



BOOK OF MORMON CENTRAL

<http://bookofmormoncentral.org/>

KnowWhy #109 - How were the Amlicites and Amalekites Related?

Author(s): Book of Mormon Central Staff

Published by: Springville, UT; Book of Mormon Central, 2016

Abstract: Amlici is a rebellious Nephite who wants to become a king. He leads a faction away and they call themselves Amlicites. After having internal wars with the Nephi they join ranks with the Lamanites. All of this happens in Alma 2-3, and then the Amlicites are seemingly never heard from again. What happened to them? Some scholars have argued that the Amalekites, who show up later in Alma, are actually the same group as the Amlicites.



Battle with Zeniff . Artwork by James Fullmer

How were the Amlicites and Amalekites Related?

“Now the people of Amlici were distinguished by the name of Amlici, being called Amlicites”

Alma 2:11

The Know

Only five years into the reign of the judges, the pressure to reestablish a king was already mounting. A “certain man, being called Amlici,” emerged, and he drew “away much people after him” who “began to endeavor to establish Amlici to be a king over the people” (Alma 2:1–2). When “the voice of people” failed to grant kingship to Amlici,¹ his followers anointed him king anyway, and they broke away from the Nephites, becoming Amlicites (Alma 2:7–11).

The rebellion of the Amlicites led to armed conflict with the Nephites (Alma 2:10–20), and then an allegiance with the Lamanites, followed by further bloodshed, wherein Amlici was slain in one-on-one combat with Alma (Alma 2:21–38). From there, the Amlicites seem to completely disappear from the Book of Mormon narrative.²

However, during the account of Aaron’s missionary journey among the Lamanites, he teaches a group of people called “Amalekites,” who are mentioned

with no introduction or explanation as to their origins (Alma 21:2–4, 16).³ Later they are included in a list of Nephite dissenters (Alma 43:13), but this is all that is said of their origins.

The complete disappearance of the Amlicites, combined with the mysterious mention of the similarly named Amalekites, has led some scholars to conclude that the two groups are one and the same.⁴ As Christopher Conkling observed, “One group is introduced as if it will have ongoing importance. The other is first mentioned as if its identity has already been established.”⁵

Royal Skousen has found evidence from the original and printer’s manuscripts that strengthens this suggestion.⁶ In the printer’s manuscript (Alma 2 has not survived in the original manuscript), the first occurrences of *Amlicites* are spelled *Amlikites*, indicating that both *Amlici* and *Amlicites* were originally pronounced with a hard *c*, rather than the soft *c* Latter-day Saints have come to use.

In addition, in the original manuscript, the earliest surviving references to the *Amalekites* (first eight occurrences have not survived) are spelled *Amelicitas*, which “differs from Amlicite(s) by only the intrusive e.”⁷ Skousen felt that this similarity in the original spellings strongly supports the conclusion “that these Amalekites were not an otherwise unidentifiable group of religious dissidents, but were in fact Amlici’s own group, the Amlicites.”⁸

Similarities between the behaviors and ideologies of the Amlicites and Amalekites also suggest they could be the same people. For example, both have a connection to the Nehors and that they “both pursue the same kinds of goals at the same time and cause the same problems” suggests they could be the same people.⁹

The Why

If the Amalekites and the Amlicites are indeed the same group, then two mysteries in the Book of Mormon are resolved: (1) what happened to the Amlicites, and (2) who are the Amalekites. It would seem that, rather than completely disappearing after the death of their leader (Alma 2:31), the Amlicites continued as a distinct sub-group among the Lamanites. Cooperating with Lamanites and Amulonites, they had built a city (Alma 21:2). They also “built synagogues after the order of the Nehors” (Alma 21:4), thereby continuing to perpetuate the same ideology as Amlici, who himself is introduced as being “after the order” of Nehor (Alma 2:1).

While these people all professed belief in God, they rejected Christ. They came to believe in the corrupt doctrine that without any kind of atonement, “God will save all men” (Alma 21:6–8). They would participate in the major Lamanite-Nephite wars, many serving as military commanders due to their passionate hatred toward the Nephites (Alma 43:6–7, 20).

Seeing the Amlicites/Amalekites as a single group of apostate Nephites makes better sense of the book of Alma’s structure. “What once was seen as two introductory chapters (Alma 2–3) devoted to a problem soon to disappear can now be seen as introducing the major threat and problem that Alma had to deal with the rest of his life.”¹⁰

It also clarifies the ambiguous chronology of the sons of Mosiah’s mission, which started in the first year of the reign of the judges (Alma 17:6) and lasted for 14 years but has very few details or chronological markers. Since the Amlicites/Amalekites were founded in the fifth year of the reign of the judges (Alma 2:1), the events in Alma 21 likely take place at least a year or more later.

Noting that the Amlicites/Amalekites are the same group documents the tragic falling and hardening of this people clearly, adding weight to one of the key points in the book of Alma:

that after a people have been once enlightened by the Spirit of God, and have had great knowledge of things pertaining to righteousness, and then have fallen away into sin and transgression, they become more hardened, and thus their state becomes worse than though they had never known these things. (Alma 24:30; cf. 21:3)

Told as one story, rather than two, the Amlicites/Amalekites become a more complete case study in the long-term effects of apostasy, right alongside the Zoramites and the Amulonites.

©Book of Mormon Central, 2016 

Further Reading

Royal Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants of the Book of Mormon: Part Three, Mosiah 17–Alma 20* (Provo, UT: FARMS, 2006), 1605–1609.

J. Christopher Conkling, “Alma’s Enemies: The Case of the Lamanites, Amlicites, and Mysterious Amalekites,” *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* 14, no. 1 (2005): 108–117, 130–132.

John A. Tvedtnes, *The Most Correct Book: Insights from a Book of Mormon Scholar* (Springville, UT: Horizon, 2003), 292–293.

Notes

1. On the “voice of the people,” see Book of Mormon Central, “How were Judges Elected in the Book of Mormon? (Mosiah 29:39),” *KnoWhy* 107 (May 25, 2016).
2. See Paul Y. Hoskisson, “Amlicites,” in *Book of Mormon Reference Companion*, ed. Dennis L. Largey (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2003), 47.
3. For a brief summary of the Amalekites, see Craig K. Manscill, “Amalekites,” in *Book of Mormon Reference Companion*, 44–45.
4. See John A. Tvedtnes, *The Most Correct Book: Insights from a Book of Mormon Scholar* (Springville, UT: Horizon, 2003), 292–293; J. Christopher Conkling, “Alma’s Enemies: The Case of the Lamanites, Amlicites, and Mysterious Amalekites,” *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* 14, no. 1 (2005): 108–117, 130–132.
5. Conkling, “Alma’s Enemies,” 111.
6. Royal Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants of the Book of Mormon: Part Three, Mosiah 17–Alma 20* (Provo, UT: FARMS, 2006), 1605–1609.
7. Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants*, 1607.
8. Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants*, 1606.
9. Conkling, “Alma’s Enemies,” 110–111, quote from 111.
10. Conkling, “Alma’s Enemies,” 113.