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In the cultures of the ancient Near East, existence was thought to be dependent upon an identifying word, that word being a "name." The name of someone (or something) was perceived not as a mere abstraction, but as a real entity, "the audible and spoken image of the person, which was taken to be his spiritual essence."1 According to Philo of Alexandria, the name "is like a shadow which accompanies the body."2 Similarly, Origen viewed the name as the designation of the individual's essence.3 The phenomenon and religious significance of naming, as well as the practices of renaming and of giving secret or hidden names, are richly attested in the extant sources among the peoples of the ancient Near East, particularly in Israel and Egypt; but they are also found in chronologically and geographically contiguous societies in the ancient world.

Naming and Existence

The intimate connection between naming and existence can be inferred from its role in many ancient Near Eastern creation texts, where the creation of each element of the cosmos was dependent upon the gods naming those things which were to be created. The Enuma Elish, the Babylonian epic of creation, describes the precreation period as a time when "the heaven had not been named, Firm ground below had not been called by name, . . . when no gods what-
ever had been brought into being. Uncalled by name, their destinies undetermined." According to Alexandre Piankoff, "The god Re creates the heavens and its host merely by pronouncing some words whose sound alone evokes the names of things—and these things then appear at his bidding. . . . As its name is pronounced, so the thing comes into being. For the name is a reality, the thing itself." The primeval condition is described in the Amon Ritual of Berlin Papyrus 3055 as the time when "the name of anything was not yet named." In the text of the Shabako Stone, an early Egyptian religious document, the notion of creation is expressed by the phrase $r\ m\ t\ n\ i\ h\ n\ b\ h,$ "it is the mouth which pronounced the name of everything." If naming creates something, it also distinguishes that thing, since the name is that feature which reflects individuality.

When a man received his name, he was considered complete, since he was deemed to be "constituted of body and soul and name." The name of a child or adult (or of an animal or thing) could be given to him either by man or by God. The Hebrew scriptures provide numerous examples of naming by God and man. Naming by man is first recorded in Genesis 2:19: "And out of the ground the Lord God formed every beast of the field, and every fowl of the air; and brought them unto Adam to see what he would call them: and whatsoever Adam called every living creature, that was the name thereof." This act, according to Alan Jenkins, "demonstrates [man's] preeminence in the created order by virtue of the power entrusted to him by his creator." It is interesting to note that in the Old Testament the responsibility for naming a child frequently seems to have devolved upon women as well as men. Thus, Leah and Rachel gave names to Jacob's sons (Genesis 29:32-35; 30:6, 8, 11, 13, 18, 20, 24; 35:18). Similarly, the judges Samson and Samuel were named by their mothers (Judges
13:24; 1 Samuel 1:20), and Ruth and Boaz's son was named by Naomi's neighbor women (Ruth 4:17).

Names given by God or angels from God are often mentioned in the Bible and in noncanonical Jewish writings. In 1 Enoch, it is reported of Noah that he received his name in a blessing from God given through Enoch. Abraham's concubine Hagar was told by an angel that the name of her son would be Ishmael (Genesis 16:11). Perhaps the most memorable angelic namings are those of John the Baptist and Jesus, where the angel Gabriel announced both their forthcoming births as well as their names (Luke 1:11-13, 19, 26-31).

In Egypt, too, there are reports of naming by divine beings. The Coffin Texts report that Shu, the son of the Egyptian creator god, is given authority and intellectual capacity to "go around the circle of total being, giving everything its name." Further, when King Amenhotep III was being conceived, the god Amon appeared to the queen, who said: "How gracious (hotep) is thy heart towards me." To this Amon replied, "Amon is gracious (Amenhotep) is the name of the child, for these are the words which came forth from thy mouth." A similar event occurred at the birth of Queen Hatshepsut. Her mother addressed Amon: "How magnificent (shepses) it is to see thy front (hat): Thou hast embraced my majesty with thy light." The god then named the child in the following fashion: "Hatshepsut-whom-Amon-embraced (Henemet-Amon) is the name of this daughter of thine, which I put into thy womb, [according to thy words] which came out of thy mouth." The Berlin Westcar Papyrus tells of Re sending three goddesses to the birth of the first three kings of the fifth dynasty in order to assist in the birth and naming of the children.

That the existence and essence of an individual is dependent upon his name is a theme particularly evident in the Egyptian Pyramid Texts, reflected in the Egyptians'
almost obsessive concern with the perpetual existence of the name. For example, Osiris the king is referred to as one who “will not die, nor will his name perish.”16 It is further recorded in the Pyramid Texts, “O King, succeed to your throne at the head of living, for it is the dread of you which is on their hearts. May your name live upon earth, may your name endure upon earth, for you shall not perish, nor shall you be destroyed for ever and ever.”17 On the other hand, to blot out a man’s name was in effect to destroy the man himself (cf. Mosiah 5:11-12). Historical evidence for this belief can be seen in the acts of Thutmosis III against the name and memory of his mother and co-regent, Hatshepsut. Following her death, Thutmosis defaced her monuments, removed her name from royal inscriptions, effaced her portraits, and otherwise did all in his power to destroy her name and to remove her memory from the historical recollection of the Egyptians.18 The grave religious implications of Thutmosis’ actions can be fully appreciated only when it is borne in mind that “a nameless being could not be introduced to the gods, and as no created thing exists without a name, the man who had no name was in a worse position before the divine powers than the feeblest inanimate object.”19

**Renaming**

In many parts of the ancient world there are accounts of men receiving new names in place of their former designations. This act of renaming often occurred at a time of transition in the life of the one renamed and frequently carried with it special privileges and honors for the one receiving the new name. The person who gave the new name was usually in a position of authority and could exercise power and dominion over the individual named.20 Dependence was sometimes, but not invariably, implied in renaming, since, as Otto Eissfeldt has noted, “Renaming can also indicate a kind of adoption into the household
which is equivalent to conferring on them a high honor."  

This "adoption" would carry with it the idea of responsibility as well as inheritance.

Abram and his name change is usually the first to come to mind in the Old Testament. The act of changing Abram's name to Abraham begins with the introduction of God: "I am the Almighty God. . . . Neither shall thy name any more be called Abram, but thy name shall be Abraham; for a father of many nations have I made thee" (Genesis 17:1, 5). The new name given to Abraham was intimately connected with the covenant he received from God.  

On the other hand, the name change of Sarai differs from that of Abraham. It is recorded that "God said unto Abraham, As for Sarai thy wife, thou shalt not call her name Sarai, but Sarah shall her name be" (Genesis 17:15). God changed the name of Sarah, but Abraham was given the responsibility to initiate that change. The new Sarah was thus ready to receive God's blessing: "I will bless her, and she shall be a mother of nations; kings of people shall be of her" (Genesis 17:16).

Joseph of Egypt was renamed Zaphnath-paaneah by the Pharaoh (Genesis 41:45), who placed him in a position of authority and gave him special privileges as well as freedoms. Eliakim and Mattaniah were respectively renamed Jehoiakim by Pharaoh Neco and Zedekiah by the king of Babylon (2 Kings 23:34, 24:17). Daniel was renamed Belteshazzar, and the three heroes of the fiery furnace—Shadrach, Meshach, and Abednego—were first known by their Hebrew names Hananiah, Mishael, and Azariah (Daniel 1:6-7). There are numerous instances in Greek mythology of youths being renamed, often by their tutors: Achilles' son Pyrrhos was renamed Neoptolemus by his tutor Phoenix; Jason received his name from his tutor Cheiron; Achilles himself was named Ligyron before he was renamed Achilles by Cheiron; Paris's name was Alexan-
dros when he was a neaniskos ("young man"); and Heracles was originally called Alcaeus, Alcides, or Neilos.\textsuperscript{23}

The renaming of Jacob is unique because of the series of questions and answers that accompanied it:

And he [the angel] said, Let me go, for the day breaketh. And he [Jacob] said, I will not let thee go, except thou bless me. And he said unto him, What is thy name? And he said, Jacob. And he said, Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but Israel: for as a prince hast thou power with God and with men, and hast prevailed (Genesis 32:26-28).

Jacob then asked the name of the man with whom he had wrestled (or embraced, as this may also be understood), to which the man replied, "Wherefore is it that thou dost ask after my name? And he blessed him there" (Genesis 32:29). Jacob then named the place where these things occurred "Peniel" (face of God) for he had entered into the presence of God and lived (Genesis 32:30). A similar account in the Hebrew 3 Enoch involves the question/answer motif, as well as the names given to Enoch "by God."\textsuperscript{24} In this work, Rabbi Ishmael begins by questioning Enoch about his name: "What is your name?" Enoch responds by listing the seventy names he had received from God. Enoch is then asked why he had these names, to which he responds that he has received these names because "he [the Holy One] assigned me to be a prince and ruler among the angels."\textsuperscript{25}

In Genesis 35:9-15, God appears to Jacob in order to reaffirm what had already taken place: "And God appeared unto Jacob again, . . . and blessed him. And God said unto him, Thy name is Jacob: thy name shall not be called any more Jacob, but Israel shall be thy name: and he called his name Israel. And God said unto him, I am God Almighty" (Genesis 35:9-11). As was also the case with Abraham, God is introduced as the one who has the authority to change
the name and to bestow the blessing: "Be fruitful and multiply; a nation and a company of nations shall be of thee, and kings shall come out of thy loins; And the land which I gave Abraham and Isaac, to thee I will give it, and to thy seed after thee will I give the land" (Genesis 35:11-12). Jacob then sets up a stone, anoints it, and names the place of this sacred event Bethel (the House of God).26

The name change or the receipt of a new name marks a turning point in the life of the initiate: he is "re-created," so to speak, and becomes a new man. S. G. F. Brandon, in describing the rite of baptism, notes that "emergence from the waters of death, the re-clothing, and the reception of a new name . . . represented the resurrection of Christ . . . so that the baptised person should also be raised to a new and glorious life."27 This name change was the "determination to cut one's self off from one's worldly identification and one's former way of life."28

New names were frequently conferred upon individuals at the time of their enthronement. The king of Egypt assumed at the time of his accession a titulary that consisted of five "great names" (rn wr).29 Similarly, Sumerian, Hittite, and Iranian kings were all given new names at the time of their coronation or accession.30 In the Book of Mormon, all kings were to be called "Nephi," giving honor both to the original Nephi as well as to the new king (Jacob 1:11). One of the best known public examples of a name change in the modern Western world is that which occurs at the time the Roman Catholic pontiff takes office. The pope not only receives a new name but also, as part of the enthronement ceremony, dons the new robes of his office and calling.31 The receipt of a throne name is, of course, a regular feature of modern as well as ancient and medieval, royal accession rites.32
New and Hidden Names

The giving or possessing of a second name, to be kept hidden from others, is widely attested in antiquity among both mortals and divinities (and, occasionally, among objects, too). One Egyptian designation for a god was Amn-rn-f, "He whose name is hidden."\textsuperscript{33}

The myth of Re and Isis, attested from a twentieth-dynasty papyrus, shows the importance of the "hidden name of the god" as well as the ignorance, even among the other gods, of the "true" name of Re. According to this myth, Isis desired to learn the hidden name of Re so that she might gain some of the power which he possessed. Since Re had become old, he frequently drooled. Isis took some of Re's saliva which had fallen to the ground, kneaded it with earth, and formed a serpent in the shape of a pear. Subsequently, the serpent bit him, causing him to cry out in pain as the "flame of life" began to depart from him. Isis offered to alleviate Re's suffering through magic (an art at which she was particularly adept) if he would agree to reveal to her his secret name. At first he attempted to satisfy her by a repetition of names which were already well known to her. Isis refused to provide him any relief until Re, tormented and in the depths of despair, revealed his secret name to her.\textsuperscript{34}

In Vedic India, besides the name for general use (vyavaharikam nama) that was given on the tenth day, a secret name (guhyam nama) known only to the parents and, occasionally, to a trusted tutor, was given the child immediately after his birth.\textsuperscript{35} In Greek magical texts, spirits and demons are often described as having "hidden names" (krupta onomata, onomata aphthengkta).\textsuperscript{36}

Intertestamental literature and other writings of ancient Judaism and early Christianity refer to the hidden name. In 1 Enoch, the fallen angel Kasb'{el (Beqa) requests that Michael "disclose to him his secret name so that he would
memorize this secret name of his so that he would call it up in an oath in order that they shall tremble before it and the oath. He (then) revealed these to the children of the people (and) all the hidden things and this power of this oath, for it is power and strength itself.” In rabbinic literature, the four-letter, twelve-letter, forty-two-letter, and seventy-two-letter “Hidden Name[s] of God” (סֵם הַמֶּגֶדֶשֶׁס) is frequently mentioned, although the tetragrammaton (YHWH) was not spoken, not because it was unknown, but for pious reasons. The motif of the hidden name of God is also evident in Christian scripture, particularly in the book of Revelation. In Revelation 2:17, those who overcome are promised that they will be given “the hidden manna” as well as a “white stone, and in the stone a new name written, which no man knoweth saving he that receiveth it” (cf. Revelation 19:12; Isaiah 62:2; 65:15). This is of particular interest since, as Hugh Nibley has pointed out, according to the Book of Breathings, the “Osirian dead” receive the name “stone of Righteousness,” and since “the use of such a tangible seal as a means of identification and certification in the course of the mysteries is infrequently met with.” The faithful are further promised: “Him that overcometh will I make a pillar in the temple of my God, and he shall go no more out: and I will write upon him the name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, which is new Jerusalem, which cometh down out of heaven from my God: and I will write upon him my new name” (Revelation 3:12). Christ himself has a new name, as can be seen from this description: “His eyes were as a flame of fire, and on his head were many crowns; and he had a name written, that no man knew, but he himself” (Revelation 19:12). The notion of the secret name was also found among the Gnostics. The Marcosians, a Gnostic sect attacked by Irenaeus, taught that Christ was clothed in a “hidden name” (τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ ἀποκρυμμένου).
The hidden name of the gods was often known only to the gods and was frequently given by them. In the view of the ancient Egyptian, when the deceased was allowed to enter into the presence of the gods, he then became like them. Once he was there, he was given his “True Name,” which “no man knoweth.” This is made clear in the Pyramid Texts: “The king is a master of wisdom, Whose mother knows not his name.”\(^43\) In the first book of the *Iliad*, reference is made to Aegaeon, “whom the gods call Briareus, but whom men call Aegaeon.”\(^44\) According to the prayer of Joseph, Jacob is the earthly name of the patriarch, while his heavenly name is Israel.\(^45\) The Gnostic *Pistis Sophia* contains both the heavenly names and the earthly names of the five archons: Orimuth is Kronos, Munichu-naphor is Ares, Tarpetanuph is Hermes, Chosi is Aphrodite, and Chonbal is Zeus.\(^46\) Similarly, in the Mandaean texts, Bhaq-Ziwa, who wishes a world to be created, sends down Ptahil-Uthra to nonexistence, and at the same time “he put names on him, which are hidden and preserved on their place. He called him ‘Gabriel the Apostle.’”\(^47\)

The idea that the name was used as a key to permit the initiate to enter into the true fold of God is also attested in the Egyptian sources. Entrance in the “Hall of the Two Truths” in order to see the face of “every God” was dependent on a knowledge of names and formulas. This is clearly evident from the 125th chapter of the *Book of the Dead* in which, after the deceased approaches the Hall of the Two Truths, he is told, “Let him come.” Thereafter he is asked, “Who art thou?” The deceased replies with his name and then answers other questions the gatekeepers ask. Upon answering the questions correctly, the guards say, “Come, enter this gate of the Broad Hall of the Two Truths – thou knowest us.” The initiate is then stopped by the jambs of the gate, and afterwards the beams, the rails, and the floor. All make the same demand, “We will not let thee enter past us . . . unless thou tellest our name.”
The doorkeeper of the Broad Hall of the Two Truths refuses to announce the name of the deceased unless he tells his name. After correctly giving the doorkeeper his name, the initiate petitions him to announce the initiate to the "Interpreter of the Two Lands." Again, questions are posed: "And who is the Interpreter of the Two Lands?" "It is Thoth." "Why didst thou come?" inquires the doorkeeper. "I have come to report." "What is thy condition?" To this query the deceased replies, "I am pure from sin, from quarrels in their day. I am not involved in them." "To whom shall I announce thee?" asks the doorkeeper. "To him whose Hall is of fire." "Who is he?" asks the guard. "It is Osiris," replies the initiate. "Proceed then, thou art announced."48

The proper announcement of names in order to enter the halls of the gods is a motif much older than the Book of the Dead. A similar situation is mentioned in the Pyramid Texts: "The kings speaks: Hail to you, doorkeeper of Horus at the gate of Osiris! Tell my name here to Horus, for I have come."49 The dead king must prove his divine origin and authority. The gatekeepers are to act as mediators between the deceased and the gods. Further, one finds in the Pyramid Texts: "They summon me. And they bring to me these four who pass by, wearers of the side-lock, Who stand by their staffs on the eastern side of the sky, That they may tell my name, that of the good one, to Re, And that they may announce my name, that of the good one to Nhbw-kw, I am vindicated."50

The Ethiopian Bandiet of Justification has been described as an "Ethiopian Book of the Dead."51 This "tractacte," purportedly the "prayer of our Lady Mary,"52 contains information that will enable the deceased to enter the kingdom of heaven. Chief among the topics discussed in this work is an account of Mary who, believing that Christ "possessed a secret name, by the use of which He created the world and governed it"53—like Isis in the story of Re and
Isis—asks Christ to reveal to her his hidden name. At first
he demurs but, after Mary’s continued importuning, he
finally reveals his numerous hidden names, as well as other
information necessary for the deceased’s successful ascent
to heaven.

In the so-called “Liturgy of Mithras,” the ascent from
one degree to the next is effected only through a proper
knowledge of the name of the objects and beings which
are encountered:

After saying this, you will see the doors thrown
open, and seven virgins coming from deep within
dressed in Linen garments, and with the faces of
asps. They are called the Fates of heaven, and
wield golden wands. When you see them, greet them
in this manner:
“Hail, O seven Fates of heaven, O noble and good
virgins, O sacred ones and companions of MINIMIK-  
RROPHOR, O most holy guardians of the four pillars: 
Hail to you, the first, CHREPSENTHAES! 
Hail to you, the second, MENESCHEES! 
Hail to you, the third, MECHRAN! 
Hail to you, the fourth, ARARMACHES! 
Hail to you, the fifth, ECHOMMIE! 
Hail to you, the sixth, TICHNONDAES! 
Hail to you, the seventh, EROYROMBRIES!  

The danger inherent in revealing the hidden name lay
in the potential power over the individual which knowl-
edge of the name might give. “The deceased king,” writes
Erich Hornung, “shares with no one the knowledge of his
name,” thereby eluding the terrifying supernatural powers
of evil that can only operate if the name and nature of the
object is known. As we have noted above, Isis is at pains
to learn the secret name of Re, since she believes thereby
to be able to “usurp the power of Ra.” The first-century
Rabbi Hillel said, “He . . . who spreads his name loses his
name . . . and who makes use of the . . . secret name of
God vanishes, and who knows [something new] will be asked for [it] in the world to come."  

In Greek magical papyri, the invocation and supplication of divinities and demons are frequently coupled with threats of exposing their true name: "O mightiest Typhon, hear me, N[ame]; and do x for me: for I tell your true names." Rome (i.e., the city's patron deity) was possessed of two names, one of which (given by Joannes Lydus as Flora) was preserved by the priests but was never spoken aloud, not even during the most solemn rites. For instance, when Valerius Soranus, a plebian tribune and distinguished grammarian of the first century B.C., betrayed the secret name, he was immediately put to death. According to Pliny, before the Romans besieged a town, their priests would address the tutelary deity of the town, promising it that it would be shown greater honor there than it had been in its own town. Fearful that priests of hostile cities would attempt to do the same thing at Rome, it was given a secret name.

Conclusion

When contrasted with their general devaluation in the modern West, the significance of naming and the wide attestation of renaming and the giving of hidden names in the ancient world is astonishing. Not merely identification, but existence itself, was widely thought to be contingent upon the name. If naming constituted the giving of an identity, the giving of a new name gave a new identity to the recipient, and was frequently associated with an important transition in the recipient's life. As has been shown, in many of the cultures of antiquity the knowledge of certain secrets, including secret names, was requisite to entering into everlasting bliss and to learning his own "True Name," a notion succinctly reflected in the Wisdom of Sirach: "He will find gladness and a crown of rejoicing
and will acquire an everlasting name” (Wisdom of Sirach 15:6).
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