

## BOOK OF MORMON CENTRAL

http://bookofmormoncentral.org/

Type: Report

### Notes on the Sons of Horus

Author(s): John Gee

Published: Provo, UT; FARMS, 1991



John Gee

# Notes on the Sons of Horus

© 1991 Foundation for Ancient Research and Mormon Studies  Fair Use Copying Notice: These pages may be reproduced and used, without alteration,				
addition, or deletion, for any nonpecuniary or nonpublishing purpose without permission.				

### Notes on the Sons of Horus

### Table of Contents

note	1
"These are the Generations"	3
The Canopic Connection	6
"The Soft Sway of Undefinable Omnipotence	
O'er our Strong Male-Stuff"	14
"After the Manner of the Egyptians"	17
"Come From the Four Winds"	29
"As the Heavens are Higher than the Earth"	35
"What, Are There Masques?"	40
Table of Abbreviations	58
Guide to the Figures	60
Figures	61

# Notes on the Sons of Horus<sup>1</sup> John Gee

Note: The first Facsimile of the Book of Abraham has stirred much debate centered the identification of various figures and what the Egyptologists think they can and cannot be. For the time being we will leave aside the larger questions which this picture raises and concentrate on the four idolatrous gods under the lion couch. Egyptologists know these gods as the Sons of Horus or canopic jars (though the two are not necessarily identical as we shall see). Herein we will take the Egyptological point of view; he who hath eyes to see can make his own connections.

The standard interpretation of the Sons of Horus is that they are the guardians of the canopic jars, each with its own unique name, animal head, internal organ, cardinal direction,<sup>2</sup> and protective goddess. The beginning of this interpretation was first suggested by Richard Pococke in 1737<sup>3</sup>—nearly a century before Champollion—and has been repeated with minor accretions ever since.<sup>4</sup> At the turn of this century, Petrie first served notice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This piece is an abridgment and adaptation of a paper the author submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Master's degree in Near Eastern Studies at the University of Cailfornia at Berkeley. Many thanks to Michael Lyon for his assistance in preparing the illustrations for this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This was first established in Henri Brugsch, Die Geographie des alten Aegyptens (Leipzig, 1857), 30-37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See William H. Peck, "The Constant Lure," Ancient Egypt: Discovering its Splendors, 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Sources are easy to find so here we limit ourselves to the most important and available sources, listed in chronological order: E. A. Wallis Budge, The Gods of the Egyptians of Studies in Egyptian Mythology, 2 vols. (London: Methuen, 1904, reprinted New York: Dover, 1969), 1:210, 456, 491-92, 2:106, 144; E. A. Wallis Budge, Osiris and the Egyptian Resurrection, 2 vols. (New York: Dover, 1973, reprint of Medici Society, 1911), 2:26, 167; Gaston Maspero, Manual of Egyptian Archaeology and Guide to the Study of Antiquities in Egypt (New York: Putnam's Sons, 1914), 191; Penelope Fox, Tutankhamun's Treasure (London: Oxford University

that the pre-dynastic cultures lacked the canopic jars, by while Reisner later discovered the earliest canopic container --widely differing from customary patterns--showing that the symbols did not remain stagnant throughout history, as is usually assumed. If the evidence of the intervening decade of fortnights necessitates a reevaluation of the Sons of Horus, such work will have to wait for a better mind to sort out the pieces; the present work is concerned principally with odds and ends. Better minds having been occupied with more important matters, your humble servant remains to "tell"

Press, 1951), 27; RÄRG, 315-16; Barbara Mertz, Temples, Tombs and Hieroglyphs: The Story of Egyptology (New York: Coward-McCann, 1964), 102; Brigitte Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargtexten, ySeries 4, vol. 7 of GO (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1975), 150; Matthieu Heerma von Voss, "Horuskinder," in LdA, 3:52-53; Dietrich Wildung and Günther Grimm, Götter-Pharaonen (Mainz: von Zabern, 1978), #58; AAE, 221; Manfred Lurker, The Gods and Symbols of Ancient Egypt, tr. Barbara Cummings (London: Thames and Hudson, 1980), 37-38; Erik Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt: The One and the Many, tr. John Baines (Ithaca, New York: University Press, 1982), Cornell 283; Rosalie Α. David, "Introduction," Evidence Embalmed: Modern Medicine and the Mummies of Ancient Egypt, ed. A. Rosalie David and Eddie Tapp (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1984), 10; I. E. S. Edwards, The Pyramids of Egypt, 3rd. ed. (Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin, 1985), 116, 125, 133, 169, 176-77, 187-88, 206-7, 213, 227-230; Raymond O. Faulkner, The Ancient Egyptian Book of the Dead, ed. Carol Andrews, rev. ed. (London: British Museum Publications, 1985), 190-92; Janine Bourriau, Pharaohs and Mortals: Egyptian Art in the Middle Kingdom (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988), 95-97; UAK 15-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>W. M. Flinders Petrie and J. E. Quibell, *Naqada and Ballas* (London: Quaritch, 1896), 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Hermann Junker, *Giza I* (Wien and Leipzig: Hölder-Picher-Tempsky, 1934-38), 49, 51-54, 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Actually, there have been other works by better minds which have discussed the evidence of the canopic jars into the same general schema, notably: *GEA*; Hugh Nibley, "Facsimile No. 1, by the Figures," *IE* (April 1969): 82-87; (Sept. 1969): 85-89; Edward Brovarski, *Canopic Jars*, vol. 1 of *Museum of Fine Arts Boston*, *CAA* 

forth the ancient tale, so far as I know it."

### "These are the Generations . . . "

Of the Sons of Horus--named Imsety, Hapy, Duamutef and Qebehsenuef<sup>8</sup>--the Book of the Dead relates, "their father is Horus; their mother is Isis." Speculation on the meanings of their names has been both plentiful and creative. The names might have meant something like "the one on the throne" (Im-st), "the hidden one" (Hp33), 10 "Praiser of his mother" (Dw3-mwt-f), 11 and "Purifier of his brethren" (Qbh-snw-f), 12 but these are just guesses. Whatever the names might have originally meant, the Egyptians themselves provided abundant folk etymologies and puns off the names of the Sons of Horus: Imseti--thought to be

<sup>(</sup>Mainz am Rhein: von Zabern, 1978), 1-2; UAK 15-17; Peter Munro, "Bemerkungen zum Gestaltwandel und Ursprung der Horuskinder," Fs. Mus. Berlin, 195-204, the last came to my attention too late to contribute to this paper.

 $<sup>^{8}\</sup>mathrm{PT}$  541 (§1333); 580 (§1548). The order given is the standard one variations in order are known but infrequent, the order is discussed in GEA 219.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>BD 112:6; cf. CT 157; Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 150.

<sup>10</sup>On the spelling throughout the Pyramid Texts which in Sethe's copy looks like Hp33, see GEA 221. For this spelling in the CT; see Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargtexten, 151. This spelling reappears in the Saite period on the canopic jar of Naseherenre, CCG 4150 in RAC, 107; and CCG 4180 (Dynasty 22-25?), in RAC, 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>GEA 224.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Ibid., 224.

originally feminine<sup>13</sup>--has been connected with "to present,"<sup>14</sup>
"to incenerate,"<sup>15</sup> "liver,"<sup>16</sup> imst "dill or anise".<sup>17</sup> Hapi has been connected with "to run,"<sup>18</sup> "oar,"<sup>19</sup> "to hide,"<sup>20</sup>
"drake."<sup>21</sup> Duamutef has been connected with "to praise,"<sup>22</sup> "to bring dawn".<sup>23</sup> Qebhsenuef has been connected with "to refresh,"<sup>24</sup> and "to purify,"<sup>25</sup> "to travel."<sup>26</sup>

If we have not power to ask their names, we also know but little about their cults. Hornung has observed that specific cult centers came later than the universal gods;<sup>27</sup> the sons of Horus are assigned specific cult centers after the Middle Kingdom:

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$ Imseti was "ursprünglich eine weibliche Gottheit, meist männlich dargesellt;" UAK 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>ms, CT 520.

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>s3m$ , CIT XIX.

<sup>16</sup>mist; texts cited in AEO, 2:245\*-49\*.

<sup>17</sup>GEA 222.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>hpw, CT 521.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>hpt, CT 400.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>h3p, CIT XIX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>hip, GEA 221-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>dw3, CT 522.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>sdw3, CIT XIX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>sqbb, CT 523.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>qbh, CT 523.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>sqd, CIT XIX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt, 224.

Imsety and Hapy are associated with the cult center at Pe, <sup>28</sup> while Duamutef and Qebhsenuef are associated with the cult center of Hieraconpolis (Nekhen). <sup>29</sup> All of the Sons of Horus are associated with a mystery cult<sup>30</sup> which sacrifices pigs but prohibits those eating pig to participate. <sup>31</sup> Initiations took place on the festival of the new moon when offerings were made to various dieties including the Sons of Horus. <sup>32</sup> In Ptolemaic times, <sup>33</sup> the sons of Horus are associated with Medinet Habu<sup>34</sup> and the mystery cults there. <sup>35</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>For the MK, see CT 157; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151; for later, see BD 112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>For the MK: CT 158; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151. For later: BD 113.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>The use of the term "mystery cult" here accords with Walter Burkert, *Ancient Mystery Cults* (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1987); for definitions see pp. 1-11. How many of these there were in Egypt is beyond the scope of this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>CT 157-58. For further identification with offering rituals see Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151. The cult receives further mention in BD 99, 112-13. Other requirements of this cult mentioned in BD 151 are prohibition from goats, fish or women; cf. Manetho fragment 81, in Aelian, De Natura Animalium X.16; Herodotus, Historiae II.42.2. This sort of phenomenon is the subject of Walter Burkert, Homo Necans, 83-134.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>BD 141-42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Fayza Mohammed Hussein Haikal, Two Hieratic Funerary Papyri of Nesmin, 2 vols., vols. 14-15 of BA (Bruxelles: FERE, 1970-72), 1:16-17.

<sup>34</sup> Papyrus BM 10209, 4:13-14, in Haikal, Two Hieratic Funerary Papyri of Nesmin, 1:40; 2:21, 43 n. 147.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>The mysteries are brought up in Papyrus BM 10209, 4:11-15, in Haikal, Two Hieratic Funerary Papyri of Nesmin, 1:40; 2:21. More connections may be seen in BD 161. The purpose is deification: di n=k irt-Hr ip tw msw-Hr ntr; Papyrus BM 10209, 5:1-3; and the Amenirtas inscription, pp. 173-77, in Haikal, Two Hieratic Funerary

The Sons of Horus almost always act as a unit, <sup>36</sup> solo appearences being uncommon. <sup>37</sup> At least by the late period the Sons of Horus might be abbreviated by only mentioning the first one or two of them. <sup>38</sup> The Sons of Horus have no mythology.

### The Canopic Connection

The early modern Egyptologists, lighting by lamps the way to the dusty dead, could hardly help but notice the ubiquitous canopic jars and figurines both depicted on and found in the tombs, "the overwhelmingly funerary character of most of the finds" being because nine-tenths of all Egyptian excavations have been conducted in the tombs.<sup>39</sup> Identifying the jars with the Greek legends of

Papyri of Nesmin, 1:40; 2:21; cf. Walter Burkert, Ancient Mystery Cults, 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>GEA 218, 224.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>The vast damage of the one Pyramid Text where Duamutef only is mentioned justifies regarding this passage as a mere fluke of preservation; PT 691 (§2135), in Raymond O. Faulkner, The Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts, supplement (Oxford: Clarendon, 1969), 56. In the Coffin Texts, Imsety is associated with a certain Gishgish, the keeper of the gate (CT 405; cf. GEA 224; but Faulkner notes that "'Imsety' is a corruption of imhty." Faulkner, Ancient Egyptian Coffin Texts, 2:56.), while Hapy alone is associated with the oars of the ferry boat (CT 400), probably from association with hpt, "oar".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>Petosiris 80:52, 60, in Gustav Lefebvre, *Le Tombeau de Petosiris*, 3 vols. (Caire: IFAO, 1923-24), 2:52. In the twenty-first Dynasty "there is a lack of concern about using the full group of four. Two or three only may be used." So Beatrice L. Goff, *Symbols of Ancient Egypt in the Late Period: The Twenty-first Dynasty*, vol. 13 of *Religion and Society* (The Hague: Mouton, 1979), 114.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>Alan H. Gardiner, Egypt of the Pharaohs (Oxford :Oxford University Press, 1961), 53.

Canopus, the helmsman of Menelaeus, <sup>40</sup> with whom an Egyptian woman fell in love, <sup>41</sup> and whom fiery flying serpents <sup>42</sup> bit so that he died <sup>43</sup> and was buried on an island in the delta named after him <sup>44</sup> where Egyptians are said to have deified him worshipping him in the image of a large clay jar bearing his shape, <sup>45</sup> early Egyptologists gave the epithet "canopic" to those jars which often contained the internal organs of the deceased, a name which has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>kybernêtos, gubernator; Conon Mythographus, Fabulae 8; Aelius Aristides, Orationes 36.108-9; Epiphanius, Anacorat. 106; Rufinus, HE XI.26; Isidorus Hispalensis, Etymologiae XIV.3 (28); Nicander, Theriaca 312-13.

<sup>41</sup>Conon Mythographus, Fabulae 8; Epiphanius, Anacorat. 106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>Such as were supposed to be common in Egypt at the time, Numbers 21:4-9; Herodotus, *Historiae* II.75; Rufinus, *HE* XI.26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>Rufinus, HE XI.26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>Conon Mythographus, Fabulae 8; Isidorus Hispalensis, Etymologiae XIV.3(28); Dionysius "Periegetes," Periegesis 11-13; Tacitus, Annales II.60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>Rufinus, HE XI.26; Georgius Cedrenus, Historiarum Compendium I.570.9-16. In later times he had a large and flourishing cult at Alexandria (Epiphanius, Anacorat. 106; Rufinus, HE XI.26), as well as his own temple (Flavius Philostratus, Vitae Sophistarum II.7; Dionysius "Periegetes," Periegesis 11-13; Eunapius, Sophistarum 41), until the cult was destroyed by Theophilus (Rufinus, HE IX.26; Eunapius, Vitae Sophistarum 41-42). fairness to the earlier Greeks, it must be said that the earliest informant to this particular myth (Conon mythographus) was born at the turn of the era, long after Menelaus and the Pharaohs (see "Conon," in N. G. L. Hammond and H. H. Scullard, The Oxford Classical Dictionary, 2nd. ed. [Oxford: Clarendon, 1970], 278). That the Canopus legend refers to the worship of a pottery jar containing Nile water in the city Canopus; see Hanna Philipp, Mira et Magica (Mainz am Rhein: von Zabern, 1986), 34, 123; Tafel 3 (Preussischer Kulturbesitz Berlin-Charlottenburg 9790, 2nd cnetury A.D.), 54 (ibid 9791, Mediaeval).

stuck ever since. The ancient Egyptians called them qbw n wt "mummy jars". 47

The earliest known canopic container—a square box with four compartments rather than a jar—Reisner discovered in the tomb of Hetepheres, a Fourth Dyanasty queen buried at Giza. Athough before the Fourth Dynasty the Egyptians made no attempt to remove the viscera from the deceased during mummification, during that dynasty they began to eviscerate the dead placing packets containing the internal organs in square niches carved into the corners of the tombs. Junker speculated that this first attempt to preserve the viscerial organs in natron in their own separate box resulted from insufficient time to hew the tomb out

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>This is generally considered a misnomer; see David, "Introduction", 10; Lurker, Gods and Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 38; A General Introductory Guide to the Egyptian Collections in the British Museum (London: Trustees of the British Museum, 1964), 147; AAE, 221.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>Jac. J. Janssen, Commodity Prices from the Ramessid Period (Leiden: Brill, 1975), 243. The mention in Papyrus Berlin 10485 of buying 3 canopic jars (ibid., and n. 180) is significant because complete sets of four canopic jars are the exception rather than the rule. Only 2 attestations of this term are known to this author. The translation of wt as mummy will be discussed later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>Junker, Giza II, 49, 125; Edwards, The Pyramids of Egypt, 125; GEA 216.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup>Alan Jefferey Spencer, Death in Ancient Egypt (Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penguin, 1982), 35-36; Barbara Adams, Predynastic Egypt, 64; GEA 216.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup>Junker, Giza II 49, 51-54, 125; Barbara Adams, Egyptian Mummies, vol. 1 of SE (Aylesbury: Shire Publications, 1984), 13-14.

completely.  $^{51}$  This innovation soon became standard practice as use of canopic jars began to be widespread during the Fifth Dynasty.  $^{52}$ 

Nevertheless, though the canopic jar became widely used in the funerary equipment, no evidence exists to connect it with the Sons of Horus until the First Intermediate Period. The earliest canopic jars, when not boxes, were plain, though uniquely shaped, <sup>53</sup> jars unadorned by either dedicatory inscriptions, or stoppers in the shapes of either men or animals (Figure 1), <sup>54</sup> though Pepi II's canopic jars have his own name and titles on them. <sup>55</sup> During the First Intermediate Period, in a tomb dating from Dynasties 9-10, the first canopic jars with human-headed lids and with inscriptions commending the care of each separate organ to a different one of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup>Junker, *Giza II*, 49, 51-54, 125. Michael Lyon points out to me that this can be argued only if we assume that work on the tomb did not begin until the death of the individual which is very unlikely.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>GEA 217, without any inscription, ibid., 224; Spencer, Death in Ancient Egypt, 36-37; Eva Martin-Pardey, Eingeweidegefäße, Lieferung 5 of Pelizaeus-Museum Hildesheim, CAA (Mainz am Rhein: von Zabern, 1980), nos. 2154 (IVth Dynasty), 14-15, 2414-15, 2418-21, 2433-36, 2633, 2635-38, 2690, 3103-6, 3208-11, 3229-34, 3244-46, 3248-51, 3848/1-4, 3926-27; Junker, Giza II, 125; Giza III, 8, 150, 214, Tafeln IXb, XIIa. The practice of evisceration was not universal, see Adams, Egyptian Mummies, 15-16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup>Canopic jars are generally recognizable because "the shape of the usual canopic jar was seldom used for pottery or bronze vessels." Janssen, Commodity Prices from the Ramessid Period, 412.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup>Spencer, Death in Ancient Egypt, 36-37; Martin-Pardey, Eingeweidegefäße, nos. 14-15, 2154, 2414-15, 2418-21, 2433-36, 2633, 2635-38, 2690, 3103-6, 3208-11, 3229-34, 3244-46, 3248-51, 3848/1-4, 3926-27; Junker, Giza III, Tafeln IXb, XIIa; Sethe, "Zur Geschichte der Einbalsamierung," 217.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>CCG 5020, in RAC, 199 and CCG 5022, in RAC, 400.

the Sons of Horus<sup>56</sup> appear, although the identification of the Sons of Horus with the canopic jars "was not complete until Dynasty 12,"<sup>57</sup> as even in the Middle Kingdom plain stoppers are still used sometimes.<sup>58</sup>

The Pyramid Texts also make no connection between the Sons of Horus and the canopic jars.<sup>59</sup> The Pyramid Texts do say that the Sons of Horus represent parts of the body but therein the king is told, "Thy hand is Hapy and Duamutef; . . . thy two feet are Imsety and Qebhsenuef,"<sup>60</sup> an association which remains through the Coffin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup>Brovarski, Canopic Jars, 1. The oldest canopic jars in the Turin Museum are nos. 19068-69, both of which are XIIth Dynasty and have human heads; see Claudia Dolzani, Vasi Canopi, 2nd series, vol. 4 of Catologo del Museo Egizo di Torino (Milano: Cisalpino-Golaconda, 1982), 43-44. Baines and Málek (AAE, 221) date this innovation to the Middle Kingdom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup>Brovarski, *Canopic Jars*, 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup>CCG 4978-79, 4986-88, 4998-5001, in RAC, 383-90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup>One debatable passage is PT 573 (§§1482-83), in Raymond O. Faulkner, Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts, 228; cf. the translation in AEL, 1:49-50. The translation "jar-stands" in Faulkner is tentative, but for Lichtheim it is fait accompli. But this would be the only place where hnty ever meant "jar-stands". determinative indicates that this is some sort of structure. 573 (§§1482-83) should read "Commend N to this Great and noble one . . . to establish his shrine (or booth) upon the land for N is one of these four gods: Imseti, Hapi, Duamutef, and Qebehsenuef." the reading of hnty here, cf. hntt, in Patricia Spencer, The Egyptian Temple: A Lexicographicial Study (London: Kegan Paul. 1984), 12; the passages discussed in Alan H. Gardiner, Admonitions of an Egyptian Sage from a Heiratic Papyrus in Leiden (Pap. Leiden 344 Recto) (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1909), 46-47 might also be applicable here; Translate Admonitions of Ipuwer 6:5-7 as "The writings of the sacred shrine have been remove, those things which were secret have been divulged! The rituals are divulged and the performances and embraces are profaned becaused they are mentioned by men!"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup>PT 215 (§149); cf. 688 (§§2078-79).

Texts of the Middle Kingdom. 61

During the New Kingdom the texts depict the Sons of Horus in their classical function of guarding the deceased and protecting the internal organs as Canopic Jars. In the Lamentations of Isis and Nephthys we read, "The Sons of Horus guard your body, and daily bless your ka."<sup>62</sup> The Book of the Dead states, "Anubis has assigned them to protect the burial of Osiris."<sup>63</sup> Elsewhere, Horus asks the Sons of Horus be "with me so that they might protect my body."<sup>64</sup> In the Twenty-First Dynasty, canopic jars are rare.<sup>65</sup> But in Dynasties 22-25 the canopic jars did not contain the internal organs of the deceased but were only dummy jars having only a minimal cavity or no cavity at all (the Germans call these

<sup>61</sup>CT 761; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151.

<sup>62</sup>The Lamentations of Isis and Nephthys 10, in AEL, 3:120, see note 11 on page 121. Cf. the unique inscription of CCG 4322 (Dyn. 20?), in RAC, 218-19: dd mdw in Hpi ii=i m s3 h^cw hr irt-t m3^c-hrw cm=k ib k3 ntt k3 n pr st=f rn št3 m-b3h ntrw st3=k ntrw dw3t pr3y=k r hrw snfw=k "Words said by Hapi: I have come as a protector of members making thee justified that thou mayest know the heart of the ka whose secret name in the presence of the gods of the Duat is 'Embrace-of-the-house-of-his-throne', that thou mayest ascend to heaven, that thou mayest be made to breathe."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup>BD 17:38-39, in Lepsius, Todtenbuch der Ägypter, Plate VIII; Hermann Grapow, Religiöse Urkunden = Urk 5 (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1915-17), 40-47; A. W. Shorter, Copies of the Book PR(T)-M-HRW, vol. 1 of Catologue of Egyptian Religious Papyri in the British Museum (London: British Museum, 1938), 104.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup>or belly, <u>h</u>t. BD 113:6; cf. BD 137A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup>Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 120.

"Scheinkanopen"), <sup>66</sup> the internal organs were generally repacked into the abdominal cavity of the mummy with figurines of the sons of Horus. <sup>67</sup> From the Twenty-Sixth Dynasty to the Ptolemaic Period, the practice of embalming the viscera in canopic jars resumed, <sup>68</sup> and the deceased grasped the faience amulets of the Sons of Horus in his hands along with the winged heart scarab. <sup>69</sup> During the Roman Period Sheinkanopen were again the rule. <sup>70</sup>

Since the Sons of Horus guard the canopic jars which contain the viscera of the deceased, it might be useful to know which Son of Horus protected what internal organ, and some sources even enumerate such. Assembling the evidence, a difficult task, presents another picture. To learn that a canopic jar is "empty"<sup>71</sup> is only slightly more useful than discovering that it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup>Brovarski, Canopic Jars, 3-4. The same situation appears in Dynasty 5 where the canopic jars of Nebty-kha-merer "wohl nie etwas enthalten hat;" but this may be because "das Grab der Prinzessin Nebti-cha<sup>c</sup>-merer ist . . . nie benutzt worden;" Borchardt, Das Grabdenkmal der Königs Ne-User-Re<sup>c</sup>, 131, 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup>Warren R. Dawson and P. H. K. Gray, Mummies and Human Remains, vol. 1 of Catalogue of Egyptian Antiquities in the British Museum (London: British Museum 1968), xii-xiii. The process begins in Dynasty 21; Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 114.

<sup>68</sup>Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup>Bietak and Reiser-Haslauer, *Grab des <sup>c</sup>Anch-Hor II*, 211-12, 203, Tafel 135. Unfortunately though we have molds for other faience figures, we have none for the sons of Horus; see Christian Hermann, *Formen für ägyptische Fayencen: Katalog der Sammlung des Biblischen Instituts der Universität Freiburg Schweiz und einer Privatsammlung*, vol. 60 of *OBO* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1985), vii-viii, et passim.

<sup>70</sup> Dawson and Gray, Mummies and Human Remains, xiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup>RAC 6 et passim.

contains "mummified human entrails, wrapped in linen." 72 So much we had assumed. But if the catalogues of canopic jars do not determine the contents, it is because viscera "are very difficult to distinguish in a shrunken, desiccated state."73 Additionally studies of the organs in the canopic jars belie the standard simplified correlations. 74 The confusion in the canopic jars is mirrored by the turmoil in the literary sources where Imseti might be identified with the spleen, or liver; Hapi, with the liver, the lungs, or the spleen; Duamutef, with the lungs, the liver, the heart, or "the other (p3 ky)"; and Qebhsenuef, with the intestines  $(\underline{mhtw}, imy-\underline{ht})$ , or the belly  $(\underline{ht})$ ; or they might be assigned to different divinities entirely. 76 Gardiner, who assembled the literary evidence, concluded that "there is only one point in which all seven texts are in perfect agreement, namely that mhtw (var. imy-ht, dem. mht) occupies the last place, which is that belonging to Kebhsnewef when ever the sons of Horus are mentioned."77 And in general, "the archaeological evidence [is] not in accord with

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$ Ibid., 10 et passim. This is one of the better sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup>AEO, 2:250\*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup>George Elliot Smith, cited in AEO, 2:248\*, noting that "even here there was some inconsistency." Goff is more emphatic: "Of the four divinities none is systematically associated with a particular organ" (Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 114). She notes as an example, that an image of Hapy is placed with the intestines in the mummy of Djedptahiuefankh, and with the liver in that of Tausertempernesu (ibid., 115).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup>AEO 2:245-47\*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup>AEO 2:247\*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup>Ibid., 2:247\*.

the philological."78

"The Soft Sway of Undefinable Omnipotence

O'er our Strong Male-stuff"

Still, the gruesome contents of the canopic jars, dead and done, survive through the woman-nature protected by various goddesses. The general scheme has perhaps been most clearly expressed in the inscriptions found on canopic jars of the 26th Dynasty:

Words spoken by Isis: I incenerate (s3m) the enemies that I might protect Imseti who is in me. The protection of Osiris N is the protection of Imseti, for Osiris N is Imseti.

Words spoken by Nephthys: I shall hide (h3p) the secret that I might watch over Hapi who is in me. The protection of Osiris N is the protection of Hapi, for Osiris N is Hapi.

Words spoken by Neith: I bring dawn (sdw3) and dusk every day while guarding Duamutef who is in me. The protection of Osiris N is the protection of Duamutef, for Osiris N is Duamutef.

Words spoken by Serqet to your ka: I cause my protection to travel (sqd) every day while guarding Qebhsenuef who is in me. The protection of Osiris N is the protection of Qebehsenuef, for Osiris N is Qebehsenuef.  $^{81}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup>Ibid., 2:250\*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup>The inscriptions leave no question about the role of the goddesses as protectors of the Sons of Horus. There is no basis for the assertion that the Sons of Horus were married to the goddesses; Wiliam MacQuitty, Abu Simbel (New York: Putnam's Sons, 1965), 176. The debate over whether the canopic jars represent the protective goddesses (GEA 226-30; cf. UAK 15-16) or the Sons of Horus (Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 139) need not concern us here; the evidence weighs against Sethe except at certain time periods where it is ambiguous.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup>Budge, Gods of the Egyptians, 1:456; Wildung and Grimm, Götter-Pharaonen, #58.

<sup>81</sup>CIT XIX.

The general patterns, however, "not seldom" have exceptions,  $^{82}$  as the following chart illustrates (s = standard; x = exception):

Guardian\Son of Horus	Imseti	Hapi Duamutef	Qebehsenuef
Isis Nephthys Neith Serget	s <sup>83</sup> x <sup>86</sup> x <sup>88</sup>	x <sup>84</sup> s <sup>87</sup> s <sup>89</sup> x <sup>90</sup> x <sup>91</sup> x <sup>92</sup>	x <sup>85</sup> s <sup>93</sup>

<sup>82</sup>GEA 226 n. 61.

<sup>83</sup>Dynasty 12: CCG 4006, in RAC, 3-4. Dynasty 13: CCG 4007, in ibid., 4-5; CCG 4019, in ibid., 11-12. Dynasty 18: CCG 4094, in ibid., 59-60. Dynasty 26: WKMAS 9066, in Reiser-Haslauer, Die Kanopen II, 95-99; CCG 4098, in RAC, 63; CCG 4102, in ibid., 66-67.

<sup>84</sup>CCG 4518 (Dynasty 18), in RAC, 287; CCG 4085 (Dynasty 19),
in ibid., 52-53.

 $<sup>^{85}</sup>$ CCG 4727 (Dynasty 13), in *RAC*, 359-60; CCG 4326 (Dynasty 19), in ibid., 221-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup>CCG 4728 (Dynasty 13), in RAC, 360-61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup>Dynasty 12: CCG 4005, in RAC, 3. Dynasty 13: CCG 4008, in ibid., 5-6; CCG 4020, in ibid., 12-13. Dyansty 18: CCG 4095, in ibid., 60-61. Dyansty 26: CCG 4099, in ibid., 64; CCG 4103, in ibid., 67-68.

 $<sup>^{88}</sup>$ CCG 4733 (Dynasty 12), in *RAC*, 366-68; CCG 4729 (Dyansty 13), in ibid., 361.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup>Dynasty 12: CCG 4048, in RAC, 28-32, Plate LXXXI; use the plate because the text on pp. 30-31 is incorrect. Dynasty 13: CCG 4009, in ibid., 6; CCG 4021, in ibid., 13. Dynasty 18: CCG 4096, in ibid., 61-62. Dynasty 26: CCG 4100, in ibid., 65; CCG 4104, in ibid., 68-69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup>CCG 4519 (Dynasty 18), in RAC, 288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup>CCG 4740 (MK), in RAC, 377-81; CCG 4980 (MK), in ibid., 384.

<sup>92</sup>CCG 4196 (Dynasty 13), in RAC, 142-43; CCG 4730 (Dynasty 13), in ibid., 361; CCG 4325 (Dynasty 19), in ibid., 231.

<sup>93</sup>Dynasty 12: CCG 4048, in RAC, Plate LXXXI, pp. 28-32. Dynasty 13: CCG 4010, in ibid., 6-7; CCG 4022, in ibid., 13-14. Dynasty 18: CCG 4097, in ibid., 62. Dynasty 26: WKMAS 9088, in Reiser-Haslauer, Die Kanopen II, 100-2; CCG 4101, in RAC, 65-66;

```
<sub>x</sub>94
Great Ennead (psdt-c3t)
                                                                   x<sup>95</sup>
Great Ennead (psdt-wrt)
                                                                    \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{97}
                                                x<sup>96</sup>
Geb and Hnty-hty
                                                <sub>x</sub>98
Shu
                                                                    х<sup>99</sup>
Tefnut
                                                                             x100
Geb
                                                 <sub>x</sub>101
                                                                                                 <sub>x</sub>102
Nut
                                                                              <sub>×</sub>103
Sendiet
                                                                                                 x^{104}
Rnnwrt
```

In the tomb of Ramses VI the 7th gate of the Book of the Night, Sia and Isis go with Duamutef, Qebhsenuef and Nephthys, while Serket, Hapi, Imseti, Tefnut and Sendet go together. In Dynasty 26, Imsety and Duamutef could go with Isis while Hapy and Qebhsenuef go with Nephthys. Some of the odder pairings occur side by side

CCG 4105, in ibid., 69-70.

<sup>94</sup>CCG 4048 (Dynasty 12), in RAC, Plate LXXXI, pp. 28-32.

<sup>95</sup>CCG 4048 (Dynasty 12), in RAC, Plate LXXXI, pp. 28-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup>CCG 4049 (Dynasty 12), in RAC, 32-33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup>CCG 4049 (Dynasty 12), in RAC, 32-33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup>CCG 4981 (MK), in RAC, 384-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup>CCG 4981 (MK), in RAC, 384-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup>CCG 4981 (MK), in RAC, 384-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup>CCG 4740 (MK), in RAC, 377-81; CCG 4980 (MK), in ibid., 384.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup>CCG 4981 (MK), in RAC, 384-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup>CCG 4740 (MK), in RAC, 377-81; CCG 4980 (MK), in ibid., 384.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup>CCG 4740 (MK), in RAC, 377-81; CCG 4980 (MK), in ibid., 384.

<sup>105</sup> Alexandre Piankoff, Le Livre du jour et de la nuit, vol. 13 of BdE (Caire: IFAO, 1942), 62.

<sup>106</sup>Bietak und Reiser-Haslauer, Das Grab des 'Anch-Hor II, 207 and Tafel 139; cf. CCG 4735 (undated), in RAC, 369-71; CCG 5003 (Dynasty 18 cat's coffin), in ibid., 392-94.

with the standard ones. 107

### "After the Manner of the Egyptians"

Although several inscriptions indicate that the protection of the internal organs is one purpose of the canopic jars, there can be other purposes as well. Predynastic burials were usually filled with offerings for the deceased. In the Old Kingdom pottery jars in the burial shaft "were probably used in the offerings during the funeral rites. In During Dynasties 9-10, when the canopic jars began to be associated with the Sons of Horus, the Sons of Horus also start appearing in htp-di-niswt offering formulae. The inscriptions on the first appearance of the Sons of Horus on canopic jars are simply the name of the

 $<sup>^{107}</sup>$ E.g. the canopic jars of hmn-htp (CCG 4727-30, in RAC, 359-61) as opposed to his canopic chest (CCG 4731, in ibid., 362-64); see also, CCG 4980 (MK), in ibid., 384.

<sup>108&</sup>quot;Historians often do not see any other interpretation which fits the facts as well as their own does; but if we consider that even in the field of physics, with its larger and more reliable stock of facts, new crucial experiments are needed again and again because the old ones are all keeping with both of two competing and incompatible theories, . . . then we shall give up the naive belief that any definite set of historical records can ever be interpreted in one way only." Karl R. Popper, The Open Society and its Enemies, 2 vols. (New York: Harper & Row, 1967), 2:266.

<sup>109</sup> Emery, Archaic Egypt, 145, 134-39; Edwards, Pyramids of Egypt, 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup>Ahmed M. Mousa and Hartwig Altenmüller, The Tomb of Nefer and Ka-Hay, vol. 5 of AV (Mainz am Rhein: Von Zabern, 1971), 43.

<sup>111</sup>The Sons of Horus suddenly being included in four percent of the offering formulae, traces of them also appear of the formula in the eleventh dynasty; Winfried Barta, Aufbau und Bedeutung der altägyptischen Opferformel, Heft 24 of ÄF (Glückstadt: Augustin, 1968), 227.

individual diety to which each organ was dedicated. A canopic jar of K3j from the eleventh Gynasty contains a htp-di-niswt offering formula to Imseti; and the cases for the canopic jars are generally inscribed with offering formulae. Thus during the First Intermediate Period, the Egyptians might have viewed the canopic jars as offerings to the Sons of Horus.

The association of the canopic jars with the Sons of Horus might have been effected about by a change in understanding of one of the Pyramid Texts. In the ritual offering of the red bull representing the enemy, various gods are offered various parts of the slain bull, "his intestines are for the these four gods,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup>GEA 224-25, 1\*.

<sup>113</sup>Barta, Aufbau und Bedeutung der altägyptischen Opferformel, 43, citing William C. Hayes, The Scepter of Egypt, 2 vols. (New York, Harper and Brothers, 1953-57), 1:322. Hayes indicates that this one was part of a complete set but there is only a picture of the one. The inscription reads: htpt di niswt htp Imsti prt-hrw n imy-r3 sš K3y rn[...]. Other jars with htp-di-nswt formulae are WKMAS 3161, 3562, 3563, 3564 (all 22nd Dynasty, Osorkon II), in Elfriede Reiser-Haslauer, Die Kanopen I, Liefung 2 of Kunsthistorisches Museum Wien: Ägyptisch-orientalishche Sammlung, in the series CAA (Mainz am Rhein: Von Zabern, 1989). Sethe claims that this formula does not appear until at least the twenty-first dynasty; GEA 9\*.

<sup>114</sup>UAK 66-67.

<sup>115</sup>The rite of the red ox was practiced until late times in Egypt; see Mariette, Dendérah, 4:Pl. 85b. Cf. The rite of the red cow among the Israelites; Numbers 19:1-10; see also David P. Wright, The Disposal of Impurity: Elimination Rites in the Bible and in Hittite and Mesopotamian Literature (Atlanta, Georgia: Scholars Press, 1987), 131, 169-72, 185 n. 38, 216-17, 221-22. The Red Cow is used to purify those contaminated by corpses.

<sup>116</sup> imyt mph is a hapax legomenon; for discussion on the meaning of the word see Faulkner, Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts, 235; GEA 217; Hildegard von Deines and Wolfhart Westendorf, Wörterbuch der medizinischen Teste, 2 vols., Band 7 of Grundriss der Medizin der

the sons of Horus whom he loves: Hapi, Imseti, Duamutef, and Qebehsenuef." During the First Intermediate Period that this cattle slaughtering ritual could possibly have been taken as applying to the "cattle of the gods": 118 men. Since the internal organs were already being removed during mummification, it would be a simple matter to offer this to the Sons of Horus. 119

alten Ägypter (Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1961), 1:273-81. Cf. mnpht (Wb 2:79), ph (Ibid., 1:533), phwy (Ibid., 1:535), and nphw (Ibid., 2:249). The root of all these words is probably nphw which, as well as being the "cow's udder" is also a "part of the human abdomen (in the region of the genetalia) of both men and women: perhaps the groin." The context of the cattle parts mentioned in the Pyramid Text and the possible etymology of the word suggest that the imyt mph were the the exta.

 $<sup>^{117}</sup>$ PT 580 (§§1546-50); Sethe (GEA 217) sees this as the origin of the entrusting of the entrails to the Sons of Horus. See also Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargtexten, 151. The entire PT 580 (§§1543-50) reads: "O slayer of my father who smote one greater than himself, thou hast slain my father; thou hast smitten one greater than thyself. O my father Osiris, for thee have I slain him who slew thee like an ox. I have smitten him who smote thee for thee like a wild bull. I have broken up him who broke thee up for thee like a long-horned bull, after whom thou wast like a subjected bull, and he who racked thee is racked animal himself. He who shot thee a bull which has been shot. He who assaulted thee is a ox. I have cut off his head; I have cut off his tail; I have cut off his hand; I have cut off his feet. His upper foreleg is for Kheperi; his lower foreleg is for Atum, the father of the gods. His haunches are for Shu and Tefnut; His jaws are for Geb and Nut. His thighs are for Isis and Nephthys; calves are for Khenty-irty His back is for Neith and Serget; his front is for and Herty. Sekhmet the great. His exta are for these four gods, the sons of Horus whom he loves: Hapi, Imseti, Duamutef, and Qebehsenuef. His head, tail, hands and feet are for Anubis and Osiris Khentyamentiu. What the gods have left are for the Souls of Nekhen and I have partaken that we may partake of the red bull in order to traverse the lake which Horus made for his father."

<sup>118 &</sup>quot;The Instruction of Merikare," 131, in AEL, 1:106.

<sup>119</sup>A related text from the Ptolemaic Period has the human (or divine) enemy sacrificed and his internal organs offered to Bast; see Das Buch von der Abwehr des Bösen, line 53, in Siegfried Schott, Urkunden des mythologischen Inhalts, = Urk. 6 of (Leipzig:

This condition obtained to some extent during the Middle Kingdom. Some canopic jars contain the inscription: "Thou art revered before Imseti [or another Son of Horus], inasmuch as I [the god] am with thee." Later canopic jars were often incribed with the following: "Oh Isis [or another goddess], watch over both Imseti [or another Son of Horus] who is with thee, and NN who is revered by Imseti." 121

During later periods, when offerings to the Sons of Horus are again attested, <sup>122</sup> there are other indications that the person mummified was considered to have undergone a symbolic sacrificial death and that the viscera were considered to be offerings to the Sons of Horus. In the Opening of the Mouth ceremonies of the the eighteenth dynasty, when the Sons of Horus recieve offerings for the first time since the First Intermediate Period, <sup>123</sup> the

Hinrichs, 1929), 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup>CIT IIa.

<sup>121</sup>CIT III and IV, discussed on pp. 226-27. The most synonymus meanings of the prepostitions (m and hr) should be taken, thus: "with"; See Alan H. Gardiner, Egyptian Grammar, 3rd. ed. (Oxford: Griffith Institute, 1957), 124-25, 128, §§162.7a, 167. At latter times, this interchange does not take place and m is the only prepostion used.

<sup>122</sup>Barta, Aufbau und Bedeutung der altägyptischen Opferformel, 227-28; BD 141. The Sons of Horus are betimes depicted on the stele but not mentioned in the inscription, as on that of Tausirdinesnakht (T3-Wsir-di-n-s-nht), Parma Musueum 180, in CEMAP 110-12, Tav. XXV and Inaros (Ir.t.Hr-r-w), Parma Museum 181, in ibid., 112-14, Tav. XXVI. Some Inscriptions in Glyptothèque ny Carlsberg 635, 894 in Otto Koefoed-Peterson, Recuiel des inscriptions hiéroglyphiques de la Glyptothèque ny Carlsberg, vol. 6 of BA (Bruxelles: FERE, 1936), 65-67.

<sup>123</sup>Barta, Aufbau und Bedeutung der altägyptischen Opferformel, 227-28.

preists who will later lug the guts into the neighbor room attack the priest<sup>124</sup> who, preparing to mummify the body, first makes a slit into the belly to remove the viscera, as a murderer. <sup>125</sup> It was during the eighteenth dyansty, according to Manetho, that human sacrifice ceased in Egypt, <sup>126</sup> although earlier human sacrifice (Figure 3) had been supposed to be a standard practice. <sup>127</sup> Herodotus, at a still later time, in describing mummification, depicts the Opening of the Mouth ceremony, where first the nose is touched "with an iron hook" and "then after splitting the side of the belly with a sharp Ethiopean stone, they draw out from it all the entrails. "<sup>128</sup> Afterwards other priests "four men with the names of <the children> of Horus written on their upper arms" wrap the deceased in "red linen anointed with prime Libyan oil." <sup>129</sup> In the Twenty-First Dynasty, the incision was covered with lotus

<sup>124</sup>This is different from the Pyrmaid Texts where the Sons of Horus act as priests in the rite of Opening of the Mount. PT 670 (§1983); cf. GEA 217. The text is damaged here. See also Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 140 citing PT §§1983, 734, 1333, 552, 149.

<sup>125</sup>Opening of the Mouth, scenes 13, 15, in Eberhard Otto, Das ägyptische Mundöffnungsritual, 2 vols., Band 3 of ÄA (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1960), 1:33-35, 37-38; 2:63-67; Diodorus Siculus, Biblothêkê historikê I.91 (102); Dorothy J. Thompson, Memphis Under the Ptolemies (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1988), 155-56.

<sup>126</sup> Manetho, fragment 85, in Porphyrius, De Abstinentia II.55.

<sup>127</sup> Manetho, fragment 86, in Plutarch, De Iside et Osiride 73.

<sup>128</sup>Herodotus, Historiae II.86.3-4; cf. Diodorus Siculus, Bibliothêkê Historikê I.91 (102).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup>BD 137A.

and leaf-shaped plates showing the wd3t-eye and the sons of Horus. 130 Whether or not this sort of human sacrifice took place or not would be difficult to detect at best because mummification is the sacrifice. 131 The removal of the organs is characteristic only of Herodotus' first class mummification, 132 the same one which those whom a crocodile killed, or who drowned in the Nile were required to receive at the hands of the priests. 133 Those whose life the gods had taken, the priests could offer in the temple post mortem. 134

This sacrificial embalming takes place on a lion-shaped bedstead,  $^{135}$  called an  $3t^{136}$  (Late Egyptian ytit)  $^{137}$ 

<sup>130</sup>Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 114, and plate 27, figure 48; also citing Montet, Psousenes, p. 148 No 527, p. 1 CXII. The plate shown is from the mummy of Henuttawy.

<sup>131</sup>There is some sort of human sacrifice attested in Egyptian records, some of which is by burning, and others by "a death penalty in ritual disguise." Most of these penalties are inflicted for cultic crimes; and all the references are before the beginning of the New Kingdom which agrees with Manetho's statement. See Anthony Leahy, "A Protective Measure at Abydos in the Thirteenth Dynasty," Journal of Egyptian Archaeology 75 (1989): 41-60; Harco Willems, "Crime, Cult and Capital Punishment (Mo<sup>c</sup>alla Inscription 8)," Journal of Egyptian Archaeology 76 (1990): 27-54, quote from p. 34.

<sup>132</sup>Herodotus, Historiae II.86-87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup>Herodotus, *Historiae* II.90.

<sup>134</sup> Herodotus, Historiae II.90.1.

<sup>135</sup>Winifred Needler, An Egyptian Funerary Bed of the Roman Period in the Royal Ontario Museum, Royal Ontario Museum Occasional Paper 6 (Toronto: Royal Ontario Museum, 1963), 4-7. Needler can find "no beds in the tomb pictures of the Middle Kingdom which can be definitely indentified as beds of daily life;" ibid., 5.

examples of which are thought to date back to the Third Dynasty at the time of Djoser. 138 Even though the lion couch was a regular, required part of the funerary equipment, 139 only four couches have survived, 140 being normally destroyed after use due to their impurity. 141 In lion couch scenes, as Westendorf has shown, the

<sup>136</sup>Needler, Egyptian Funerary Bed of the Roman Period, 4; confirmed by the labels on the insciptions in Mariette, Dendérah, 4:Pl. 70-71, esp. 90.

<sup>137</sup> Jac. J. Janssen, Commodity Prices from the Ramessid Period, 239-40, with hesitation.

<sup>138</sup> Wildung and Grimm, Götter-Pharaonen, #3. Wildung reports that this lion couch was used for embalming in the Third Dynasty, though embalming is normally thought to have started in the Fourth Dynasty. More cautious are Mohammed Saleh and Hourig Sourouzian, The Egyptian Museum Cairo Official Catelogue (Mainz amd Rhein: Von Zabern, 1987), #18, who note that the Djoser Complex corridor 42 has a lion altar and suggest that the objects in question are sacrificial altars. Cf. Needler, Egyptian Funerary Bed of the Roman Period, 4. Jaromir Malek and D. N. E. Magee, "A Group of Coffins Found at Northern Saggara," BSEG 9-10 (1984-85): 170-71 suggest that these lion couches belong to the Late Period and were used in animal mummification.

 $<sup>^{139}</sup>$  See Janssen, Commodity Prices from the Ramessid Period, 239-42.

<sup>140</sup> They are the Akhmim (now in Cairo), Berlin, Edinburgh and Toronto couches; see Needler, Egyptian Funerary Bed of the Roman Period, 4, 7. There is also a golden lion couch in Tutankhamun's tomb.

<sup>141</sup> Janssen, Commodity Prices from the Ramessid Period, 240. The blood defiles the sanctuary according to Muhammed and Sourouzain, Egyptian Museum Cairo, #18. Cf. Wright, Disposal of Impurity, 107-10, 115-31, 147-59, 219, 245. Habachi's objections in Janssen, Commodity Prices from the Ramessid Period, 240 n. 169, that the alabaster lion couches were not disposed of can be met with by noting that alabaster, being stone con be purified while the wooden lion couches would have to be destroyed, there being no way to remove the blood stains from the porous wood; cf. Wright, Disposal of Impurity, 93-113.

bier equates with Nut<sup>142</sup> who spreads herself over the Sons of Horus, 143 Thus the placing of the canopic jars under the bier can represent the supports of heaven and the Sons of Horus as wind gods can become syncretized with the god Thoth as a wind god. 144 The situation goes back to the Middle Egyptian Coffin Texts where it is described rather than depicted. 145 Lion couch scenes "appear for the first time in the 18th Dynasty, and become very popular for tomb walls in the 19th and for painted coffins cartonnage, shrouds, stelae, etc., in Late Dynastic and Greek and Roman times."146 During the New Kingdom the Sons of Horus frequently appear in lion couch scenes. 147 In the Late Period not only are the Sons of Horus depicted in lion couch scenes under the

<sup>142 &</sup>quot;Totenbahre = Himmelsgöttin", an equation attested as far back as the Pyramid Texts; Wolfhart Westendorf, Altägyptische Darstellungen des Sonnenlaufes auf der abschüssigen Himmelsbahn, vol. 10 of MÄS (Berlin: Hesslin, 1966), 14.

<sup>143</sup>CT 525; the scene described in the text in de Buck, Egyptian Coffin Texts, 6:118 represents the bier situation much better than the translation in Faulkner (Ancient Egyptian Coffin Texts, 2:151) would suggest. Cf. Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 235, for the Twenty-First Dynasty.

<sup>144</sup>The discussion of the evidence is found in Edouard Naville, "Le dieu Thoth et les points cardinaux," ZÄS 15 (1877): 28-31; RÄRG, 316. picks up the theme and rehashes the evidence. This is graphically rendered on the burial Sledge of Chonsu, CCG 27302; cf. BD 161; Mariette, Denderah 4:70. We should expect that if they were holding up the bier which represents Nut they should syncretize with Shu who is also a wind god.

 $<sup>^{145}\</sup>mathrm{CT}$  520-25; all examples of these text passages come from canopic chests.

<sup>146</sup> Needler, Egyptian Funerary Bed of the Roman Period, 6.

<sup>147</sup>A. Rosalie David, Religious Ritual at Abydos (c. 1300 BC) (Warminster: Aris and Phillips, 1973), 180.

deceased, 148 but also on the coffins themselves. 149 In Ptolemaic Egypt, though not always present, lion couch scenes do not completely disappear. 150

Most lion couch scenes demonstrate an ambiguity between whether the scene shows the mummification or resurrection of the individual, this ambivalence presenting one of the difficulties with understanding such scenes. The couch with two lion's heads<sup>151</sup> is the gate between life and death, just as the double-lion god *Rwrwty* is the gate between life and death; Rwrwty is

<sup>148</sup> Manfred Bietak und Elfriede Reiser-Haslauer, Das Grab des 'Anch-Hor II, 207 and Tafel 139! The dating on this tomb is 26th Dynasty. See also Geoffrey Thorndike Martin, The Tomb of Hetepka and Other Reliefs and Inscriptions from the Sacred Animal Necropolis North Saggara 1964-1973, vol. 4 of Texts from Excavations (London: Egypt Exploration Society, 1979), #179, p. 54, plate 48 (Dynasty 26-27). Cf. hypocephalus not always there, see ibid., #163, plate 45.

<sup>149</sup>Bietak and Reiser-Haslauer, Das Grab des 'Anch-Hor II, 203
and Tafel 135; Parma Museum 101, in CEMAP 33-35, Tav. XIX.

<sup>150</sup> Achille Adriani, Repertorio d'arte dell'Egitto Greco-Romano Series A, 2 vols. (Del Banco di Sicilia: Fondazione Ignazio Mormino, 1961), 1:23, 31, and figures 18, 2-22, 24-25, 30, 38-40, 45, 51, 57, 61, 83-91 are about as close as one comes to representations of the four sons of Horus with the exception of ibid., Series C, vol. 2, figure 340, a late style representation of "un altare" which is a "cataletto di forme leonine" which is missing Duamutef, for some unexplained reason; there is no significant discussion in C.1:176-177. On the Toronto lion couch, the Sons of Horus are both present and absent in the painted miniature lion couch scenes; Needler, Egyptian Funerary Bed of the Roman Period, Plates I-II (I, right side with; II left side without). Note the change on the coffin of Wsir Wr, Parma Museum 101, in CEMAP 33-35, Tav. XIX.

 $<sup>^{151}</sup>$ The preserved examples of lion couches either have two lion heads on them or come in pairs.

<sup>152</sup>Dieter Jankuhn, "Steckt hinter dem Gott 'Rwtj' eine Errinnerung an den rituellen Königsmord?" GM 1 (1972): 11-14. This article needs to be corrected along the lines of L. Störk,

the god of the slaughter-house, <sup>153</sup> and the companion of Atum, <sup>154</sup> who sees that the king is exalted. <sup>155</sup> The priest of Rwrwty is the "chief of the embalming house. <sup>156</sup> The priest <sup>157</sup> embalming the mummy is usually depicted as Anubis, <sup>158</sup> and Anubis masks which the priests worn in performing their office have been preserved (Figure 2). <sup>159</sup> Anubis, the jackel-headed god, is the imy-wt, <sup>160</sup> the one in the place of embalming, <sup>161</sup> who from the

<sup>&</sup>quot;Gab es in Ägypten einen rituellen Königsmord?" GM 5 (1973): 31-32.

 $<sup>^{153} &</sup>quot;Inasmuch as thou makest flourish the offering table of N, thou makest flourish the slaughter-house for inasmuch as N is hungry, Rwrwty is hungry." PT 400 (§696).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup>PT 301 (§447).

<sup>155&</sup>quot;Exalt N's ka to the god, lead him to Rwrwty, make him mount up to Atum." PT 688 (§2081).

<sup>156</sup> Mastaba of Kamhasut, cited in Jankuhn, "Steckt hinter den Gott 'Rwty' eine Erinnerung an den rituellen Königsmord," 14.

<sup>157</sup> htmw-ntr wyt, htmw-wyt, or simply wjt, Wolja Erichsen, Demotisches Glossar (Kopenhagen: Munksgaard, 1954), 80.

<sup>158</sup> Christine Seeber, "Maske," LdÄ 3:1197; Barbara Watterson, The Gods of Ancient Egypt (London: Batsford, 1984), 174; The Tomb of Iry-Nufer in Robert S. Bianchi, Museums of Egypt (Tokyo: Kodansha, 1980), 134-35. For texts, see Günther Roeder, Urkunden zur Religion des alten Ägypten (Jena: Diederichs, 1915), 297-305.

<sup>159</sup>Hildesheim Museum 1585, in Hans Kayser, Das Pelizaeus-Museum in Hildesheim (Hamburg: de Gruyter, 1966), 70. Seeber, "Maske," 3:1196-99: "Die Darstellungen ermöglichen in der Regel keine Unterscheidung zwischen Gottheiten und eventuell maskierten Priestern in Götterrollen" and the wt-priest wears it in the embalming ritual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup>Wb 1:378-80; CDME, 71.

<sup>161</sup>Wt always has something to do with embalming: as a verb it means "to embalm, to dry out, to wrap up"; as a noun it is the mummy cover whether coffin or badages; as an agent noun it is the embalmer; as a place it is the "place of embalming"; see James P.

earliest times had been associated not only with kingship, but "was invovled in ritual killings when 'Upper and Lower Egypt were received'." 162

Other evidences that the evisceration of the mummy was at that time considered a symbolic sacrifice dedicated to the Sons of Horus might be adduced during the Ptolemaic and Roman Periods. Not only do the offering formulae appear again, 163 but the verso of Leiden Papyrus I 384--a papyrus more famous for containing the Egyptian Myth of the Sun's Eye--contains a text in Demotic and Greek illustrated with a lion couch scene with Anubis embalming the mummy (Figure 4); the text says, "Burn N to ashes. . . . Burn N until she come unto me, N, immediately, immediately, quickly, quickly, I adjure you, gods of the dead, by the dead kings, 164 the god Balsamos, 165 the jackel-headed god 166 and the gods who are with

Allen, The Inflestion of the Verb in the Pyramid Texts, vol. 2 of Bibliotheca Aegyptia (Malibu: Undena, 1984), 605, 607; Wb 1:378-80; CDME, 71; DLE 1:134-35; Erichsen, Demotisches Glossar, 80; even Coptic OYEITE "desiccated", Walter E. Crum, A Coptic Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon, 1939), 495; Jaroslav Černý, Coptic Etymological Dictionary (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1976), 218.

<sup>162</sup>Thomas J. Logan, "The origins of the Jmy-wt Fetish," Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt 27 (1990): 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup>Barta, Aufbau und Bedeutung der altägyptischen Opferformel, 227-28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup>Neukon, the same word is used in Manetho for the Egyptian kings who immediately preceded the First Dynasty; Manetho, Aegyptiaca fragments 2.2, 6, 7a.

<sup>165</sup> Possibly Baal-shamin, "lord of the heavens", a late form of Hadad (Akkadian Adad), syncretized with Zeus. Baalshamin originated in Phonecia, but his cult spread to Sîa, Batanée, Palmyra and Dura on the Euphrates. The cult is best attested in Palmyra. He is generally associated with the dieties Aglibol, Malakbel, and

him."<sup>167</sup> Immediately below the scene are the words, "Let Abraham who . . . upon . . . wonder marvelously,  $(\omega\rho\iota\chi-\theta\alpha\mu\beta\iota\tau\omega\ \alpha\beta\rho\alpha\alpha\mu\ o\ \epsilon\pi\iota[...])$ ."<sup>168</sup> These, however, are not conclusive evidences, merely subsidiary ones.

In the New Kingdom, "the deceased and his wife are often seen paying homage to [the Sons of Horus]." Perhaps this was because they were part of the ennead; though unlike other major gods of the ennead, 170 the Sons of Horus were not called upon in

Allath; see Paul Collart, "Baalshamin," Lexicon Iconographicum Mythologiae Classicae (Zürich: Artemis, 1981), III/1:75. His presence here indicates that his influence reached Thebes in Upper Egypt whence Leiden Papyrus I 384 came. Baal-shamin was the creator in the Phonecian pantheon, see Philo of Byblos, fragment B, in Eusebius, Preparatio Evangelica I.10.7, in Harold W. Attridge and Robert A. Oden, Jr., Philo of Byblos The Phoenician History: Introduction, Critical Text, Translation, Notes, vol. 9 of Catholic Biblical Quarterly Monograph Series (Washington, D. C.: Catholic Biblical Association of America, 1981), 40; cf. 81 n. 49.

<sup>166</sup>Kynokephalos, common epithet of Anubis; see Firmicus Maternus, De errore profanorum religionum 2.2.

<sup>167</sup> Papyrus Leiden I 384, cols. 16-17, in PGM 2:86. The Demotic text is in Janet H. Johnson, "The Demotic Magical Spells of Leiden I 384," OMRO 56 (1975): 29-64, Plates VIII-XIII, the quoted section is on p. 44-45, Plate XIII. The best picture is the photo in Preisdanz, PGM, 2:Tafel II.

<sup>168</sup> Papyrus Leiden I 384, col. 17, in PGM, 2:86. Oddly enough, this is considered a love charm! On this now see John Gee, "References to Abraham Found in Two Egytian Texts," Insights: An Ancient Window (September 1991): 1, 3. Cf. Papyrus Graecae Magicae 1:195-222, 36:295-311, in PGM 1:12; 2:173.

<sup>169</sup>Abdul-Qader Muhammed, The Development of the Funerary Beliefs and Practices Displayed in the Private Tombs of the New Kingdom at Thebes (Cairo: General Organisation for Government Printing Offices, 1966), 236.

<sup>170</sup> See the comments of Erik Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt, 145-46, 222.

oaths.<sup>171</sup> In the 21st Dynasty, Ramses III exploited the relationship of the Sons of Horus with Horus,<sup>172</sup> by identifying his own sons who predeceased him with the Sons of Horus thus equating himself with Horus and emphasizing his own legitimacy to the throne.<sup>173</sup> This serves as an example of how in the New Kingdom the Sons of Horus come into their own as part of the coronation ceremony representing the four quarters of the earth over which the king has been made ruler.<sup>174</sup> The Sons of Horus were equated with the four corners of the earth (Figure 5), but the attempts to identify which went with which are pointless as the conflicting evidence allows for "no overall system (kein allgemeingültiges Schema)."<sup>175</sup>

#### "Come From the Four Winds"

<sup>171</sup> They have no part of "die sakrale Schwurformel . . . des Tempeleides;" see Ursula Kaplony-Heckel, Die demotischen Tempeleide, 2 vols., vol. 6 of ÄA (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1963), 1:24-26.

<sup>172</sup> See the discussion in Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt, 145-147 which emphasizes an obvious point which has too often been overlooked.

<sup>173</sup> See Friedrich Abitz, Ramses III in der Gräbern seiner Söhne, vol. 72 of OBO (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1986), 62-67. The texts on the walls also connect the Sons of Horus with coronation and legitimation of the king.

<sup>174</sup>See Abitz, Ramses III in der Gräbern seiner Söhne, 62-67; RÄRG, 315-16; Matthieu Heerma von Voss, "Horuskinder," in LdÄ, 3:53; cf. Paul Barguet, Le temple d'Amon-Rê à Karnak (Caire: IFAO, 1962), 289, n. 4; GEA 217.

<sup>175</sup>UAK 16; von Voss, "Horuskinder;" see also Nibely, "Facsimile No. 1, by the Figures," 86, 87, n. 118; Goff (Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 140-41) denies that the Sons of Horus are connected with the four corners of the earth.

Sacrifice, according to Burkert, "betrays an underlying anxiety about the continuation of life, but it is just as necessary for new life to be able to start again." A major function of the Sons of Horus consists of assisting in the resurrection of the deceased. The sons of Horus withhold the hunger (associated with Tefnut) and thirst (associated with Shu) from the belly and lips of the deceased, Providing him with the bread and water of life. In the Coffin Texts, the Sons of Horus not only provide sustenance to the deceased but also clothing. The Sons of Horus guarding the visera of the deceased is well known but in the Book of the Dead, the "chapter of not allowing a man's heart to be taken from him in the other world" is accompanied with

<sup>176</sup>Burkert, Homo Necans, 16.

<sup>177</sup>CT 761, 549, 520-23. "Knit thyself upon thy bones, unite thy flesh;" CT 519. Goff lists six situations in which the Sons of Horus are associated with the resurrection in the 21st Dynasty: 1-with the lion couch; 2- in the field of reeds; 3- standing before Nut; 4- on the solar bark traveling thorugh the heavens; 5-accompanying the goddess of the West before the Hheart sign; and 6-"within the coils of a great saving serpent." (Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 235.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup>PT 338 (§§552-53); GEA 217.

<sup>179</sup> In this case bread and beer, Pyramid Text 373 (§§654-57). For parallels to this phenomenon see Jacob and Wilhelm Grimm, Kinder- und Hausmärchen, 3 vols. (Stuttgart: Reclam, 1980, vol. 3 is a reprint of vol. 3, 3rd. ed. Göttingen: Dieterich'schen, 1856), 3:18 (30); see the Mesopotamian Adapa story in "Adapa," tr. E. A. Speiser, in James B. Pritchard, ed., Ancient Near Eastern Texts, 3rd. ed. (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1969), 101-103; cf. Isaiah 55:1; John 4:10-14; 6:26-58; 7:37-39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup>CT 751, 917.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup>Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151.

a vignette of the Sons of Horus. 182 They watch a man's heart so that it may be weighed in the balance while they look on. 183

The judgment scene from the Book of the Dead also illustrates the association of the Sons of Horus with the resurrection. <sup>184</sup> In this scene, which first appears during the reign of Amenophis III, <sup>185</sup> they stand before Osiris on a blue lotus, <sup>186</sup> the symbol of the morning of creation, <sup>187</sup> and thus also of rebirth, <sup>188</sup> and justification. <sup>189</sup> Not only does the scene represent the resurrection of the dead, <sup>190</sup> but introduces a moral dimension

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup>BD 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup>Parma Museum 104 showing BD 29A, in CEMAP 36-38.

 $<sup>^{184}</sup>$ The general notion is that this phenomenon "belibt unklar;" Beatrix Geßler-Löhr, "Zur Schreibung von  $m3^{C}$ -hrw mit der Blume," GM 116 (1990): 36, 43 n. 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup>Geßler-Löhr, "Zur Schreibung von m3<sup>c</sup>-hrw mit der Blume," 35.

<sup>186</sup>Hart, Dictionary of Egyptian Gods and Goddesses, 204, identifies this as always being the blue lotus, as does Geßler-Löhr, "Zur Schreibung von m3<sup>c</sup>-hrw mit der Blume," 33-36. That such a point makes a difference, see W. Benson Harer, Jr., "Pharmacological and Biological Properties of the Egyptian Lotus," JARCE 22 (1985): 52.

<sup>187</sup> Johanna Dittmar, Blumen und Blumensträuße als Opfergabe im alten Ägypten, Heft 43 of MÄS (München: Deutscher Künstverlag, 1986), 132; cf. Marie-Louise Ryhiner, L'offrande du Lotus dans les temples égyptiens de l'époque tardive, vol. 4 of Rites Égyptiens (Bruxelles: FERE, 1986), 220-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup>Dittmar, Blumen und Blumensträuße als Opfergabe im alten Ägypten, 133; Ryhiner, L'offrande du Lotus, 221-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup>Geßler-Löhr, "Zur Schreibung von m3<sup>c</sup>-hrw mit der Blume," 27.

 $<sup>^{190}</sup>$ Geßler-Löhr, "Zur Schreibung von  $m3^{C}$ -hrw mit der Blume," 36-37; Ryhiner, L'offrande du Lotus, 221-22.

because the candidate can come forth in the morning<sup>191</sup> of the resurrection until after the judgment wherein he is found "justified a million times."<sup>192</sup>

In their capacity both as resurrectors of the dead and as wardens of the purity of the deceased, <sup>193</sup> the Sons of Horus are depicted as defeating the serpent (cf. Figure 3). Thus, in the Coffin Texts, as precursor to representations in the Amduat, the Sons of Horus are associated with the back of Apep. <sup>194</sup> On a statue in the Lowie Museum, Imseti and Hapi are shown, the first holding two lizards and the second grasping a snake. <sup>195</sup> In the late Mythological Papyri, the sons of Horus are often shown wrapped

<sup>191&</sup>quot;To call the blue lotus day blooming is actually imprecise. It does open at sunrise; however, it follows the pattern of our familiar morning glory and is tightly closed before noon. This suggest that scenes of ritual or daily life such as the sumptuous New Kingdom banquets (in which the lotus is portrayed in open bloom) must have taken place in the morning." Thus Harer, "Pharmacological and Biological Properties of the Egyptian Lotus," 52; cf. Ryhiner, L'offrande du Lotus, 222.

<sup>192</sup> Geßler-Löhr, "Zur Schreibung von m3c-hrw mit der Blume," 33.

 $<sup>^{193}</sup>$ The Sons of Horus seem to have played some small role in the ritual reenactment of the drowning of Osiris; see Pyramid Text 423 (§§765-767); cf. Shabako Stone 11a, 19-21a, 62-63. See also BD 17:38-39, where the Sons of Horus are assigned to protect Osiris after his cleansing in the  $w^cbt$ , which is not a "tomb" as translated by Allen, Book of the Dead, 29; see Spencer, The Egyptian Temple, 160, where it is near the portico of the temple, and part of the temple complex; cf. DLE, 1:108. A set of canopic jars in the Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore, depict the guarding of the Sons of Horus as a  $w^cb$  purification ceremony; see Albert Lyons, Medicine: An Illustrated History (New York: H. N. Abrams, 1978), ills. 129-34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup>CT 1126.

<sup>195</sup>Henry Frederick Lutz, Egyptian Statues and Statuettes in the Museum of Anthropology of the University of California (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1930), 1, pl. 1; cf. Leclant Montouemhat, 123-24.

by a snake, <sup>196</sup> from which one emerges in the resurrection. <sup>197</sup> Immediately after these precursors to the resurrection Apep is bound, Re emerges after visiting the dead, <sup>198</sup> and Osiris rises from the dead. <sup>199</sup> In the vingette from the seventeenth chapter of the Book of the Dead, the order is reversed: The Sons of Horus gather around that the dead may arise from his tomb (Figure 6), <sup>200</sup> Re, as a cat, defeats the serpent, and Re-Kheperi takes off on his solar bark. <sup>201</sup> In chapter 112, the Sons of Horus accompany Horus "that a man might be resurrected (sw3d, grow green), and rebellion might be extinguished, . . . when he becomes a great god" The deceased addresses these gods, in Chapter 137A:

"(0) children of Horus--Imset, Hapi, Duamutef, and

<sup>196</sup>Alexandre Piankoff, Mythological Papyri (New York: Bollingen, 1957), 2:2 (Her-Weben, 7 (Tent-diu-Mut), 10 (Pa-di-Amon), 13 (Bau-Mut-r-Nekhtu). Goff says of this scene that the Sons of Horus stand "within the coils of a great saving serpent. Taht the serpent is conceived as a psychopomp is made clear by one scene where it is shown in its home within the mountain from which the dead body of Osiris is coming to life" (Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 235).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup>Peter A. Piccione, "Mehen, Mysteries, and Resurrection from the Coiled Serpent," *JARCE* 27 (1990): 43-52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>198</sup>CT 1126.

<sup>199</sup> Piankoff, Mythological Papyri, 2:2 (Her-Weben, 7 (Tent-diu-Mut), 10 (Pa-di-Amon), 13 (Bau-Mut-r-Nekhtu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>200</sup>Cf. BD 151; Petosiris 143:4-6, in Lefebvre, Tombeau de Petosiris, 2:98; Petosiris 135:4-6 in ibid., 2:94; Petosiris 141:4-6 in ibid., 2:97. Duamutef has been destroyed; Petosiris 129, in ibid., 2:92.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup>BD 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup>BD 112:7-9.

Qebehsenuf--exert ye your protection over your Father Osiris Presiding of ther the Westerners, exert ye your protection over N., as from now on. Do ye away with the foulness of Osiris Presiding over the Westerners, that he may live with the gods. Smite (for) him Suty [Seth] (and save N.) from him from dawn on, (even though) Horus is able to save his Father Osiris himself. Him who did this against your Father, dispossess ye him."

The serpent might be replaced by a turtle, as in Book of the Dead 161, where "the turtle dies" that Re might live, and the four winds shown as Ibis-headed dieties holding staffs which support the heavens<sup>204</sup> open four holes in the sky (pt) that they might "enter into his [the corpse's] nostrils"; "the bones of Osiris N. are united; . . . the (re)assembled (members) (of Osiris N.) surpass . . . his original state."<sup>205</sup> After his resurrection, the deceased himself was considered one of the children of Horus, of which no specific number is mentioned.<sup>206</sup>

In Late Period Egypt, the Sons of Horus were associated with various parts of the soul. Imsety brings the Ka; Hapy, the heart (ib); and Duamutef, the Ba; Qebhsenuef, the mummy  $(s^ch)$ . Bringing the gods together allows the deceased to rise from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup>BD 137A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup>Cf. the Sledge of Khonsu, from Deir el-Medinah, CCG 27302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup>Qebhsenuef is identified with the north wind here; BD 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>206</sup>PT 413 (§§734-736), 368 (§§636-38), 364 (§§618-20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>207</sup>RÄRG, 316; cf. Tomb of Petosiris 82:38-61, in Lefebvre, Tombeau de Petosiris, 2:61-62. Naville, "Le dieu Thoth et les points cardinaux," 30. This suggests Deuteronomy 6:5, note the variations in Matthew 22:37 and Luke 10:27. The variants to the last show that this reading was particularly problematical because it did not square with the Old Testament version of the scripture.

dead and ascend to the sky. 208

"As the Heavens are Higher than the Earth"

The Hebrew Psalmist was surely not the only one to "consider thy heavens" and link them with the divine; long before the Israelites existed as a nation, the Egyptians placed the Sons of Horus in the heavens. In the Pyramid Texts the Egyptians identified the Sons of Horus among the circumpolar stars. In a spell advocating the preexistence of the Ka, various parts of the body (e.g. head, face, ears, eyes, nose, teeth) are identified with the various circumpolar stars: "Thy hand is Hapy and Duamutef. Inasmuch as thou biddest ascend to heaven thou ascendest. Thy two feet are Imsety and Qebhsenuef. Inasmuch as thou biddest descend to the Under-heaven<sup>209</sup> thou descendest." What we might have in Pyramid Text 215 is the first mention of the Big Dipper, or Great Wain, long before Homer; one is certainly reminded of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>208</sup>BD 17 vignette; the purposes of Petosiris 82:32-61 are "that thou mayest rest" and "that thou mayest see Re every day", in Lefebvre, *Tombeau de Petosiris*, 2:61-62.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>209</sup>This is written with the heaven sign (N1 in Gardiner's Sign List) upside down.

 $<sup>^{210}</sup>$ PT 215 (§§148-49); cf. PT 688 (§§2078-79).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>211</sup>Homer Iliad XVIII.487-89; Odyssey V.272-275. It should be noted that the Babylonians also referred to the Big Dipper as the wain or wagon in Sumerian times, the term mulmar-gid-da meaning "the long wagon" and representing the Big Dipper; see NUTBF 89 n. 313, 108, 110-111; as well as MUL.APIN I.i.15-18, II.i.68, in Hermann Hunger and David Pingree, MUL.APIN: An Astronomical Compendium in Cuneiform Beiheft 24 of AfO (Horn, Austria: Berger und Söhne, 1989), 22-23, 87, 137. The earliest suggested date for the composition of the MUL.APIN texts is about 2500 B.C. which would still be roughly contemporary with the Pyramid Texts depending on

Major. This link is likely for the rest of the deities mentioned, who are identified as circumpolar stars (*ihm-sk*); and the Big Dipper, even in those days was among the circumpolar stars. The four stars of the constellation Ursa Major, the Bonnet considered to be the Sons' of Horus original function, also represent the Opening of the Mouth instument

the chonology followed; see ibid., 9-12; NUTBF 2 n. 10; AAE 8, 33-35; Spencer, Death in Ancient Egypt, 18; Richard J. Gillings, Mathematics in the Time of the Pharaohs (Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, 1972, reprinted New York: Dover, 1982), 260; Peter J. Huber, "Astronomical Evidence for the Long and against the Middle and Short Chronologies," in Paul Ånström, ed. High, Middle or Low? (Gothenburg: Ånstroms, 1987), 5-17. At any rate, the earliest attested MUL.APIN text is 687 B.C., much later attested than the Pyramid Texts (Hunger and Pingree, MUL.APIN, 9); and the MUL.APIN text is more likely dated to 1350 B.C.; see NUTBF 34-49.

<sup>212</sup>See EAT 3:189. The identification is made on the ceiling of the tomb of Petosiris and nine stars of the constellation are so named: Isis, Imseti, Hapi, Duamutef, Qebhsenuef, M3n-it-f, Ir-dt-f, Ir-rn-f-ds-f, H3qw; see ibid., 3:65, 196-97. Cf. Mariette, Denderah, 4:pls. 51-55. Goff (Symbols in Ancient Egypt, 140) seems to think that the Sons of Horus represent the constellation Leo, but the passages he cites say nothing of the sort.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>213</sup>Giorgio de Santillana and Hertha von Dechend, Hamlet's Mill (Boston: Godine, 1969), 59, 141-46, especially the illustration opposite p. 142: The time when the Big Dipper will not be one of the circumpolar stars is yet future. The Egyptians thought of the Big Dipper as permanent; see Papyrus Harris I 42:6-7, in Wolja Erichsen, Papyrus Harris I: Hieroglyphische Transkription, vol. 5 of BA (Bruxelles: FERE, 1933), 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>214</sup>Called the <u>d3d3t h3 Wsir</u> "Council around Osiris" or the <u>hpš</u> mht "northern foreleg (Big Dipper)"; BD 17:35. The "seven glorified ones (3hw)" of the Big Dipper are Imseti, Hapy, Duamutef, Qebhsenuef, M3-it-f "He who sees his father", <u>Hr-bq-f</u> "He who is under the moriga tree", <u>Hr-hnty-n-irty</u> "Horus whose forehead has no eyes"; BD 17:38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>215</sup>RÄRG 315; GEA 218.

(stp, msht).216

Comparative evidence from other cultures must be used cautiously lest such seething brains, such shaping fantasies apprehend more than cool reason ever comprehends, and the scholar be accused of seeing Helen's beauty in a brow of Egypt. 217 Nevertheless, one might make a connection with the Chinese pi and ts'ung (also called the suan-ki and the yü-heng). These two jades objects appear to have been used in ancient China to sight the polar star. When the edge of the pi, which represented heaven, lined up with Ursa Major then looking through the ts'ung would locate the polar star. 218 The ts'ung also had room for another

<sup>216</sup>Cf. Haikal, Two Hieratic Funerary Papyri of Nesmin, 2:39 n. 116; CDME 118. See also, EAT 1:28, Plates 4-6, 8-9, 14, 16-21, 23-24; 3:184-89, Plate 1. This is not the only Old Kingdom association the Sons of Horus seem to have with the Opening of the Mouth ritual. PT 715 (§§2220-21); C. Juoco Bleeker, Hathor and Thoth: Two Key Figures of the Ancient Egyptian Religion, vol. 26 of Studies in the History of Religions (Leiden: Brill, 1973), 132-33; PT 368 (§§636-38), 364 (§§618-20), 544-47 (§§1338-42). The Opening of the Mouth goes back even earlier than the Old Kingdom; Otto, Das ägyptische Mundöffnungsritual, 2:4-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>217</sup>Actually, according to Eliade, the "very work" of a historian of religions is "to keep himself informed about the research of his colleagues, specialists in other areas, assimilating and confronting their findings, and finally integrating them in order to better understand" his own work (ibid., 90-91, 94, 93). Obviously, in this paper we have only the beginnings of such a work, and at this point, not even that, the first step being to note parallels. Also, we have made it a point of methodology not to go looking for parallels lest "in the night, imagining some fear, how easy is a bush supposed a bear!" We cannot help but think like Hippolyta that "all the story of the night told over, and all their minds transfigur'd so together, more witnesseth than fancy's images, and grows to something of great constancy; but howsoever, strange and admirable."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>218</sup>See Henri Michel, "Les Jades astronomiques chinois: Une hypothèse sur leur usage," Musées Rouyaux d'Art et d'Histoire Bulletin 31 (1947): 31-38; Henri Michel, "Astronomical Jades,"

pi circle on the lower end; thus both an upper and lower heaven could be represented, the square ts'ung representing the earth and its four quarters—even as early as the Pyramid Texts, the Sons of Horus are associated with the orientation of the four corners of the earth and used to orient the pyramid. The resultant Chinese device is a dead ringer for a set of chariot wheels, the chariot being a widely known motif for ascension into heaven. This would all be irrelavant if an eminent Egyptologist had not claimed similar things for the Pyramids of Egypt. This, however, is mere speculation—such tricks hath strong imagination—for there is at present no evidence for any connection between these coincedentally similar phenomena, and it is doubtful that any could ever be adduced.

Oriental Art 2 (1950): 156-59; Henri Michel, "Chinese Astronomical Jades," Popular Astronomy 58 (1950): 222-30; on similar polar sighting tubes in the western tradition, see Robert Eisler, "The Polar Sighting-Tube," Archives internationales d'histoire des sciences 2 (1949): 312-32. Many thanks to Michael Lyon for drawing my attention to this material as well as some of his unpublished refinements on Michel's thesis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>219</sup>See particularly Joachim Spiegel, *Das Auferstehungsritual* der *Unas-Pyramide*, vol. 23 of ÄA (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1971), 356, commenting on PT 254.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>220</sup>2 Kings 2:11; more on this later. For the Mesopotamian "chariot of the king of the gods" whose "name is Venus" see K9008 + 83-1-18,141, in W. G. Lambert, "A New Fragment from a List of Antediluvian Kings and Marduk's Chariot, " in Symbolae Biblicae et Mesopotamicae Francisco Mario Theodoro de Liagre Böhl Dedicatae (Leiden: Brill, 1973), 276-79.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>221</sup>See Edwards, *Pyramids of Egypt*, 105, 100, 275-80. This author has yet to discover whether one could actually see the polar stars from inside the pyramid.

The Sons of Horus permit mobility, 222 whether running the deceased's errands, or fetching "this boat which Khnum built, which is in this waterway of the htm bird."223 They are the four legs or beams holding up the boat of Sokaris; these legs are shaped like the sn sign, thus in carrying the boat of Sokaris (which equates with Osiris himself) in the festival of Sokaris, 224 "they support his life."225 In the Coffin Texts also, they not only bring the boat for the deceased (presumably for the Sokaris Festival), 226 but they are the four openings in the boat, 227 the "ribs" of the boat, 228 and the rowers of Hetep. 229 In this connection the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>222</sup>PT 215 (§§148-49); cf. GEA 217-18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>223</sup>PT 522 (§1228), 670 (§§1983-84).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>224</sup>PT 644-45 (§§1823-24), 648 (§§1828-29); cf. CT 397; PT 368 (§§636-38), 364 (§§618-20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>225</sup>C. Jouco Bleeker, Egyptian Festivals: Enactments of Religious Renewal, vol. 13 of Studies in the History of Religions (Leiden: Brill, 1967), 80-81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>226</sup>CT 397; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 150-51.

 $<sup>^{227}\</sup>mathrm{CT}$  398; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151.

<sup>228</sup>CT 404. This is repeated with lacunae, and reordering the epithets 2, 4, 1, 3, in CT 405; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151. The original order is used in BD 99:18. This last chapter is an astronomical text as is shown by the identification of the "mooring post" with the "Lady of the Two Lands"; BD 99:6; cf. de Santillana and von Dechend, Hamlet's Mill, 414-16; EAT 2:7. Neugebauer's and Parker's discussion of the hippopotamus constellation which holds the mooring post (ibid., 3:184-88, figs. 27-31) is lacking in this regard (ibid., 3:189-90). Also mentioned are the hps (BD 99:7; EAT 3:190-91), etc. The mnit, mooring post, being an hour star (ibid., 2:7, 36-46, 52-54) cannot be a circumpolar star.

Coffin Texts give them the following names: "He who plunders," "He who acts as a robber," "He who sees his father," and "He who makes his own names." In the Book of the Day (Ramses VI), they ride the solar bark with other dieties. Perhaps this is all best summarized at the end of the Opening of the Mouth ceremony from the Eighteenth Dynasty:

"O Sons of Horus, go under your father; bear ye him up! He shall not retreat from you, O Sons of Horus, go your father, bear ye him up! O N, Horus hath granted thee that his sons might be under thee, that they might bear thee and that thou mayest have strength through them. O Sons of Horus: Imseti, Hapi, Duamutef, and Qebhsenuef, go ye under your father, and bear ye him up! O N, they bear thee like Horus in the hnw-bark. He exalts thee as a god in thy name of Sokar. O N, thou are united like Upper and Lower Egypt, as Horus through whom thou art united."<sup>232</sup>

## "What, Are There Masques?"

The Sons of Horus are usually detected by their iconography.

The forms, however, under which they appear change over time. They

are not represented at all in the Old Kingdom, when the canopic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>229</sup>CT 466 (probably htp being a pun off hpt "oar"); cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151. Lüscher suggests that the Sons of Horus might be present, unlabeled, as the four rowers on the corners of the boat in Middle Kingdom ship models; UAK 16; cf. Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 235.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>230</sup>CT 404. This is repeated with lacunae, and reordering the epithets 2, 4, 1, 3, in CT 405; cf. Altenmüller, Synkretismus in den Sargentexten, 151. Note that those too are circumpolar stars; EAT 3:196-97.

 $<sup>^{231}</sup>$ Hr-wr, Hr-smsw and Ir-wpt-f- $\underline{d}$ s-f. Alexandre Piankoff, Le Livre du jour et de la nuit, BdE 13 (Cairo: IFAO, 1942), 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>232</sup>The scene is labeled "Causing the statue to be lifted to his burial; nine friends bear (it) upon their arms." Opening of the Mouth, scene 73, in Otto, Das ägyptische Mundöffnungsritual, 1:199-203, 2:165-66.

jars were simple jars. "Canopic jars with human-headed lids were an innovation of the Heracleopolitan Period," first appearing in a tomb dating from Dynasties 9-10.<sup>233</sup> The canopic jars of the First Intermeidate Period and the Middle Kingdom generally have human heads, <sup>234</sup> which may indicate that the Egyptians viewed their gods anthropomorphically; <sup>235</sup> however, the Sons of Horus are all depicted on contemporaneous coffin chests with falcon heads like Horus. <sup>236</sup> During the 18th Dynasty and even the 19th

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>233</sup>Edward Brovarski, *Canopic Jars*, 1. The oldest canopic jars in the Turin museum are nos. 19068 and 19069, both of which are XIIth Dynasty, and have human heads; see Dolzani, *Vasi Canopi*, 43-44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>234</sup>All having human heads according to David "Introduction," 10; Brovarski, *Canopic Jars*, 1. Exceptions in CCG 4705-6, 4978-79, 4986-88, 4998, 5000-1, in *RAC* 353-54, 383, 386-87, 390.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>235</sup>Another indication is Herodotus' statement concerning the one god of the Egyptians whom "the painters and sculptures draw (graphousi) and carve (glyphousi) " with animal parts "although they do not imagine him to really be that way but like the other gods;" (Herodotus Histories II.46.2.); cf. Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt: The One and the Many, 107-109, 124-25; Manetho, Ton Physion Epitome frag. 82, in Diogenes Laertius, Proem. §10. Sons of Horus provide a counter-argument for the notion that "of all the changes that occured in Egyptian imagery, the greatest was from purely animal forms, through half-human forms, to purely human Such changes went along with the evolution of an urban culture and an increase in population." V: "Pathways to the Gods," in Ancient Egypt: Virginia Lee Davis, Discovering its Splendors (Washington D. C.: National Geographic Society, 1978), Yet another example of how Egypt "rückläufig entwickelt" Siegfried Schott, "Nachwort," in Kurt Sethe, Vom Bilde zum Buchstaben: Die Entstehungsgeschichte der Schrift, vol. 12 of UGAA (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1939, reprint Hildesheim: Olms, 1964), 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>236</sup>See de Buck *Egyptian Coffin Texts*, 6:117; Altenmüller, *Synkretismus in den Sargtexten*, 150; also CCG 4740, in *RAC* 377-81, Plates LXXXIV-LXXXVI; and CCG 4980, in ibid., 383-84, Plates LXXXIX-XC.

Dynasty, the canopic jars generally still have human heads, 237 though the earliest examples where the heads vary according to the son of Horus concerned are "three canopics of the beginning of Dynasty 18 in New York." The pivotal Amarna Period where canopic jars has either human-headed or plain jar-lids, as they were in the Old Kingdom. "Immediately after the Amarna Period (the reign of Tutankhamun or Ai)" there begins to be a shift to depicting the Sons of Horus as four separate figures, each with its own head: Duamutef with the head of a jackal, Qebhsenuef with the head of a falcon, Imseti with the head of a human, and Hapi with the head of a baboon. The forms of the Sons of Horus were quite mutable, 242 for the Book of the Dead and other later funeral papyri depict the Sons of Horus all as Wd3t-eyes rowing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>237</sup>A good example of this is Cairo Museum entry no. SR41 = CCG 4251, a canopic jar of Sennedjem, which is human headed but dedicated to Duamutef; or the human headed canopic jar with a dedication to Hapy in C. N. Reeves, "Miscellanea Epigraphica," SAK 13 (1986): 167-68. The change in heads is dated to the Ramesside Period; AAE 221; GEA 217.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>238</sup>Brovarski, Canopic Jars, 3; see also Egyptian Collections in the British Museum, 147.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>239</sup>For what is supposed to be Akhenaton's canopic jars (which are human-headed), see Geoffrey T. Martin, "Notes on a Canopic Jar from King's Valley Tomb 55," *Mélanges Gamal Eddin Mokhtar*, 2 vols., vol. 97 of *BdE* (Cairo: IFAO, 1985), 2:111-24, plates I-III. For the plain jar lids, see N. de G. Davies, *The Rock Tombs of El Amarna*, 6 vols. (London: Egyptian Exploration Fund, 1903-08), 3:17 and plate XXIV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>240</sup>Ratié, *Papyrus de Neferoubenef*, 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>241</sup>For references see *supra* note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>242</sup>See UAK 16; Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 139.

oars with snakes attached;  $^{243}$  a motif described as early as the Coffin Texts.  $^{244}$  To show the wide variety of forms, we again resort to a chart (once again, s = standard form; x = exception):

Hapi Duamutef Qebehsenuef

Human s  $x^{245}$   $x^{246}$   $x^{247}$  Baboon  $x^{248}$  s  $x^{249}$  Jackal  $x^{250}$   $x^{251}$   $x^{252}$   $x^{253}$ 

Head Shape\Son of Horus Imseti

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>243</sup>BD 148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>244</sup>CT 404-5, 466.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>245</sup>CCG 4187 (Dynasty 26), in RAC 135-36, Jan Assman, Das Grab der Mutirdis, Band 6 of Grabung im Asasif 1963-1970, vol. 13 of AV (Mainz am Rhein: von Zabern, 1977), 94; Tafel 43, 45, Farbtafel A; Parma Museum 186 (Dynasty 26), in CEMAP 116, Tav. XXVII; Reeves, "Miscellanea Epigraphica," 167-68.

<sup>246</sup>CCG 4188 (Dynasty 26), in RAC 136-37; Leclant, Montouemhat, 124-27, Pl. XL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>247</sup>EAT 3:196; WKMAS 3959 (19/20 Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, Die Kanopen I, 133-38; WKMAS 9092 (Lybian), in ibid., 115-18; CCG 4189 (Dynasty 26), in RAC 137; Assman, Grab der Mutirdis, 94, Tafeln 43, 45, Farbtafel A; Papyrus Parma 104, in CEMAP 104, Tav. X.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>248</sup>Papyrus Parma 104 (Dynasty 18), in CEMAP 37; Tav. X.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>249</sup>WKMAS 3602 (22-25th Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, Die Kanopen I, 81--84; WKMAS 9093 (Lybian), in ibid., 119-22; David, Macclesfield Collection, E31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>250</sup>WKMAS 3957 (19/20th Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, *Die Kanopen I*, 123-28; Parma Museum 187 (26th Dynasty), in *CEMAP* 116, Tav. XXVII; CCG 4237 (Roman period), in *RAC* 165-66.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>251</sup>WKMAS 3603 (22-25th Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, *Die Kanopen I*, 85-88; WKMAS ÄS 8284 (late 26th Dynasty), in ibid., 71-75; Lutz, *Statues*, Pl. I; Leclant, *Montouemhat*, 124 n. 1, Pls. XXXVII-XXXVIII.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>252</sup>"consistently jackal-headed" EAT 3:196.

 $<sup>^{253}</sup>$ WKMAS 3578 (22-25 Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, *Die Kanopen I*, 49-52; CCG 4225 (19th Dynasty), in *RAC* 158-59; CCG 4163 (19-20th Dynasty), in ibid., 118-19; CCG 4182 (22-25th? Dynasty), in ibid.,

Falcon	$x^{254}$	$x^{255} x^{256}$	s
Lion	x <sup>257</sup>	$x^{258}$	$x^{259}$
Fish			$x^{260}$

Sometimes, even in the later period, the Sons of Horus may still be represented as all human, <sup>261</sup> there is even one representation of the Sons of Horus where they are shown as a jackal, a falcon, a bull and a snake; <sup>262</sup> and two canopic jars in the Cairo Museum

<sup>131-32;</sup> CCG 4178 (23-26th Dynasty), in ibid., 129.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>254</sup>WKMAS 9066 (26th Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, *Die Kanopen II*, 95-99; WKMAS 9095 (26th Dynasty), in Reiser-Haslauer, *Die Kanopen II*, 125-29; CCG 4164 (19th-20th Dynasty), in *RAC* 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>255</sup>Rosalie David, Macclesfield Collection of Egyptian Antiquities (Warminster, Wits: Aris and Phillips, 1980), E30 (Dynasty 18); Papyrus Parma 104 (Dynasty 18), in CEMAP 37, Tav. X; Goff, Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 223 citing Piankoff, Mythological Papyri 22, vingette 2, p. 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>256</sup>"falcon-headed as usual [sic!]" *EAT* 3:199; Cairo Museum CG 4224 (NK), in *RAC* 157-58; CCG 4181 (22-25th? Dynasty), in ibid., 130-31; CCG 4177 (23-25th Dynasty), in ibid., 128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>257</sup>EAT 3:196.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>258</sup>EAT 3:196.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>259</sup>"Qebehsenouf est représenté avec une tête de lion, au lieu d'une tête de faucon ce qui est, semble-t-il, le seul exemple connu." Ratié, *Papyrus de Neferoubenef*, 23, Pl. III, BD 151A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>260</sup>"Qebehsenuf erscheint meist als Fisch (Tilapia);" Assman, Grab der Mutirdis, 94 n. 98 (Dynasty 26); Ingrid Gamer-Walkert, Fische und Fischkulte im alten Ägypten, Band 21 of ÄA (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1970), 123-24; LeClant, Montouemhat, 125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>261</sup>See BD 151, in Lepsius, *Todtenbuch der Ägypter*, Plate LXXIV. This is particularly noteworthy because Chapters 17 and 148 (Plates VII, IX, LXX) show the Sons of Horus with their typically variagated zoocephalic heads.

 $<sup>\</sup>rm ^{262}Coffin$  cover in the Rosacrucian Museum in San Jose. No museum number was given for this Ptolemaic piece.

have bull-headed stoppers.<sup>263</sup> Thus the Egyptians established a precedent for mutating the forms of these dieties, just as they modify the forms of others.

A "magical"<sup>264</sup> papyrus from the fourth century A.D. contains a reference to the sons of Horus in their aspect as wind deities. Although their names have changed, they are still recognizable:

"[Come] to me, lord Hermes, like the children to the womb of wom[e]n. Come to me, lord Hermes, gather the foods of gods and men to me, N. O lord Hermes give me grace, food, daily victory, elegance of form, strength over everything and all the names which thou hast in heaven: Lamfthenouôthi, Ouasthenouôthi, Oamenôth, Enomouch. These are those which I know in the four corners of the sky and also the forms which thou hast. In the east thou hast the form of an ibis; in the Lybia<sup>265</sup> thou hast the form of a dog-faced animal; in the north thou hast the form of a snake, in the south thou hast the form of a wolf. Thy plants are the grape, the date-palm, and the olive.<sup>266</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>263</sup>CCG 4725 and 4726, in RAC 359.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>264</sup>"Magic" is a loaded term, pejoratively applied to material which is often not understood by the scholars who make the appellation; see David E. Aune, "Magic in Early Christianity," Aufsteig und Niedergang der römischen Welt (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1980), II.23.2:1509-13; Sam Eitrem and Johan Harm Croon, "Magic," The Oxford Classical Dictionary, 637-38. For sundry definitions, see Morton Smith, Jesus the Magician (San Francisco: Harper and Brothers, 1978), 68-80, 139; Robert Alter, The Art of Biblical Narrative (New York: Basic Books, 1981), 106-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>265</sup>The word here, λιβι, is the etacised form of λιβυη "the west bank of the Nile" according to Henry George Liddell, Robert Scott, Henry Stuart Jones and Roderick MacKenzie, A Greek-English Lexicon, 9th ed. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1968), 1047. On etacism see Anna Elbina Morpurgo Davies, "Pronunciation, Greek," Oxford Classical Dicationary, 884.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>266</sup>British Museum Papyrus 122.2-12 (= PGM VIII.1-2), in F. G. Kenyon, *Greek Papyri in the British Museum* (London: British Museum, 1893, reprinted Milano: Cisalpino-Goloardica, 1973), 116. Edward N. O'Neil has mistranslated this passage in Hans Dieter Betz, ed., *The Greek Magical Papyri in Translation including the Demotic Spells* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1986), 145.

The Sons of Horus are present in this Greek magical papyrus but they appear in altered form. Hermes, the Greek Thoth, <sup>267</sup> is a wind god with whom the four winds are here equated. This parallels the sons of Horus who are equated with Thoth in his aspect of the wind god. <sup>268</sup> The association with the internal organs and the corners of the earth are also present. Other scholars have noticed the changing of names and forms of the Sons of Horus according to the nation and time period, <sup>269</sup> but here we will look only at one other development of this phenomenon.

No Near Eastern civilization exists in a complete cultural vacuum. As sure as there were exchanges of goods, 270 ideas were also exchanged across national boundaries. Beginning with the New

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>267</sup>Herodotus, *Histories* II.51.1, 4; Plato, *Phaedrus* 59 (274C-275B); Aristoxenus Tarentius, *De arithm.*, in Stobaeus, *Eclogai*; Cicero, *De natura deorum* 22 (56); Philo Byblius in Eusebius, *Praeparatio evangelica* I.9.24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>268</sup>"Thoth n'est souvent pas autre chose que le dieu du vent." Naville, "Le dieu Thoth et les points cardinaux," 28; Constant De Wit, "Les Génies des Quatre Vents au Temple d'Opet," *CdE* 32 (1957): 25-39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>269</sup>When foreign gods are imported into or exported from Egypt, their names often change; see Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt, 257, 166-68; Alessandro Roccati, "Une Légende égyptienne d'Anat," RdE, 24 (1972): 154, 158-59; Manetho, fragment 80, in Plutarch, De Iside et Osiride 28. For foreign cults and their proliferation in New Kingdom times, see Ashraf Iskander Sadek, Popular Religion in Egypt during the New Kingdom, vol. 27 of HÄB (Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, 1987), 152-61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>270</sup>For Predynastic times see Barbara Adams, *Predynastic Egypt*, 33 (Mesopotamia), 37 (Nubia), 43 (Syria), 53, 57 (Mesopotamia), 60 (Susiana and Afghanistan!), 66, 68-70 (Syro-Palestine). For Old Kingdom contacts with Cyprus, see W. Max Müller, "Foreigners Importing Tin into Ancient Egypt, about 2500 B.C." *Egyptological Researches*, 3 vols. (Washington D.C.: Carnegie Instituion, 1906), 1:5-8.

Kingdom, and possibly earlier, Egypt's history is continually swirled with that of other countries in the whirlpool of events.<sup>271</sup> Thus, it is only natural to find some of Egypt's art and culture migrating outward like the ripples of a rock tossed into a body of water; and the iconography of the Sons of Horus meanders into some strange waters once it leaves Egypt—a phenomenon we are finally in a position to trace.

Israel, Egypt's closest neighbor to the north--dispite some of the polemic against Egypt in her records<sup>272</sup>--clearly borrowed many things from Egypt,<sup>273</sup> particularly during period when Egypt and the Israelite kingdoms of Judah and Israel were close politic-

<sup>271</sup>In general, see Gardiner, Egypt of the Pharaohs, 178-79, 189-209; AAE 42-46; David O'Connor, "New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Period, 1552-664 BC," in B. G. Trigger, et al., Ancient Egypt: A Social History (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 203-4, 210; Walter Burkert, Greek Religion, tr. John Raffan (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1985), 24. For contact with the Aegean, see Burkert, Greek Religion, 20; T. B. Mitford, The Inscriptions of Kourion (Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society, 1971), 16-17, 40-42. For Babylonia, see The Biography of Ahmose son of Ebana, 36-37, in Kurt Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie = Urk 4 (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1906), 9; Smith, "An Egyptian in Babylonia," JEA (1934): . For Assyria, see J. V. Kinnier Wilson, The Nimrud Wine Lists (London: The British School of Archaeology in Iraq, 1972), 62-63, 91, 138, plate 20, line 19'; CAD 6:116; AHw 328. For Mitanni, see the Letter from Tushratta to Amenophis III (VAT 422), Die Tontaflen von El-Amarna, vol. XII of Voderasiatische Schriftdenkmäler, 35-55, no. 200. For Hatti, see KBO V, 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>272</sup>E.g. Exodus 1-15; Isaiah 19-20; Jeremiah 42-44, 46; Ezekiel 20:5-25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>273</sup>For example, compare Isaiah 7:1-2 with the *iw.tw* formulas as discussed in Anthony John Spalinger, Aspects of the Military Documents of the Ancient Egyptians, vol. 9 of Yale Near Eastern Researches (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1982), 1-33. Compare and caontrast with Lugale 20-80, in J. van Dijk, LUGAL UD ME-LÁM-bi NIR-ĜÂL, 2 vols. (Leiden: Brill, 1983), 2:32-52.

ally. One of these times of political proximity immediately preceded the Babylonian captivity of the kingdom of Judah when Egypt, under Sheshong, installed one of Judah's kings, whom Babylon was later to replace at the beginning of the first wave of the Babylonian exile.<sup>274</sup>

Ezekiel, one of the first exiles to leave Judah to go off to Babylon, 275 having been born in Jerusalem and having been old enough to have had some first hand knowledge of the temple at Jerusalem, and its cult, 276 would also have been aware of the Egyptian influence. In Babylonian captivity on the Cheber river, away from the cultural centers of Mesopotamia, 277 he describes a vision of a firey whirlwind 278 and four beasts with the faces of a man, a lion, a bull and an eagle. 279 In this passage, which many commentators either admit they do not understand or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>274</sup>2 Kings 23:29-24:7; 2 Chronicles 35:20-36:13; Jeremiah 42-43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>275</sup>Ezekiel 1:1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>276</sup>For indications of this, see Ezekiel 8, 40-48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>277</sup>Ezekiel 1:1. The Cheber river is a fair distance from Babylon proper. "As captive domiciled away from the great centers of culture, Ezekiel had little opportunity to study the artwork of Babylonian temples or witness the grand processions of the gods." Greenberg, Ezekiel, 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>278</sup>Cf. BD 162:8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>279</sup>Ezekiel 1:4-14. Robert Eisler ("The Polar Sighting-Tube," 329-30 n. 49, 331 n. 56) connects this passage with astronomical observation in general and the polar sighting tube in particular.

delete, <sup>280</sup> Ezekiel seems to use the motif in his visions modified by substituting the ox and the lion for the jackal and the baboon. <sup>281</sup> The bull is a symbol of divinity, immortality, sacrifice, "life giving power", and the Messiah, though "one gets the impression . . . that the bull as symbol had much more importance than the bull with any special name or function; "<sup>282</sup> and furthermore as Jewish symbols, "the lion and the bull had a similar meaning, since they are interchangeable." <sup>283</sup> Additionally, neither the jackal nor the baboon are indigenous to Israel whereas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>280</sup>D. M. G. Stalker, Ezekiel: Introduction and Commentary SCM Press, 1968), 45; John B. Taylor, Ezekiel: Introduction and Commentary (London: Tyndale, 1969), 55; John W. Wevers, Ezekiel, The Century Bible (London: Nelson, 1969), 45-46; Eichrodt, Ezekiel: A Commentary (Philadelphia: Westminster, 1970), 55; Eduardo Zurro, Luis Alonso Schökel, José María Valverde and Ernesta Vogt, Ezequiel, vol. IV,8 of Los Libro Sagrados (Madrid: Ediciones Cristiandad, 1971), 22-23; Keith W. Carley, The Book of the Prophet Ezekiel (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1974), 13; Walther Zimmerli, Ezekiel 1, tr. Ronald E. Clements, Hermeneia -- A Critical and Historical Commentary on the Bible (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1979), 101-4; Ronald M. Hals, Ezekiel, vol. 19 of The Forms of the Old Testament Literature (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Eerdmans, 1989), 13-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>281</sup>Ezekiel 1:5-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>282</sup>Goodenough, Jewish Symbols in the Greco-Roman Period, 8:28, 6. For other interpretations, see E. W. Hengstenberg, Die Weissagungen des Propheten Ezekiel für solch die in der Schrift forschen (Berlin: Schlawitz, 1867), 16-17; Stalker, Ezekiel, 45-46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>283</sup>Erwin R. Goodenough, Jewish Symbols in the Greco-Roman Period, 13 vols. (New York: Bollingen, 1958), 8:5-6, 28; the discussion covers the earlier period as well; the quote is from p. 5; cf. C. N. Deeds, "The Labyrinth," in S. H. Hooke, The Labyrinth (London: Society for the Promoting Christian Knowledge, 1935), 7. Dr. Keller objects to citing Goodenough or implying that any Egyptian symbolism was ever used in Judaism; but Goff (Symbols of Ancient Egypt, 116, 278 n. 166) does the same thing.

the lion and bull are.<sup>284</sup> Though it is common to attribute the beasts in Ezekiel to Mesopotamia, <sup>285</sup> the motif is not to be found in Mesopotamian sources: "Multiplication of faces in the manner of Ezekiel's creatures is, however, extremely rare. . . Janus (=two)-faced gods are more common. . . . However, the symbolism of four distinct faces must be different, and for that we have no analogues." <sup>286</sup> Four faced gods with different faces are not, however, unknown in Egypt, <sup>287</sup> which some commentators consider a source of the Ezekiel passage. <sup>288</sup>

Ezekiel later takes up the resurrection motif and the association of the canopic figures with the four winds and combines these with the breath of life motif<sup>289</sup> in a way which somewhat

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>284</sup>See Fauna and Flora of the Bible, 2nd. ed. (London: United Bible Societies, 1980), 50-51, 62-63; note that the presence of the ape could be a problem (ibid. 4), but the baboon is never mentioned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>285</sup>S. Fisch, Ezekiel (London: Soncino, 1957), 4; Taylor, Ezekiel, 55; Carley, Book of the Prophet Ezekiel, 15. The understanding of Zurro, et al. (Ezequiel, 22-23), is not possible given the Hebrew text: "A imagen de ellos se imaginan los discípulos de Ezequiel unos querubines cuadrimorfos: cara de hombré, alas de águila, cuerpo de léon, pezuñas de toro."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>286</sup>Moshe Greenberg, *Ezekiel 1-20*, vol. 22 of *The Anchor Bible* (Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1983), 55-56. Cf. Eichrodt, *Ezekiel*, 55.

 $<sup>^{287}</sup>$ E.g. Turin Museum 16346/C 2326, a hypocephalus whose central figure has the heads of two rams, a cat and a Seth animal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>288</sup>Hans Ferdinand Fuhs, Ezekiel 1-24, Die Neue Echter Bible (Würzberg: Echter Verlag, 1984), 23-24; Taylor, Ezekiel, 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>289</sup>Genesis 2:7.

parallels Egyptian Texts.<sup>290</sup> Ezekiel is shown a valley of bones, from which "very dry bones" are resurrected following the Egyptian resurrection motif.<sup>291</sup> Not much has been made of this connection although Grenfell noted it back in 1906.<sup>292</sup> Although the iconography (if only the literary references thereto) may have been borrowed from Egypt, much—though not all—of the associated symbolism perished in the translation. The ideas associated with the Jewish symbol are not those of the Egyptian symbol even if the symbol is borrowed, for the symbol is adapted as it is translated across cultural boundaries.<sup>293</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>290</sup>PT 373; Cf. CT 519, reconstructed as follows: "Words spoken: Hail Osiris, the nomarch, N. Knit thyself upon thy bones; unite thy flesh; his members are the king, the god. He does not molder; he does not have an evil odor [Hw3, see James Henry Breasted, The Edwin Smith Surgical Papyrus, 2 vols., vol. 3 of The University of Chicago Oriental Institute Publications (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1930), 549.]; he will not perish... comes forth from the nostrils of Seth; the wind of heaven perishes; she indeed perishes being in thy mouth; the stars perish when they are not in thy mouth. My children are thy flesh for life, that thou mayest live the life of the stars for thy turn of life."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>291</sup>Ezekiel 37:1-10.

 $<sup>^{292}</sup>$ Alice Grenfell, "Egyptian Mythology and the Bible," The Monist 16 (1906): 184-94. Grenfell says that this connection was suggested by J. G. Wilkinson in 1841 but I have not been able to trace this down to verify this fact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>293</sup>An effect familiar in other contexts; see Hornung, Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt, 125-26; "The reception of alien tradition may, of course, always involve the creation of new meanings." Burkert, Greek Religion, 24. Syncretism, or importation of foreign elements into a culture, occurs not only with foreign contact but more especially with the political deportation into foreign territory, and thus deported peoples are more likely to graft something new into their cultural patterns; see Gordon Thomasson, "Togetherness is Sharing an Umbrella: Divine Kingship, the Gnosis, and Religious Syncretism," By Study and Also By Faith, ed. John M. Lundquist and Stephen Ricks, 2 vols. (Salt Lake City:

The same motif also appears in the Christian canon toward the end of the first century A.D. in the Revelation of John, where he sees "four beasts, . . . the first beast, like a lion, and the second beast like an ox and the third beast having a face like a man, and the fourth beast like a flying eagle." The motif is also reflected in the "four angels standing upon the four corners of the earth, holding the four winds of the earth." 295

Irenaeus of Lyon, a Christian writer of the late second century, picked up the four beasts motif and used it against the Gnostics to prove that "the Gospels can neither be greater or lesser in number than they are. For, since there are four areas of the world in which we are, and four universal winds, and the Church is scattered over the whole world, . . . therefore it follows that she has four pillars, exhaling incorruptibility from all sides, and reviving men."<sup>296</sup> He then proceeds to quote the aforementioned passage in the Revelation of John and equates the lion with John, the bull with Luke, the man with Matthew and the eagle with

Deseret Book, 1990), 1:538-42. The implications of this for the passage in question is that Ezekiel, having been deported from Judah to Babylon, is more likely to graft something outside his cultural milieu into his work; but being a religious conservative, it is also likely that he would import something from a culture other than that of the one he is imported into.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>294</sup>Revelation 4:6-7. Cf. Carley, Book of the Prophet Ezekiel, 15. As we shall see, the connection was known to the mediaeval commetators also.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>295</sup>Revelation 7:1-2; cf. Matthew 24:31; Mark 13:27 where the "chosen" are gathered from the four winds by messengers (angelous).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>296</sup>Irenaeus, Contra Haereses III.9.8.

Mark.<sup>297</sup> From Irenaeus this motif spread throughout the Christian world, equating this revised version of the canopic jars with the four evangelists and each with a corner of the earth, although somehow the identifications changed to where Matthew was the man; Mark, the lion; Luke, the bull; and John, the eagle.<sup>298</sup>

The Coptic Christians took over the four beasts as part of their Christian heritage without completely forgetting the original Egyptian meaning. They considered the four beasts to be in a class of angels by themselves.<sup>299</sup> They connected them with Michael,<sup>300</sup> just as their forebears had connected the Sons of Horus with Atum.<sup>301</sup> These beasts stood close in the presence of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>297</sup>Irenaeus, Contra Haereses III.9.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>298</sup>This is an extremely wide-spread motif. A few examples of this which the author has observed include the facade of the Church of the Annunciation in Nazareth, the Pfarramt of the St. Andreas Church in Hildesheim, the ceiling of Bottecelli's chapel in Firenza, the silver baptismal basin in the Skattskammer Stockholm, as well as the design on the pulpit of the Royal chapel above the Skattskammer. The individual who desires representations from the Greek Orthodox world should see Georgios Angelos Kosmologikos Symbolismos sten Prokopiou, Architektonike Byzantinou Naou (Athens: Pyrinos Kosmos, 1982), 120-22; Pinakades III, IV, VII; Eikones 76, 79, 82. For the manuscript tradition, see Carl Nordenfalk, "An Illustrated Diatessaron," The Art Bulletin 50/2 (1968): 125-26, 130-35, figs. 6-8, 14-28; note that in this Syriac tradition, the assignment of the beasts to the evangelists is non-standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>299</sup>"Für den Kopten handelt es sich hier ganz selbstverständlich um eine besondere Engelklasse." C. Detlef G. Müller, Die Engellehre der koptischen Kirche (Wiesbaden: Harrosowitz, 1959), 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>300</sup>Ibid., 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>301</sup>Spiegel, Auferstehungsritual der Unas-Pyramide, 177.

God, <sup>302</sup> so much so that "the four beasts are intimately connected with God." <sup>303</sup> They had a special feast day on the 8th of Athôr<sup>304</sup> (November 4), <sup>305</sup> at which time the priest gave long sermons on them. <sup>306</sup> From Coptic Egypt they made their way to England. <sup>307</sup> Some scholars while noting the identification of the beasts and the evangelists have not connected the beasts with their Egyptian origin, <sup>308</sup> usually citing instead the cherubim and seraphim, <sup>309</sup> "the sirens and cupids of antiquity", <sup>310</sup> or magic as their origin. <sup>311</sup>

<sup>302</sup> große Nähe zu Gottes Thron, Müller, Engellehre den koptischen Kirche, 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>303</sup>Ibid., 83.

<sup>304</sup> Ibid., 84. Note the antiquity of the date in the inscription cited in Brugsch, Geographie des alten Aegyptens, 36.

<sup>305</sup> If the equivalences between the Egyptian calendar and our own given in Edgar J. Goodspeed and Ernest Cadman Colwell, A Greek Papyrus Reader (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1935), 83 are accurate.

 $<sup>^{306}</sup>$ Müller, Engellehre der koptischen Kirche, 84; Müller lists quite a few of these homilies (usually attributed to John Chrysostom) on the subject but most of them are unpublished and in Arabic; see ibid., 270-272; the one published example which appears to be the most important is Kitâb at-ta<sup>c</sup>azâ ar-rû hîya (Kairo, 1642). I have been unable to examine these texts myself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>307</sup>Müller, Engellehre den koptischen Kirche, 84.

<sup>308</sup> Ibid., 83, 99 (citing Mercer and Budge!); Hornung, Ancient Egyptian Conceptions of God, 124-25.

<sup>309</sup> Müller, Engellehre den koptischen Kirche, 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>310</sup>Prokopios, Kosmologikos Symbolismos sten Architektonike tou Byzantinou Naou, 121.

<sup>311&</sup>quot;Ihre Quelle ist die Magie." Müller, Engellehre den koptischen Kirche, 84.

Magic, though doubtful as a source for the Judeo-Christian iconography, is connected with perhaps the latest incarnation of the Sons of Horus. The great mediaeval scholar Snorri Strurluson records in his Heimskringla that the rapacious Danish king Harald Gormsson, after pirating the contents of an Icelandic trading vessel, found himself the object of the Icelanders retaliatory jests. Therefore, he bid a wizard (kunngum manni) travel to Iceland to reconnoitre the place preliminary to the impending The wizard sallied forth as a whale (hvalsliki) invasion. circumambulating the island widdershins and trying to sail up each of the major landmarks which correspond roughly to the four points the compass (Vápnafjörð, Eyjafjörð, Breiðafjörð, and Víkarsskeiði). At each attempt, he was prevented from entry into the country by one of the chief landvættir accompanied by similar but smaller versions of the same thing: a dragon, an eagle, a bull, and a mountain giant. The wizard's reconnaissance report to King Harald resulted in the armada of the latter withdrawing to Denmark. 312 The landvættir are also explicitely connected with the four administrative districts of Iceland here whence come the creatures surrounding the shield on the official coat of arms of Iceland (Figure 7).313 Snorri, being both literate

<sup>312</sup> Snorri Sturluson, Óláfs Saga Tryggvarsonar 33, in Snorri Sturluson Heimskringla I, vol. 26 of Íslenzk Fornrit (Reykjavík: Íslenzka Fornritafélag, 1941), 270-72.

<sup>313</sup>A. Guy Hope and Janet Barker Hope, Symbols of the Nations (Washington, D. C.: Public Affairs Press, 1973), 126-27; Paul Schach, Icelandic Sagas (Boston: Twayne, 1984), 16-17.

Christian, <sup>314</sup> would likely have known of the Biblical parallel; more than one scholar of the Norse favors the view that "Snorri's inspiration for this story about the four fetches, which at the same time are the chief guardian spirits of the country (landvættir), came from a homily which the historian probably heard read each year on the feast of Saint John the Evangelist." <sup>315</sup> This homily relates how, "Ezekiel who was a prophet (spā-maðr) long before the birth of Christ saw the same creatures in heaven that John himself saw in heaven." <sup>316</sup> The only difference between the beasts of the Judeo-Christian tradition and the guardian spirits of Iceland is that the lion has been replaced by a dragon. <sup>317</sup>

This is not to suggest that just any group of four beasts in any culture are connected with or derived from the Sons of Horus; the four supernatural creatures of China, the Sì Ling, are cer-

<sup>314</sup> See Snorri Sturluson, *Gylfaginning*, prologue. On the Christianization of Iceland in general see Ari Þorgilsson, *Islendingabók*, sub A.D. 1000; *Brennu-Njáls Saga* 100-105; *Laxdæla Saga* 40-41.

<sup>315</sup> Paul Schach, "Symbolic Dreams of Future Renown in Old Icelandic Literature," Mosaic 4/4 (1971): 60, with references.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>316</sup>"Đvi at Ezechiel spa-mað er mycclu var fyrir burð Crist sa þesse en sæmo cyqvende á himni sem Iohannes sa í himna syn sinni." Gamal Norsk Homiliebok: Cod. Am 619 4°, Gustav Indrebø, ed. (Oslo: Kjeldeskriftfondet, 1931), 48. The entire homily is pertinent here; ibid., 47-50.

<sup>317</sup>The lion, vargr-dyr or here oarga dyr "wolf-animal", was not unknown in Norse literature, but it was not known very well, always being referred to as an imported rarity; see Marianne E. Kalinke, Bridal-Quest Romance in Medieval Iceland, vol. 46 of Islandica (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1990), 57-58, 137. The homily must explain that a lion "er stærcst er allra dyra (is the strongest of all animals)" Gamal Norsk Homiliebok, 48.

"there are few absolute beginnings in literary history, but there is endless transformation." <sup>319</sup>

In sum, the original function of the Sons of Horus was to assist the deceased to travel through the heavens. There is no evidence to connect the Sons of Horus with the canopic jars until the First Intermediate Period. In later times the deceased gave forth his own exta to obtain this revelatory tour of the heavens in a peculiarly Egyptian twist on the usual extispicy; in this way mummification can be seen as sacrifice "after the manner of the Egyptians". The organs were only out on loan; for giving them his all, the deceased was assured of resurrection when the sons of Horus would restore that which had been removed. Finally, as the Egyptian sun god changes his form from Kheperi in the morning through Re at noon to Atum in the evening, 320 so throughout history the Sons of Horus have changed their guise thrusting their heads into the public street to gaze on Christian fools with varnished faces.

<sup>318</sup>On the Sì Líng, see William Frederick Mayers, The Chinese Reader's Manual, (London, Probsthain and Shanghai: American Presbyterian Mission Press, 1910), 43-44, 100-1, 136, 151-53, 327. Many thanks to Theron Stanford for having pointed out this source.

<sup>319</sup> Clive Staples Lewis, The Allegory of Love: A Study in Medieval Tradition (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1936), 234.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>320</sup>John Wilson, "The God and his Unknown Name of Power," in James B. Pritchard, ed., Ancient Near Eastern Texts relating to the Old Testament, 3rd ed. (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1969), 13.

## Table of Abbreviations

- ÄA Ägyptologische Abhandlungen.
- AAE John Baines and Jaromír Málek, Atlas of Ancient Egypt (New York: Facts on File, 1980).
- AEL Miriam Lichtheim, Ancient Egyptian Literature, 3 vols. (Berkeley: University of Californian Press, 1973-80).
- AEO Alan H. Gardiner, Ancient Egyptian Onomastica, 2 vols. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1947).
- ÄF Ägyptologische Forschungen.
- AfO Archiv für Orientforschung.
- AHw Wolfram von Soden, Akkadische Handwörterbuch, 3 vols. (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1965-81).
- APAW Abhandlungen der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- AV Archäologische Veröffentlichungen
- BA Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca.
- BD Book of the Dead; unless otherwise indicated in Richard Lepsius, Das Todtenbuch der Ägypten nach dem hieroglyphischen Payprus in Turin (Leipzig: Georg Wigand, 1842); and Thomas George Allen, The Book of the Dead or Going Forth by Day vol. 37 of SAOC (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1974).
- BdE Bibliothéque d'Etudes.
- BSEG Bulletin de la Société d'Egyptologie Genève.
- CAA Corpus Antiquitatem Aegyptiacarum (Mainz am Rhein: Philipp von Zabern).
- CAD The Assyrian Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 21 vols. (Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin, 1956-).
- CCG Cairo Museum Catalogue Generale.
- CdE Chronique d'Égypte.
- CDME Faulkner, Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian (Oxford: Griffith Institute, 1962).
- CEMAP Giuseppe Botti, I Cimeli Egizi del Museo de Anticità di Parma, vol. IX of Studi (Firenze: LeoS. Olschki, 1964)
- CIT Canopic Inscription Typus in GEA 1\*-16\*.
- CT Coffin Texts; see Adriaan de Buck The Egyptian Coffin Texts, 8 vols. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1935-62).
- DLE Leonard H. Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian, 5 vols. (Berkeley, California and Providence, Rhode Island: B. C. Scribe Publications, 1982-90).
- EAT Otto Neugebauer and Richard A. Parker, Egyptian Astronomical Texts, 3 vols. (Providence, Rhode Island: Brown University Press, 1960-69).
- FERE Fondation Egyptologique Reine Elizabeth.
- GEA Kurt Sethe, "Zur Geschichte der Einbalsamierung bei den Ägyptern und einiger damit verbundener Bräuche," SPAW (April 26, 1934): 211-39, 1\*-16\* reprinted in Leipziger und berliner Akademieschriften (1902-1934), vol. 11 of Opuscula (Leipzig: Zentralantiquariat der Deutschen Demokratischen Republik, 1976).
- GM Göttinger Miszellen.
- GO Göttinger Orientforschungen.

HÄB Hildesheimer Ägyptologische Beiträge.

HE Historia Ecclesiastica.

IE Improvement Era.

IFAO Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

JARCE Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt.

JEA Journal of Egyptian Archaeology.

KBo Keilschrifttexte aus Boghazköi.

LdÄ Lexikon der Ägyptologie, 7 vols. (Wiesbaden: Otto Harras-sowitz, 1977).

MÄS Münchner ägyptologische Studien.

MK Middle Kingdom.

NK New Kingdom.

NUTBF Johannes Koch, Neue Untersuchungen zur Topographie des babylonischen Fixsternhimmels (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1989)

OBO Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis.

OK Old Kingdom.

OMRO Oudheidkundige mededeelingen uit het Rijksmuseum van Oudheden te Leiden.

PGM Karl Preisendanz, Papyri Graecae Magicae (Die griechischen Zauberpapyri) (Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1931).

PT Pyramid Texts.

RAC George Andrew Reisner and Mohammed Hassan Abd-ul-Rahman, Canopics, Catelogue Général des Antiquités Egyptiennes (Caire: Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, 1967).

RÄRG Hans Bonnet, Reallexikon der ägyptischen Religionsgeschichte (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1952).

RdE Revue d'Egyptologie.

SAK Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur.

SAOC Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization.

SE Shire Egyptology.

SPAW Sitzungsberichte der preussichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-historische Klasse.

UAK Barbara Lüscher, Untersuchungen zu ägyptischen Kanopenkästen: vom Alten Reich bis zum Ende der Zweiten Zwischenzeit, vol. 31 of HÄB (Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, 1990).

UGAA Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Atertumskunde Ägyptens.

Urk. Urkunden des aegyptischen Altertums.

WKMAS Wien Kunsthistorisches Museum Ägyptisch-orientalische Sammlung

ZÄS Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde.

## Guide to the Figures

Figure 1- A typical Old Kingdom canopic jar, devoid of decoration and inscription. This particular jar comes from the Tomb of Meruka at Giza. If the Sons of Horus were connected with the canopic jars in the Old Kingdom, we have no evidence for it. From Selim Hassan, Excavations at Giza 1929-1930 (Oxford: University Press, 1932), Plate XLII redrawn by Michael Lyon.

Figure 2- This priest wears the jackal mask so that he can officiate as Anubis. The mask is awkward and difficult to see out of, so his friend must guide him. Though the illustration is Ptolemaic, the practice goes back to the 5th Dynasty: "Thy hand, arms, belly, back, rear, and legs are like Atum [who is always depicted as a human], but thy face is like Anubis" (PT 213 §135). From Auguste Edouard Mariette, Dendérah, 4 vols. (Paris, 1870-74, reprinted Hildesheim: Olms, 1981), 4:Plate 31.

Figure 3- If the Sons of Horus insured the uprightness of the deceased, they also had their sinister side. Here they help ritually administer the blows of death on Seth. Ptolemaic Period, from the temple at Denderah. From Mariette, Dendérah, 4:Plate 56.

Figure 4- Papyrus Leiden I 384 verso Column 17. A lion couch scene with the perfectly legible Greek inscription, "Let Abraham who . . . upon . . . be dumbfounded" immediately under it. The figure on the couch is female, and the text when legible reads "Incinerate N to ashes. . . . Burn N until she comes into my, N's, presence, quickly, quickly, haste, haste, I adjure you, gods of the dead, by the dead kings and the demon Baal-shammayim (Lord of the heavens), and the jackal headed god and gods with him." (PGM 12:479-96; cf. Abraham 1:11). Redrawn by John Gee.

Figure 5- The four birds represent the Sons of Horus, heralding the accession of Ramses II, in the Festival of Min at the Ramesseum (19th Dynasty). The text above the birds, in typical Egyptian fashion—of inscription all compact—reads: "Imseti, go south that you may tell the southern gods that Horus, son of Isis and Osiris has assumed the crown and Ramses II has assumed the crown; Hapi, go north that you may tell the northern gods that Horus, son of Isis and Osiris has assumed the crown and Ramses II has assumed the crown; Duamutef, go east that you may tell the eastern gods that Horus, son of Isis and Osiris has assumed the crown and Ramses II has assumed the crown; Qebhsenuef, go west that you may tell the western gods that Horus, son of Isis and Osiris has assumed the crown and Ramses II has assumed the crown." Somehow the Egyptians manage to be concise and bombastic simultaneously. The motif goes back to the Old Kingdom. From The Epigraphical Survey, Festival Scenes of Ramses III (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1940), Plate 213.

Figure 6- Resurrection morning: Ani bursts forth from his tomb holding signs of life. The resurrection is made possible by the Sons of Horus gathering the members together in their family reunion. Their pictures also decorate the sarcophagus. Vignette from BD 17. From E. A. W. Budge, The Papyrus of Ani, 3 vols. (New York, NY: Putnam's Sons, 1913), 3:Plate 8, redrawn by Michael Lyon.

Figure 7- The State Coat of Arms of Iceland, possibly the latest transformation of the Sons of Horus. Of course, it looks much more spectacular in color. From A. Guy Hope and Janet Barker Hope, Symbols of the Nations (Washington, D. C.: Public Affairs Press, 1973), 127 redrawn by Michael Lyon.











