

http://bookofmormoncentral.org/

KnoWhy #149 - Why and How Does Alma Explain the Meaning of the Word "Restoration"?

Author(s): Book of Mormon Central Staff

Published by: Springville, UT; Book of Mormon Central, 2016

Abstract: In order to help Corianton understand the fairness and justice inherent in the doctrine of the resurrection, Alma expounded upon what he called "the plan of restoration." Alma explained that "restoration is to bring back again evil for evil" and "good for that which is good." Clearly, a divine implementation of this talionic justice will be the guiding principle of restoration as it relates to final judgment and the resurrection. For example, people will receive forgiveness as they have forgiven and will be judged by the way they have judged others. Such a profound and elegant truth must have stood in stark contrast to the unbalanced and incoherent program of salvation promoted by Nehor.



Last Judgment, Washington DC Temple Mural, Harry Anderson

Why and How Did Alma Explain the Meaning of the Word "Restoration"?

"And now, my son, I have somewhat to say concerning the restoration of which has been spoken; for behold, some have wrested the scriptures, and have gone far astray because of this thing."

Alma 41:1

The Know

In order to help Corianton understand the fairness and justice inherent in the doctrine of the resurrection,¹ Alma expounded upon what he called "the plan of restoration" (Alma 41:2). The usage of this term marks a notable shift from the previous chapter, wherein Alma almost exclusively referred to this doctrine as the "resurrection."² The transition in terminology seems to signal that a more nuanced and developed explanation was underway.

Concerning the meaning of the word restoration, Alma explained that "some have wrested the scriptures, and have gone far astray because of this thing" (Alma 41:1). This allusion likely referred to those who were "after the order and faith of Nehor" (Alma 14:16). Before his execution, 3 Nehor

testified unto the people that all mankind should be saved at the last day, and that they need not fear nor tremble, but that they might lift up their heads and rejoice; for the Lord had created all men, and had also redeemed all men; and, in the end, all men should have eternal life. (Alma 1:4)

The way that Alma's discourse directly addressed these assumptions about universal salvation indicates that Corianton subscribed to Nehor's heretical teachings. For example, Alma cautioned his son to "not suppose, because it has been spoken concerning restoration, that ye shall be restored from sin to happiness" (Alma 41:10). Alma supported his reasoning by further defining and elaborating upon the meaning of restoration:

the meaning of the word restoration is to bring back again evil for evil, or carnal for carnal, or devilish for devilish—good for that which is good; righteous for that which is righteous; just for that which is just; merciful for that which is merciful. (v. 13) An ancient legal principle known as talionic justice embodies this sentiment. John W. Welch explained, "Talionic justice achieved a sense of poetic justice, rectification of imbalance, relatedness between the nature of the wrong and the fashioning of the remedy, and appropriateness in determining the measure or degree of punishment." Essentially, this was the famous law of an "eye for an eye" and a "tooth for a tooth" which was divine-

ly revealed in the Old Testament and applied broadly throughout the ancient Near East (Exodus 21:24).⁵

Not only did Alma's explanation to Corianton aptly utilize this ancient legal principle, but he structured his sermon in a chiastic form⁶ similar to legal parallelisms found in Mosaic Law. For instance, in Leviticus 24:17–21 we read:

And he that killeth any man shall surely be put to death.

And he that killeth a beast shall make it good, beast for beast.

And if a man cause a *blemish* in his neighbour as he hath done so shall it be done to him.

Breach for breach Eye for eye

Tooth for tooth

As he hath caused a *blemish* in a man so shall it be done to him again

And he that killeth a *beast*, he shall restore it. And he that killeth a *man*, he shall be put to death.⁷

Alma's sermon on restoration provides a striking parallel:

The meaning of the word restoration is to bring back again

evil for evil, or carnal for carnal, or devilish for devilish

- (a) good for that which is (a') good,
 - (b) righteous for that which is (b') righteous,
 - (c) just for that which is (c') just,
 - (d) merciful for that which is (d') merciful;
 - (d') see that you are *merciful* unto your brethren
 - (c') deal justly,
 - (b') judge righteously,
 - (a') and do good continually.

And if ye do all these things

then shall ye receive your reward

- (d) Yea, ye shall have *mercy* restored unto you again;
- (c) Ye shall have *justice* restored unto you again;
- (b) Ye shall have *righteous* judgment restored unto you again
- (a) And ye shall have *good* rewarded unto you again.

For that which ye do send out

Shall return unto you again

And be restored

Therefore the word *restoration* more fully condemneth the sinner and justifieth him not at all. (Alma 41:13–15, emphasis added)⁸

"The twist here is clever: After listing four pairs of terms, Alma pairs two lists of four terms and reverses their order at the same time." Notably, this chiasm focuses mostly on the positive aspects of restoration. It is true that evil, carnal, and devilish behavior will in some way return to afflict the sinner, but in this instance Alma chose instead to emphasize the blessings of goodness, righteousness, justice, and mercy that will be restored to the righteous. Although he was very explicit and emphatic in his denunciation of sin, Alma ultimately wanted Corianton to "let the justice of God, and his mercy, and his long-suffering have full sway in [his] heart" (Alma 42:30).

The Why
Some readers may view the legal statutes of the Old Testament as irrelevant or obsolete, but Alma's exhortation demonstrates that their fundamental principles are eternally relevant. Clearly, a divine implementation of talionic justice will be the guiding principle of restoration as it relates to final judgment and the resurrection. For example, people will receive forgiveness as they have forgiven (Matthew 6:12); and people will be judged by the way they have judged others (Matthew 7:1). Awareness of this principle can deepen appreciation for how, even though the application of truth may vary, "God is the same yesterday, today, and forever" (Mormon 9:9).

Alma's use of chiasmus to illustrate this principle is also instructive. What better way to demonstrate the inverse principles of divine justice than by using an inverse form of poetic parallelism? As Welch explained, "an elaborate and elegant chiastic structure embodies the very notion of the talion."10 Therefore, both the form and the content of Alma's sermon converge upon a singular, overarching principle of divine justice: "that all things should be restored to their proper order" (Alma 41:2). Such a profound and elegant truth must have stood in stark contrast to the unbalanced and incoherent program of salvation promoted by Nehor.

Further Reading

John W. Welch, The Legal Cases in the Book of Mormon (Provo, UT: BYU Press and Neal A. Maxwell Institute for Religious Scholarship, 2008), 335–381.

Donald W. Parry, ed., Poetic Parallelisms in the Book of Mormon: The Complete Text Reformatted (Provo, UT: Neal A. Maxwell Institute for Religious Scholarship, Brigham Young University, 2007).

John W. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," in Book of Mormon Authorship: New Light on Ancient Origins, ed. Noel B. Reynolds (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center, Brigham Young University, 1982), 33-52.

John W. Welch, "Criteria for Identifying and Evaluating the Presence of Chiasmus," Journal of Book of Mormon Studies 4, no. 2 (1995): 1–14.

Richard O. Cowan, "A New Meaning of 'Restoration': The Book of Mormon on Life After Death," in The Book of Mormon: Alma, The Testimony of the Word, ed. Monte S. Nyman and Charles D. Tate, Jr. (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center, Brigham Young University, 1992), 195-210.

© Book of Mormon Central, 2016





- 1. For more information concerning the doctrine of resurrection in the Book of Mormon, see Book of Mormon Central, "Why Was Corianton So Concerned About the Resurrection? (Alma 40:9)," *KnoWhy* 148 (July 21, 2016).
- 2. At the end of chapter 40, Alma began to describe the resurrection as the "restoration of those things of which has been spoken by the mouths of the prophets" (v. 22, emphasis added). This shift carried over into Alma 41, where Alma mentioned "restoration" or "restored" seventeen times, while only mentioning "resurrection" once. To see how frequently Alma mentioned the resurrection in relation to other Book of Mormon authors, see John Hilton III and Jana Johnson, "Who Uses the Word Resurrection in the Book of Mormon and How Is It Used?" *Journal of the Book of Mormon and Other Restoration Scripture* 21, no. 2 (2012): 32–33.
- 3. See Book of Mormon Central, "Why Did Nehor Suffer an 'Ignominious' Death? (Alma 1:15)," *KnoWhy* 33 (May 26, 2016).
- 4. John W. Welch, *The Legal Cases in the Book of Mormon* (Provo, UT: BYU Press and Neal A. Maxwell Institute for Religious Scholarship, 2008), 338–339.
- 5. Welch, Legal Cases, 339.
- 6. For a general explanation of chiastic parallels, see Donald W. Parry, ed., *Poetic Parallelisms in the Book of Mormon: The Complete Text Reformatted* (Provo, UT: Neal A. Maxwell Institute for Religious Scholarship, Brigham Young University, 2007), xvi–xix; John W. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," in *Book of Mormon Authorship: New Light on Ancient Origins*, ed. Noel B. Reynolds (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center, Brigham Young University, 1982), 34–39; John W. Welch, "Criteria for Identifying and Evaluating the Presence of Chiasmus," *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* 4, no. 2 (1995): 1–14.
- 7. Formatting follows Welch, Legal Cases, 343.
- 8. Formatting follows Welch, *Legal Cases*, 344. For different formats, see Parry, ed., *Poetic Parralisms*, 332–333; Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," 48.
- 9. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon,"33–52.
- 10. Welch, Legal Cases, 344.