing. Subjec-tive analysis of the text of Isaiah, the results of which are disputed, cannot be accounted sufficient grounds upon which to put aside the ancient tradition.

2. The Septuagint and other ancient versions of scripture give absolutely no hint of the multiple authorship of Isaiah. It is a most surprising fact that the Septuagint (Greek) translation of Isaiah which was made from the Hebrew about 200 B. C. does not give us the name of a single one of the ten or more "prophets" that are assumed by various critics to have contributed to Isaiah's book. "Singular . . . that history should have lost all knowledge of this Isaianic series of prophets. Singular . . . that it should be these prophets whose names had the common fortune of being forgotten, although in point of time they all stood nearer to the collector than the old prophet who was their model, and after whom they had formed themselves."14

3. Christ and His Apostles assigned the book to Isaiah. The New Testament quotes from thirty-two chapters of Isaiah. Many of these chapters are quoted from several times. Fourteen chapters from 1-35 are represented and eighteen chap-ters from 40-66. The distribution is excellent. There is not the slightest hint anywhere in the New Testament that any other prophet than Isaiah the son of Amoz was the author of the quoted passages. In fact the emphasis is the other way. Note that Christ quotes Isaiah 61:1, 2 and expressly states that it was fulfilled at that time (See Luke 4:18-21). Luke (a capable historian) definitely states that Christ was given "the book of the prophet Isaiah" (Luke 4:17) from which he quoted the fulfilled prophecy. Note also that the

<sup>14</sup>Franz Delitzsch, op, cit., Vol. I. p. 13. (Italics ours.)

learned and critical Paul who quotes Isaiah so often and from so many different places (see especially Romans) knows of no equivalent to "Deutero" or "Trito" Isaiah.

In fact, it seems passing strange that three minds so penetrating and spiritual as Christ's, Paul's, and Luke's could not see just a little of what modern critics see-even presuming the latter were correct. Most critics will concede the great powers of mind and heart of Christ, Paul, and Luke even when denying them any supernatural powers of inspiration or revelation. Nor are these three the only ones who quote Isaiah in the New Testament.

4. Jesus Ben-Sirach, about 180 B. C., when recounting the history of Hezekiah's day, recorded that Isaiah the prophet

Saw by an excellent spirit what should come to pass at the last; And he comforted them that mourned

in Zion.

He showed the things that should be

to the end of time, And the hidden things or ever they came. (Ecclesiasticus 48:24, 25, Revised Version.)

Ben-Sirach thus also reveals that in ancient times Isaiah was regarded

#### THE "ISAIAH PROBLEM"

as the sole author and that he prophesied concerning the future.

5. Josephus expressly points out that Cyrus the king was especially impressed by a prophecy of Isaiah to the effect that God had chosen him (Cyrus) to send Israel back to their own land and to build the temple. There then follows a rather extended description of how Cyrus helped the Jews to go to their native land and begin the reconstruction of their temple. (Antiquities, XI, 1, 2.) Josephus also makes the following interesting statement con-cerning Isaiah:

Now as to this prophet, he was by the confession of all a divine and wonderful man in speaking truth; and out of the as-surance that he had never written what was false, he wrote down all his prophecies, and left them behind him in books, that their accomplishment might be judged of from the events by posterity. (An-tiquities X, 2.)

Even after discounting Josephus for his weaknesses as a historian it is hard to believe that he would deliberately manufacture letters purporting to be from Cyrus that confirm Isaiah's prophecies made nearly two hundred years before the Persian king's time. We can be certain, however, that Jews in the days of Josephus believed the book of Isaiah to be a unity and that the prophet could see into the future.

THUS we see that all of the exter-

nal evidence is in favor of the unity of the book of Isaiah. Now let us proceed to a consideration of some of the internal evidence.

The following striking characteristics common to the entire book plead strongly for its unity:<sup>16</sup>

6. The very marked detachment of Isaiah's personality from his prophecies. Only once (chapter 6) does Isaiah relate a vision and tell the circumstances under which his prophecy was delivered. Contrast this usage with such books as Jeremiah, Ezekiel and Daniel.

Every chapter in the book yes, nearly every verse-is characterized by the majestic imagery in which the writer revels, the poetic elevation of style and the love of nature. Even the limited Isaiah of the critics has no monopoly on these (Continued on page 568)

# YOU'LL BE MONEY AHEAD



Proper lubrication will eliminate 80% of your repair bills, according to automotive authorities. And it's easy to have proper lubrication. Just drive in at your neighborhood Pep 88-Vico station at regular intervals for Specialized Lubrication.

Experienced service men will lubricate your car exactly as the manufacturer wants it done. You'll be money ahead by getting Specialized Lubrication-not just a grease job. Why not drive in tomorrow?

STATIONS EVERYWHERE IN UTAH & IDAHO

# SPECIALIZED L UBRICATION

Not an old-time slap-dash grease job, but the scientifically correct lubricant properly applied to each friction point. The Chek-Chart system prevents any slip-up.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>In the discussion from points 6-13 I have freely adopted much from an article by Rev. J. J. Lias. "The Unity of Isaiah." *Journal of the Transactions of the Victoria Institute*, Vol. XLVIII, pp. 65-84.

# THE WAY TO MANY HEARTS

By LaMont Toronto, E. A. Hutchinson, and Kenneth C. Pendleton, formerly of the New Zealand Mission

A MONG the people—Maori and European alike—who dwell under the rays of the Southern Cross, Latter-day Saint missionaries have become familiar figures. To continue to make friends and converts, new methods are constantly being sought to supplement tracting and the holding of meetings. It was in Wellington, New Zealand,

It was in Wellington, New Zealand, a city of some 150,000 Europeans, that the mission first opened a public reading room. With comfortable accommo-



BOOK OF MORMON EXHIBIT AND READING ROOM.

dations, free literature, and a complete library of Church works, the plan, in conjunction with a Book of Mormon exhibit, brought gratifying results. Indoors, projection machines and lectures have been the friend-making medium that athletic demonstrations and instruction have been outdoors.

In the Wairarapa district we chanced upon what we think is an entirely new type of proselyting. When we were invited to a friend's house for dinner we suggested that he permit us to prepare the meal. We promised him and his wife that we would cook them some real Yankee dishes. We prepared a raw vegetable salad, a delicious meat loaf, and some steamed vegetables. The meal was thoroughly enjoyed.

The novelty of the scheme impressed our hosts so much that they told their friends about it. We received other invitations to prepare meals, and soon our method worked like a chain letter.

Our American meals were spiced heavily with the message we traveled 8,000 miles to give these people. We found it an excellent means to get into the home: with a good meal over, the family, happy and friendly, were willing to sit around the cheery parlor fire and discuss the Gospel.

#### THE "ISAIAH PROBLEM"

(Continued from page 567) qualities. The style of the book throughout is unique in literature.

8. The tendency to repetition. Note the use of "woe," in chapter 5, as an instance. It reappears in chapter 45 which is ascribed to "Second" Isaiah. In "Second" Isaiah repetition often assumes such forms as "Awake, awake," "Cast ye up," for the sake of emphasis.

9. The tendency of the prophet to quote his own words. This habit is not quite peculiar to Isaiah but much more common with him than any other prophet. Note Isaiah 11:6-9 and compare 65:25.

10. The abundant use of paronomasia or the repetition of the same sound. It is necessary to resort to the Hebrew text of course to illustrate such usage. Paronomasia is occasionally found in other books, but in Isaiah it stamps the whole book as one written by a man who has the ear as well as the mind and heart of a poet.

11. Expressions peculiar to Isaiah. The most remarkable of these is "the Holy One of Israel." Dr. G. L. Robinson states:

The divine name, "the Holy One of Israel," which Isaiah ascribes to Jehovah, and which occurs twenty-five times in his book and only six times elsewhere in the entire Old Testament, interlocks inseparably all the various portions with one another and stamps them with the personal imprimatur of him who saw the vision of the Majestic God seated upon his throne high and lifted up, and heard the angelic choirs singing, "Holy, holy, holy, is Jehovah of hosts: the whole earth is full of his glory" (chapter 6). The presence of this divine name in all the different portions of the book is of more value in identifying Isaiah as the author of these prophecies than as though his name had been inscribed at the beginning of every chapter. . . <sup>16</sup>

Note other expressions as "Lord of Hosts," "Mighty God of Jacob" or "Israel," "The Mouth of the Lord hath spoken it," "Set up an ensign," etc.

sign," etc. 12. The tendency to break suddenly into song. This feature is common to all the portions of the book and altogether peculiar to Isaiah. Note Isaiah 5:1-7; 12:1-6; 26:1-4; 35:1-10; 36:10-20; 44:23; 48:20; 51:11; 54:1, etc.

13. The piling up of ideas or imagery is a peculiarly Isaianic feature—the building up of ideas, sometimes of a similar and sometimes of a contrary nature, with a most powerful effect. The reader

14Op. cit., p. 14.

may consult Isaiah 2:10-17; 24:2; 65:13-14 for instances from undisputed Isaiah, from the "fragments," and from "Second" Isaiah respectively. Shorter passages of a similar kind occur very frequently throughout Isaiah. No writer but Isaiah supplies us with such examples.

It is seen that the later portions of Isaiah are by no means devoid of literary characteristics found in other parts of the book. Even so, this writer is willing to admit a somewhat different style in chapters 40-66 as contrasted with most of what precedes. There is a note of triumph in these chapters not so apparent in other sections of the book. There is a brighter and more comforting tone throughout. But all of the supposed differences do not necessarily argue a different author. A writer may vary his style from one time to another as he writes under different conditions and on different subjects.

In chapters 40-66 Isaiah deals with the great theme of Israel's re-demption. This accounts for the difference in style (or should we say mood) between them and most other chapters in the book. With clear prophetic eye, Isaiah saw the return of the Jews from the Babylonian captivity, the atoning sacrifice of the Christ, the gathering of scattered Israel in the latter days, the even-tual glorification of Zion and the Millennial era—yes, and even "new heavens and a new earth." No wonder the poet-prophet strikes a triumphant note and comforts his people with his wondrous message. Only those who approach his book with a strongly naturalistic bias can fail to see the reason for the poet's change in style (or mood). 14. In "Second" Isaiah and in

14. In "Second" Isaiah and in "Trito" Isaiah there is no real difference in the prophet's theology as compared with other chapters what we find is rather an extension or more complete expression of his theology. What Professor Driver and other writers of his class fail to see is that a writer may not exhaust his theological ideas on a given theme in thirty-nine chapters —some may be left for chapters forty to sixty-six. Authors usually claim the privilege of emphasizing different doctrines and topics as occasion requires.

The internal evidence, therefore, is strongly in favor of the unity of Isaiah. Certain it is that the critics' arguments for the division of Isaiah

## The "Isaiah Problem"

are far from being compelling and conclusive. Lacking that, their case must be labeled "not proved." The most serious problem in connection with the text of Isaiah in the Book of Mormon therefore disappears.

Author's Note: The writer is aware of the fact that he has not exhaustively met every phase of every argument that might be advanced or that has already been advanced in defense of the critical division of Isaiah. In a relatively short article that could not be expected. I am appending some references for the benefit of critical readers who want to follow the pros and cons of the question in still further detail.

#### REFERENCES

(Some of these works, especially those upholding the unity of Isaiah, were not immediately available for more detailed reference.)

IN FAVOR OF THE UNITY OF ISAIAH

1. Delitsch, Franz. Biblical Commen-tary on the Prophecies of Isaiah. Authorized translation from the third German edition by the Rev. James Denney, B. D. In two volumes. Funk and Wagnalls. New

Wo volumes. Funk and Wagnalis. New York. No date.
2. Douglas, Principal G. C. M. Isaiah One and His Book One. 1895.
3. Jeffreys, Mrs. L. D. The Unity of Isaiah. Deighton Bell & Company, Cambridge, This is one of the most useful books on the subject. The linguistic evidence is

on the subject. The linguistic evidence is carefully treated and Dr. Driver's position examined in some detail. 4. Kay, Dr. W. In "Introduction" to Isaiah in the Speaker's Commentary. 5. Lias, Rev. J. J. "The Unity of Isaiah," Journal of the Transactions of the Victoria Institute, Vol. XLVIII, pages 65-84. Lon-don, 1916. The author gives a fuller treat-ment of this subject in Bibliotheca Sacra, October. 1915. October, 1915.

6. Margoliouth, Prof. D. S. Lines of Defense of the Biblical Revelation, chap-

ter ili. 1900. 7. Naegelsbach, C. W. E. "Isaiah" in Lange's Commentary. Charles Scribner's Sons. New York.

8. Robinson, George L. The Book of Isaiah. Young Men's Christian Association Press. New York, 1910. 9. Urwick, W. The Servant of the

Lord. 1877.

IN FAVOR OF THE CRITICAL DIVISION of Isaiah

Driver, S. R. An Introduction to the Literature of the Old Testament. New Edition, pages 204-246. Charles Scribner's Sons. New York, 1923.
 Driver, S. R. Isaiah in the "Men of the Bible Series," pages 185-212, where the arguments on both sides are carefully stated.
 Grav George B. A Critical Introduced

arguments on both sides are carefully stated.
3. Gray, George B. A Critical Introduction to the Old Testament, pages 178-188.
Charles Scribner's Sons. New York, 1913.
4. McFadyen, John E. Introduction to the Old Testament, New Edition, Revised, pages 127-162. Hodder & Stoughton Limited. London, 1932.
5. Oesterley, W. O. E., and Robinson, T. H. An Introduction to the Books of the

5. Oesterley, W. O. E., and Robinson, T. H. An Introduction to the Books of the Old Testament, pages 233-287. The Mac-millan Company. New York, 1934. 6. Torrey, Charles C. The Second Isaiah. Charles Scribner's Sons. New York, 1928. This book while "critical" holds vigorously to the unity of Isaiah 34. 35 40-66 35. 40-66.

#### MELVIN J. BALLARD

(Continued from page 523)

wonder that the Presiding Bishopric, in its message of condolence, was moved to say, "Few men have touched as many lives as he," and to continue:

When there was an opportunity to serve, he was always ready and willing to go, not only to appear in public, but to the homes of the sick and distressed. His faith and blessings have brought comfort and courage to many of our Father's children. His presentation of the Gospel and his testimony are largely responsible for bringing many converts into the Church. He was a great missionary. His sermons have inspired the youth of the Church, and their appreciation of his ministry is best attested by the manner in which they have attended meetings in which he was sched-uled to speak. When we analyze our own feelings, we realize how much we are indebted to him and his ministry for inspiration, testimony, and encouragement in our

work, and how much we will miss him. We love him. We honor him. We appreciate his contribution to the establish-ment of Latter-day Zion, and we feel to say, God bless his memory and comfort the hearts of his family and friends.

Presiding Bishop LeGrand Richards, For THE PRESIDING BISHOPRIC.

Melvin J. Ballard learned from his cradle the lessons of service and sacrifice. As a Deacon he chopped wood for the widows, cared for the meetinghouse, and performed errands of mercy and service for the president of the Relief Society, his mother, and for the bishop of the ward, his father. All the rugged

virtues that make for sound living

were the inheritance he received from his parents. His father, Henry Ballard, for almost forty years bishop of the Logan Second Ward, came from London in 1852 to become one of the outstanding pioneers of Cache Valley. His mother, Margaret McNiel Ballard, of Scotch descent, drove a cow across the plains at thirteen years of age and for a large part of the way carried her five-year-old brother, James, on her back.

All his life Melvin J. Ballard was a cheerful worker. No idle day can be charged against him. His boyhood days were spent on the farm. He attended the public schools of Logan and the Brigham Young College. With a degree in business administration, he was graduated in 1894, but became a member of the faculty at the institution as music instructor. Much of his early education and music training was obtained through his own efforts.

It was his musical ability that brought him his first missionary experience, for within three weeks after his marriage to Martha A. Jones on June 17, 1896, he was ordained a Seventy and sent to labor with Elders B. H. Roberts and George D. Pyper, who were holding meetings in the larger cities in the Elder Roberts eastern states. preached, and Elder Pyper sang; (Continued on page 570)

